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Abstract
We consider quadratic bundles related to Hermitian symmetric spaces of the type \( SU(m+n)/S(U(m) \times U(n)) \). The simplest representative of the corresponding integrable hierarchy is given by a multi-component Kaup-Newell derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation which serves as a motivational example for our general considerations. We extensively discuss how one can apply Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing procedure to derive reflectionless potentials obeying zero boundary conditions. Those could be used for one to construct fast decaying solutions to any nonlinear equation belonging to the same hierarchy. One can distinguish between generic soliton type solutions and rational solutions.

1 Introduction

A classical example of a completely integrable nonlinear evolution equation (NLEE) is derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS “±”)

\[ iq_t + q_{xx} \pm i(q^2q^*)_x = 0, \quad (1) \]

where the subscripts denote partial differentiation in variables \( t \) and \( x \) and * stands for complex conjugation. DNLS finds applications in plasma physics[14, 19, 22, 23]. It was Kaup and Newell [15] who has shown DNLS has a Lax pair of the form:

\[ L(\lambda) = i\partial_x + \lambda Q(x,t) - \lambda^2 \sigma_3 \quad (2) \]

\[ A(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^{3} A_k(x,t)\lambda^k - 2\lambda^4 \sigma_3 \quad (3) \]

*On leave of the Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tsarigradsko chaussee Blvd., 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria,
where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is spectral parameter and

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \pm q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = 2Q
\]

\[
A_2 = \pm |q|^2 \sigma_3, \quad A_1 = \frac{i}{2} [\sigma_3, Q_x] \pm |q|^2 Q.
\]

DNLS is tightly related to three other integrable NLEEs. These are Chen-Lee-Liu’s equation [11]

\[
i q_t + q_{xx} + iqq^*q_x = 0,
\]

Gerdjikov-Ivanov’s equation [10]

\[
i q_t + q_{xx} + iq^2q_x + \frac{1}{2} |q|^4 q = 0
\]

and 2-dimensional Thirring model [16]

\[
(i \partial_x \gamma^\sigma - m) \psi \pm g \gamma^\rho \psi \gamma^\nu \gamma_\rho \psi = 0, \quad \sigma, \nu = 0, 1
\]

where $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a smooth spinor field, $g$ is a bonding constant and $\dagger$ means Hermitian conjugation (Einstein’s rule of summation over repeated indices holds above).

Due to their similarity with DNLS, (4) and (5) are sometimes termed DNLS II and DNLS III respectively. All the three DNLS versions along with the 2-dimensional Thirring model correspond to certain Mikhailov type of reductions of the generic quadratic bundle operator [10, 16]:

\[
L(\lambda) = i \partial_x + U_0(x, t) + \lambda U_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 \sigma_3
\]

where $U_1(x, t)$ is an off-diagonal $2 \times 2$ matrix and $U_0(x, t)$ being a traceless $2 \times 2$ matrix otherwise arbitrary.

One very fruitful trend in theory of integrable systems is search and study of multi-component counterparts of scalar completely integrable equations[2, 6, 27, 28, 29]. Fordy and Kulish [6] proposed a natural way to relate to each Hermitian symmetric space a multi-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Later Fordy[5] managed to find similar connection between Hermitian symmetric spaces and multi-component versions of DNLS (1). For example, the equation

\[
i q_t + q_{xx} + \frac{2mi}{m+n} (qq^* q)_x = 0
\]

where $q$ is a smooth $n \times m$ matrix-valued function is related to symmetric space SU($m+n$)/SU($m$)$\times$U($n$)). Similarly, Tsuchida and Wadati [26] proved the complete integrability of a matrix generalization of Chen-Lee-Liu equation.
We aim here at demonstrating how one can adapt Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing method \cite{30, 33} to incomplete quadratic bundles of the type

\begin{equation}
L(\lambda) = i\partial_x + \lambda Q(x, t) - \lambda^2 J
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
Q(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^T(x, t) \\ \mathcal{E}_m q(x, t) \mathcal{E}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{m} \mathbb{I}_m & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_m \end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

where $\mathcal{E}_m$ is a diagonal matrix of dimension $m$ respectively with diagonal entries equal to $\pm 1$ while $\mathbb{I}_m$ is the unit matrix of dimension $m$. This operator is tightly related to symmetric spaces of the form $SU(m + n)/S(U(m) \times U(n))$ and its quadratic flow produces equation

\begin{equation}
iq_t + q_{xx} + \frac{2mi}{m + n} \left( q\mathcal{E}_m q^\dagger \mathcal{E}_n q \right)_x = 0
\end{equation}

which generalizes Fordy’s equation \eqref{eq:9}. We shall restrict ourselves with potentials obeying zero boundary condition

\begin{equation}
\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} Q(x, t) = 0.
\end{equation}

Those give rise to fast decaying solutions to NLEEs belonging to the integrable hierarchy generated by \eqref{eq:10}.

The paper is structured as follows. Second section is preliminary in nature. It contains a brief summary of some basic properties of the quadratic bundles related to symmetric spaces of the type A.III and the auxiliary linear problem associated with it. The following two sections contain our main results. Section 3 contains general considerations of Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing method. We show how one can adapt the dressing method for quadratic bundles of the afore-mentioned type. This allows one to obtain reflectionless potentials and thus generate special types of solutions on a trivial (zero) background in a purely algebraic manner. We shall see there are two different types of solutions: generic soliton type solutions and rational solutions. The soliton type solutions are associated with dressing factors whose poles are generic while the rational solutions correspond to factors whose poles lie on the continuous spectrum of \eqref{eq:10}. In section 4 we explicitly construct reflectionless potentials and particular solutions of either of the afore-mentioned types. The latter can be reduced to well-known solutions to the scalar DNLS ”$\pm$” as a very special case. Last section contains summary of our results and some additional remarks.

2 Quadratic bundles and symmetric spaces of the type A.III

In this section we shall introduce some basic notions of direct scattering problem for quadratic bundles related to symmetric spaces of the type $SU(m + n)/S(U(m) \times U(n))$, \begin{equation} \end{equation}
and sketch some of their properties. In doing this we are going to use some results and conventions from [9, 28, 31].

Let us consider the following Lax pair:

\[ L(\lambda) = i\partial_x + \lambda Q(x,t) - \lambda^2 J, \quad \text{(13)} \]
\[ A(\lambda) = i\partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k(x,t) \quad \text{(14)} \]

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) is spectral parameter while \( Q(x,t), J \) and \( A_k(x,t) \) are \((m+n) \times (m+n)\) matrices. Further we shall denote by \( M_{m,n}[\mathbb{C}] \) linear space of all \( m \times n \) matrices with complex entries. All coefficients are assumed to be traceless matrices to satisfy the following Mikhailov type reduction conditions [17, 18]

\[ E Q^\dagger E = Q, \quad E J^\dagger E = J, \quad E = \text{diag} (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{m+n}) \quad \text{(15)} \]

for \( \epsilon_1^2 = \ldots = \epsilon_{m+n}^2 = 1 \). To relate the Lax pair (13) and (14) with a symmetric space of the type of \( A_{III} \), \( L \) and \( A \) are required to obey the following constraints:

\[ C L(\lambda) C = L(\lambda), \quad C A(\lambda) C = A(\lambda). \quad \text{(17)} \]

The constant matrix \( C = \text{diag}(1_m, -1_n) \) is connected to Cartan’s involution [12] defining Hermitian symmetric space \( SU(m+n)/S(U(m) \times U(n)) \). It induces a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) grading in Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(m+n,\mathbb{C}) \), namely we have:

\[ \mathfrak{sl}(m+n) = \mathfrak{sl}^0(m+n) + \mathfrak{sl}^1(m+n) \quad \text{(18)} \]

where \( \mathfrak{sl}^\sigma(m+n) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{sl}(m+n) | CX C = (-1)^\sigma X \} \), \( \sigma = 0, 1 \)

are eigen subspaces of the adjoint action of \( C \). Due to (17) \( Q \) acquires block off-diagonal structure:

\[ Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^T \\ \epsilon_n q \epsilon_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_m = \text{diag} (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{m+n}) \quad \text{(19)} \]

\[ \epsilon_n = \text{diag} (\epsilon_{m+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m+n}) \]

for \( q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M_{m,n}[\mathbb{C}] \) while \( J \) is a block-diagonal matrix. Similarly, \( A_{2l-1} \) and \( A_{2l} \) for \( l = 1, \ldots, N \) acquire the block form

\[ A_{2l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^T_{2l-1} \\ \epsilon_n a_{2l-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(20)} \]
\[ A_{2l} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{2l} & b_{2l} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(21)} \]
where $a_{2l-1}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to M_{n,m}[\mathbb{C}]$, $a_{2l}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to M_{m,n}[\mathbb{C}]$ and $b_{2l}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to M_{n,n}[\mathbb{C}]$. In addition, $a_{2l}$ and $b_{2l}$ obey the symmetries:

$$e_m a_{2l}^\dagger e_m = a_{2l}, \quad e_n b_{2l}^\dagger e_n = b_{2l}. \quad (22)$$

For convenience we shall pick up the constant matrix $J$ in the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ m & -n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Its centralizer coincides with $\mathfrak{sl}(m+n)$.

The quadratic flow, that is $N=2$, for the Lax pair (13) and (14) produces the following multi-component DNLS

$$i q_t + q_{xx} + 2m i (q e_m q^\dagger e_n q)_x = 0. \quad (24)$$

Clearly, equation (24) represents a natural generalization of Fordy’s equation (9) for it includes DNLS "-' as a special scalar case.

Let us now consider the auxiliary linear problem

$$L(\lambda) \Psi(x,t,\lambda) = i \partial_x \Psi + \lambda(Q - \lambda J) \Psi = 0 \quad (25)$$

where $\Psi$ is a fundamental set of solutions (fundamental solution for short) hence $\det \Psi(x,t,\lambda) \neq 0$ for any $x$, $t$ and $\lambda$ in its domain. We shall assume from now on that $Q$ is infinitely smooth and obeys the boundary condition

$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} Q(x,t) = 0.$$

Following [28] one defines Jost fundamental solutions $\Psi_+$ and $\Psi_-$ as follows:

$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \Psi_\pm(x,t,\lambda) e^{i \lambda^2 J x} = \mathbb{1}. \quad (26)$$

The transition matrix

$$T(t,\lambda) = \hat{\Psi}_+(x,t,\lambda) \Psi_-(x,t,\lambda), \quad \hat{\Psi} \equiv \Psi^{-1}$$

between the Jost solutions defines scattering matrix. Since $[L,A] = 0$ any fundamental solution also fulfills linear system

$$i \partial_t \Psi + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k \Psi = \Psi f \quad (27)$$

where polynomial

$$f(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k(x,t)$$
is dispersion law of NLEE and it carries all its essential characteristics. It can be proven [28] that the scattering matrix evolves with time according to:

$$T(t, \lambda) = e^{i f(\lambda) t} T(0, \lambda) e^{-i f(\lambda) t}.$$  

For convenience we shall omit variables $x$ and $t$ where this does not lead to confusion.

The Jost solutions are defined for real and imaginary values of $\lambda$ only. Starting from the Jost solutions, however, one is able to construct another pair of solutions of (25) to have analytic properties in upper half plane and the lower half plane in $\lambda^2$-plane. More specifically, the following theorem holds true [9, 31]:

**Theorem 1 (Gerdjikov & Ivanov, 1983)** There exists a pair of solutions $X^+$ and $X^-$ analytic in domains $\Omega_+ = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im} \lambda^2 \geq 0 \}$ (i.e. the first and the third quadrant in the $\lambda$-plane) and $\Omega_- = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im} \lambda^2 \leq 0 \}$ (the second and the forth quadrants resp.). $X^+$ and $X^-$ can be constructed from the Jost solutions as follows:

$$X^\pm(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Psi_-(\lambda) S^\pm(\lambda) \\ \Psi_+(\lambda) T^\mp(\lambda) D^\pm(\lambda) \end{cases}.$$  

where matrices $S^\pm(\lambda)$, $T^\pm(\lambda)$ and $D^\pm(\lambda)$ are given by

$$S^+(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & s^+_n(\lambda) \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^+(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & t^+_n(\lambda) \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S^-(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ s^-(\lambda) & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^-(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ t^-(\lambda) & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D^\pm(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} d^\pm_m(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & d^\pm_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The latter are involved in block LDU decomposition

$$T(\lambda) = T^\mp(\lambda) D^\pm(\lambda) S^\pm(\lambda)$$  

of the scattering matrix. The decomposition (29) respects the splitting (18), i.e. $d^\pm_n(\lambda)$ are $n \times n$ matrices, while $s^\pm(\lambda)$ and $t^\pm(\lambda)$ are $n \times m$ matrices.

The reductions imposed on the Lax operators yield to certain constraints on the values of the Jost solutions, scattering matrix and fundamental analytic solutions [17, 18], namely we have:

$$\hat{\Psi}_\pm(\lambda^*) = \Psi_\pm(\lambda), \quad C\Psi_\pm(-\lambda)C = \Psi_\pm(\lambda)$$

$$\hat{T}^\dagger(\lambda^*) = T(\lambda), \quad CT(-\lambda)C = T(\lambda)$$

$$[X^+(\lambda^*)]^\dagger = \check{X}^-(\lambda), \quad CX^\pm(-\lambda)C = X^\pm(\lambda).$$
It follows from (28) the fundamental analytic solutions $X^+$ and $X^-$ are interrelated through:

$$X^+(\lambda) = X^-(\lambda)G(\lambda), \quad \lambda^2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (31)$$

for some sewing function $G(\lambda) = \hat{S}^-(\lambda)S^+(\lambda)$. This means that they can be viewed as solutions to a local Riemann-Hilbert problem [9, 28, 30] with boundary given by the real and imaginary lines in the $\lambda$-plane. More precisely, solutions to a local Riemann-Hilbert problem are functions $\Upsilon^\pm = X^\pm \exp(i\lambda^2 J x)$ satisfying the linear system

$$i\partial_x \Upsilon^\pm + \lambda Q \Upsilon^\pm - \lambda^2 [J, \Upsilon^\pm] = 0. \quad (32)$$

More detailed analysis of (32) shows that $\Upsilon^+$ and $\Upsilon^-$ are normalized as follows:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \Upsilon^\pm(x, \lambda) = 1, \quad (33)$$

that is the Riemann-Hilbert problem is normalized at $\lambda = 0$.

The fundamental analytic solutions can be used to describe the spectrum of the scattering operator [7, 28]. More specifically, one can prove [9, 31] the following theorem holds true.

**Theorem 2** The spectrum of $L(\lambda)$ comprises a continuous and discrete part. The continuous part of spectrum is determined by the condition:

$$\text{Im} \lambda^2 J = 0, \quad (34)$$

i.e. it coincides with the real and the imaginary lines in the $\lambda$-plane. The discrete spectrum belongs to (discrete) orbits of the reduction group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e. all discrete eigenvalues go together in quadruples of points $\{\pm \mu, \pm \mu^*\}$ located symmetrically to the real and imaginary lines. □

3 Dressing method

In this section we are going to demonstrate how one can construct special solutions to any member of the integrable hierarchy generated by Lax operator (13). For that purpose we shall employ Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing method adapted for quadratic bundles of the type discussed in the previous section. Let us start with a few general remarks.

Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing method is an indirect way of integration of S-integrable equations [28, 30, 33], i.e. it generates new solutions to a given NLEE starting from a known one. Its application is substantially determined by the existence and form of the Lax representation associated with the NLEE. Suppose $\Psi_0$ is a fundamental solution to the system

$$L_0(\lambda)\Psi_0 = i\partial_x \Psi_0 + \lambda \left(Q^{(0)} - \lambda J\right)\Psi_0 = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$A_0(\lambda)\Psi_0 = i\partial_t \Psi_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k^{(0)} \Psi_0 = \Psi_0 f(\lambda) \quad (36)$$
to be referred to further on as bare system. The matrix coefficients above, having the
same structure as in (19), (21), are assumed to be known. Let us now apply a gauge
(dressing) transform $\Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi_1 = g\Psi_0$ such that the auxiliary linear systems remain
covariant, i.e. $\Psi_1$ must satisfy

$$L_1(\lambda)\Psi_1 = i\partial_x \Psi_1 + \lambda \left( Q^{(1)} - \lambda J \right) \Psi_1 = 0$$  (37)
$$A_1(\lambda)\Psi_1 = i\partial_t \Psi_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k^{(1)} \Psi_1 = \Psi_1 f(\lambda)$$  (38)

for some new, unknown coefficients $Q^{(1)}$, $A_k^{(1)}$ of the same form as those in (35) and
(36). After comparing the bare system (35), (36) with the dressed one (37), (38) we
see that the dressing factor $g$ is a solution to the following pair of PDEs:

$$i\partial_x g + \lambda Q^{(1)} g - \lambda g Q^{(0)} - \lambda^2 [J, g] = 0$$  (39)
$$i\partial_t g + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k^{(1)} g - g \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \lambda^k A_k^{(0)} = 0.$$  (40)

In order to determine possible forms of $g$ regarding the spectral parameter $\lambda$ we
analyze equations (39) and (40). Suppose $g$ does not depend on $\lambda$ then it is straight-
forward from (39) and (40) that it is simply a constant matrix, that is trivial. Thus
to obtain a non-trivial result we shall require that $g$ does depend on the spectral
parameter.

Further, we recall that bare fundamental solutions $\Upsilon_0^{\pm}$ and their dressed counter-
parts $\Upsilon_1^{\pm}$ satisfy a Riemann-Hilbert problem with a normalization at $\lambda = 0$. This
implies that $g$ is also normalized at $\lambda = 0$, i.e. we have:

$$g(\lambda = 0) = \mathbb{1}.$$  (41)

On the other hand, due to constraints (30) the dressing factor obeys the symmetry
conditions:

$$\mathcal{E} g^{\dagger}(\lambda^*) \mathcal{E} = \hat{g}(\lambda)$$  (42)
$$C g(-\lambda) C = g(\lambda).$$  (43)

A simple choice for the dressing factor to respect (41)–(43) is the following one:

$$g(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda}{\mu_j} \left( \frac{B_j(x, t)}{\lambda - \mu_j} + \frac{C B_j(x, t) C}{\lambda + \mu_j} \right).$$  (44)

Equation (39) allows one to find a simple interrelation between the bare potential
$Q_0$ and the dressed one $Q_1$. Indeed, after dividing both hand-sides of (39) by $\lambda$,
setting $|\lambda| \to \infty$ and taking into account the form of $g$ and relation (42) we obtain:

$$Q^{(1)} = Q^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [J, B_i - CB_i] \mathcal{E} g^\dagger_{\infty} \mathcal{E}$$

where

$$g_{\infty}(x, t) = \lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} g(x, t, \lambda).$$

Thus to obtain a new solution we need to know the residues of the dressing factor. As it turns out the latter can be expressed in terms of the bare fundamental solution $\Psi_0$ (and its first $\lambda$-derivative). This fact constitutes the power of the dressing method.

In order to find the residues of $g$ one analyzes the identity $\hat{g} \hat{g} = 1$ and PDEs (39) and (40). In what follows we shall distinguish between two different cases:

1. generic case, that is the poles of $g$ lie outside of the continuous spectrum of $L$;
2. degenerate case, i.e. the poles of $g$ lie on the continuous spectrum ($\mu_j^2 \in \mathbb{R}$).

### 3.1 Generic case

Let us consider first the case when the poles of $g$ and its inverse represent distinct points in $\lambda$-plane symmetrically located with respect to the real and imaginary lines. Then after evaluating the residue of $g\hat{g}$ at $\lambda = \mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ we obtain the following algebraic relations:

$$B_i \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_j - \mu_i} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E} B_j^\dagger \mathcal{E}}{\mu_i - \mu_j^*} + \frac{\mathcal{E} CB_j^\dagger \mathcal{E}}{\mu_i + \mu_j^*} \right) \right] = 0.$$  

To ensure the result is nontrivial one needs to assume the residues are degenerate matrices [31], i.e. they obey decomposition

$$B_i = X_i F_i^T$$

for some rectangular matrices $X_i(x, t)$ and $F_i(x, t)$. After substituting (48) into (47) we obtain the following linear system

$$\mathcal{E} F_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{r} X_j \mathcal{F}_{ji} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} C X_j \mathcal{G}_{ji}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ji} = \frac{\mu_i^* F_j^T \mathcal{E} F_i^*}{\mu_j (\mu_j - \mu_i^*)}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{ji} = \frac{\mu_i^* F_j^T C \mathcal{E} F_i^*}{\mu_j (\mu_j + \mu_i^*)}$$

for factors $X_i$. Solving it allows one to express the factor $X_i$ through $F_i$. 
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Example 1 This is especially easy to do when the dressing factor has a single pair of poles \((r = 1)\). We shall drop subscripts for the sake of convenience. Then linear system (49) reduces to

\[
\mathcal{E} F^* = \frac{\mu^*}{\mu} \left( X \frac{F^T \mathcal{E} F^*}{\mu - \mu^*} - C X \frac{F^T C \mathcal{E} F^*}{\mu + \mu^*} \right). \tag{51}
\]

Whenever \(X\) and \(F\) are column-vectors the result for \(X\) reads:

\[
X = \frac{\mu}{\mu^*} \left( \frac{F^T \mathcal{E} F^*}{\mu - \mu^*} - \frac{F^T C \mathcal{E} F^*}{\mu + \mu^*} - C \right)^{-1} \mathcal{E} F^*. \tag{52}
\]

The matrix factors \(F_i(x, t)\) can be expressed in terms of fundamental solutions to the bare linear problem. Indeed [31], a more detailed analysis of (39) shows that the following relation holds true:

\[
F^T_i(x, t) = F^T_{i,0} \hat{\Psi}_0(x, t, \mu_i) \tag{53}
\]

where \(F^T_{i,0}\) are constants of integration. Now we have all the information required to construct the dressed solution at some initial moment of time \(t = 0\). To recover its time evolution we need to determine \(F^T_{i,0}\) as functions of \(t\). The latter are governed by the linear equations

\[
i \partial_t F^T_{i,0} - F^T_{i,0} f(\mu_i) = 0 \tag{54}
\]

for \(f(\lambda)\) being the dispersion law of NLEE. Thus in order to recover the time evolution of the dressed solution we can apply the following simple correspondence:

\[
F^T_{i,0} \rightarrow F^T_{i,0} e^{-if(\mu_i)t}. \tag{55}
\]

3.2 Degenerate case I (real poles)

Let us now assume the poles of the dressing factor lie on the continuous spectrum of the operator \(L\). We shall consider first the case when all poles are real, i.e. \(\mu^*_i = \mu_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, r\). Then the identity \(g(\lambda) \hat{g}(\lambda) = 1\) gives rise to the algebraic relations:

\[
B_i \mathcal{E} B^0_i = 0 \tag{56}
\]

\[
\Omega_i \mathcal{E} B^0_i \mathcal{E} + B_i \mathcal{E} \Omega^0_i \mathcal{E} = 0 \tag{57}
\]

where

\[
\Omega_i = 1 + \sum_{j \neq i}^{r} \frac{\mu_i B_j}{\mu_i - \mu_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\mu_i C B_j C}{\mu_i + \mu_j}. \tag{58}
\]

It is straightforward from (56) that the residues are degenerate, i.e. the decomposition (48) applies again. The matrices \(F_i\) fulfill the quadratic relations:

\[
F^T_i \mathcal{E} F^*_i = 0. \tag{59}
\]
Equality (57) implies that there exist quadratic matrices $\alpha_i$ such that

$$\Omega_i \mathcal{E} F_i^* = X_i \alpha_i, \quad \alpha_i^\dagger = -\alpha_i.$$  \hfill (60)

Thus we obtain the following linear system for $X_i$

$$\mathcal{E} F_i^* = X_i \alpha_i - C X_i \frac{F_i^T C \mathcal{E} F_i^*}{2\mu_i} \quad \sum_{j \neq i} \left( X_j \frac{\mu_j F_j^T \mathcal{E} F_j^*}{\mu_j (\mu_j - \mu_i)} - C X_j \frac{\mu_j F_j^T C \mathcal{E} F_j^*}{\mu_j (\mu_j + \mu_i)} \right).$$  \hfill (61)

By solving it we can express matrices $X_i$ in terms of $F_i$ and $\alpha_i$.

**Example 2** Like in the generic case discussed in the previous subsection this is especially easy when $g$ has a single pair of poles and the rank of $X$ and $F$ equals 1. Then the linear system (61) simplifies to:

$$\mathcal{E} F^* = \left( \alpha - \frac{F^T C \mathcal{E} F^*}{2\mu} C \right) X$$

and the result for $X$ reads:

$$X = \left( \alpha - \frac{F^T C \mathcal{E} F^*}{2\mu} C \right)^{-1} \mathcal{E} F^*.$$

In order to find $F_i$ and $\alpha_i$ we consider equation (39). After evaluating the coefficients before powers of $\lambda - \mu_i$, we get the following differential relations:

$$i \partial_x F_i^T - F_i^T U^{(0)}(x,t,\mu_i) = 0 \quad \hfill (64)$$

$$i \partial_x \alpha_i - F_i^T \partial_\lambda |_{\lambda = \mu_i} U^{(0)} \mathcal{E} F_i^* = 0 \quad \hfill (65)$$

where $U^{(0)}(x,t,\lambda) = \lambda Q^{(0)}(x,t) - \lambda^2 J$. Relation (64) implies that $F_i$ is proportional to a bare fundamental solution as given by (53). On the other hand, it can be shown that (65) leads to an interrelation between $\alpha_i$ and $F_i$, namely we have:

$$\alpha_i(x,t) = \alpha_{i,0}(t) - F_i^T (x,t) \partial_\lambda |_{\lambda = \mu_i} \Psi_0(x,t,\lambda) \mathcal{E} F_i^*.$$  \hfill (66)

To find functions $F_{i,0}(t)$ and $\alpha_{i,0}(t)$ we have to consider equation (40) this time. As a result we derive the following relations:

$$i \frac{d F_{i,0}}{dt} - F_i^T V^{(0)}(x,t,\mu_i) = 0 \quad \hfill (67)$$

$$i \frac{d \alpha_{i,0}}{dt} - F_i^T \partial_\lambda |_{\lambda = \mu_i} V^{(0)} \mathcal{E} F_i^* = 0. \quad \hfill (68)$$
The former relation leads to exponential $t$-dependence of $F_{i,0}$ like in the generic case, see (54). The second differential relation gives rise to:

$$i\frac{d\alpha_{i,0}}{dt} - F_{i,0}^T \frac{df(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=\mu_i} \mathcal{E}F_{i,0}^* = 0$$

which means that $\alpha_{i,0}$ is a linear function of time. Thus to recover the time dependence of the dressed solution in this case one has to apply the rule given by (55) as well as:

$$\alpha_{i,0} \rightarrow \alpha_{i,0} - iF_{i,0}^T \frac{df(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=\mu_i} \mathcal{E}F_{i,0}^*.$$

### 3.3 Degenerate case II (imaginary poles)

Now let us suppose the poles of the dressing factor are all imaginary, i.e. $\mu_i^* = -\mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then from the equality $g(\lambda)\hat{g}(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}$ one obtains the following algebraic relations:

$$B_i \mathcal{E} C B_i^\dagger = 0 \quad (71)$$

$$B_i \mathcal{E} \Omega_i^\dagger C \mathcal{E} = \Omega_i \mathcal{E} C B_i^\dagger C \mathcal{E} \quad (72)$$

where $\Omega_i$ is given by (58). As before the former algebraic relation means that $B_i(x, t)$ are degenerate matrices, hence they are decomposed into a product of two rectangular matrices $X_i$ and $F_i$, see (48). After substituting that decomposition into (71) the latter gives rise to the quadratic relation:

$$F_i^T \mathcal{E} C F_i^* = 0 \quad (73)$$

Similarly to the previous case relation (72) is reduced to:

$$\Omega_i \mathcal{E} C F_i^* = X_i \alpha_i \quad (74)$$

where we have that $\alpha_i^\dagger = \alpha_i$. (74) is viewed as a linear equation for $X_i$. Solving it, allows one to express $X_i$ in terms of $F_i$ and $\alpha_i$.

**Example 3** Now consider the case when the dressing factor has a single pair of poles. Suppose $X(x, t)$ and $F(x, t)$ are $m + n$-vectors and $\alpha$ is a real scalar function. Then (74) is reduced to give:

$$\mathcal{E} C F^* = \left(\alpha - \frac{F^T \mathcal{E} F^*}{2\mu} \right) X.$$  \( \quad (75) \)

Clearly, the solution to (75) is written down as follows:

$$X = \left(\alpha - \frac{F^T \mathcal{E} F^*}{2\mu} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{E} C F^*.$$  \( \quad (76) \)
In order to find $F_i$ and $\alpha_i$ we again evaluate the coefficients before poles of the equation (53). Thus we get the following equations:

\begin{align}
 \text{i} \partial_x F^T_i - F^T_i U^{(0)} &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (77) \\
 F^T_i(x,t) &= F^T_{i,0}(t)\Psi_0(x,t,\mu_i) \quad (78) \\
 \text{i} \partial_x \alpha_i - F^T_i \partial_\lambda |_{\lambda=\mu_i} U^{(0)} \mathcal{E} CF^*_i &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (79)
\end{align}

where dot means differentiation in $\lambda$ and $U^{(0)}(x,t,\lambda)$ is the same as in the previous subsection. The time dependence of $F_{i,0}$ and $\alpha_{i,0}$ is determined from equation (40). The result reads:

\begin{align}
 F^T_{i,0} &\rightarrow F^T_{i,0} e^{-i f(\mu_i)t} \quad (80) \\
 \alpha_{i,0} &\rightarrow \alpha_{i,0} - i F^T_{i,0} \frac{df(\lambda)}{d\lambda} |_{\lambda=\mu_i} \mathcal{E} CF^*_{i,0} t. \quad (81)
\end{align}

Remark 1 We have discussed so far the situations when all poles of $g$ are either generic or belong to the continuous spectrum of $L$. Apart from these ”pure” cases one could consider a mixed one as well, i.e. part of poles are generic while the rest are real or imaginary. Clearly, analysis of the mixed case is reduced to that of (some combination of) the pure ones. □

The algorithm to generate new solutions based on the dressing technique we described here can be symbolically presented in the following diagram:

\[
Q_0 \xrightarrow{(35)} \Psi_0 \xrightarrow{(53)} \{F_j\}_{j=1}^{r} \xrightarrow{(49),(61),(74)} \{X_j\}_{j=1}^{r} \xrightarrow{(48)} \{B_j\}_{j=1}^{r} \xrightarrow{(45)} Q_1.
\]

4 Particular solutions

We shall illustrate here the general considerations from the previous section by constructing reflectionless potentials over zero background and extend them to solutions to DNLS (24). Thus in what follows we shall assume that $Q_0 = 0$. As a bare fundamental solution one can pick up the plane wave solution:

\[
\Psi_0(x,t,\lambda) = e^{-i \lambda^2 J x}.
\]

Let us start with the case when the dressing factor has a single pair of complex poles in generic position. Due to (53) and (82) the rectangular factor $F$ is given by:

\[
F(x,t) = e^{i \mu^2 J x} F(t), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \mu^2 \notin \mathbb{R}.
\]
Further on we shall restrict ourselves with the simplest case when $F(x,t)$ is simply a vector\(^1\). Taking into account (52) and (83) formula (45) leads to the following reflectionless potential:

$$
(q_1(x))_{ab} = \frac{2\nu}{\rho} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} \epsilon_a \epsilon_b \epsilon_{b+m} \sin(2\varphi) e^{-i\sigma_{ak}(x)} e^{-i\theta_{ak}(x)}
$$

$$
\times \left[ \delta_{kb+m} - \frac{2i \epsilon_{b+m} e^{i\gamma_{b+m+k} e^{i\xi_{b+m+k}} \sin(2\varphi)}}{\Delta_k(x)} \right]
$$

$$
a = 1, \ldots, m \quad b = 1, \ldots, n.
$$

We have used above the polar representations

$$
\mu = \rho \exp(i\varphi), \quad F_{0,p} = |F_{0,p}| \exp(i\phi_p)
$$

of the pole of $g$ and the components of polarization vector $F_0$ respectively as well as the following auxiliary notations:

$$
\Delta_k(x) = e^{-2it} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_p e^{-2i\gamma_{pk}(x)} + \sum_{p=m+1}^{m+n} \epsilon_p e^{2i\gamma_{pk}}
$$

$$
\theta_{pk}(x) = vx \sin(2\varphi) - \xi_{pk}, \quad \xi_{pk} = \ln |F_{0,p}/F_{0,k}|
$$

$$
\sigma_{pk}(x) = vx \cos(2\varphi) + \gamma_{pk} + \varphi, \quad v = \frac{m+n}{m}\rho^2
$$

$$
\gamma_{pk} = \phi_p - \phi_k - 2\varphi.
$$

In order to obtain soliton type solution for the matrix DNLS (24) one needs to recover the $t$-dependence in (85) using (55). The dispersion law for DNLS reads

$$
f_{\text{DNLS}}(\lambda) = -\frac{n+m}{m} \lambda^4 J.
$$

Thus one derives the following rule:

$$
\delta_p \rightarrow \delta_p + \begin{cases}
\frac{vnp^2 t \cos(4\varphi)}{m}, & p = 1, \ldots, m \\
\frac{vnp^2 t \cos(4\varphi)}{m}, & p = m+1, \ldots, m+n
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\xi_{pk} \rightarrow \begin{cases}
\xi_{pk} - v^2 t \sin(4\varphi), & p = 1, \ldots, m \\
\xi_{pk}, & p = m+1, \ldots, m+n
\end{cases}
$$

Let us consider a simple example.

**Example 4** The result we have just obtained represents a natural generalization of the soliton solution to the scalar DNLS (1) derived by Kaup and Newell in [15].

\(^1\)F\(_0\) is sometimes called polarization vector in theory of solitons.
Indeed, for the simplest case when the Lax pair is related to the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) we have \( m = n = 1 \) and \( v = 2\rho^2 \). Then \( C = \sigma_3 \) and the dressing factor (44) looks as follows:

\[
g = \mathbb{I} + \frac{\lambda B}{\mu(\lambda - \mu)} + \frac{\lambda \sigma_3 B \sigma_3}{\mu(\lambda + \mu)}. \tag{88}\]

According to (85) the reflectionless potential can be written down as:

\[
q_1(x) = \frac{4i\rho \sin(2\varphi) e^{-i\sigma(x)} e^{\theta(x)} [e^{2\theta(x)} \pm e^{2i\varphi}]}{[e^{2\theta(x)} \pm e^{-2i\varphi}]} \tag{89}\]

\[
\theta(x) = 2\rho^2 x \sin(2\varphi) - \xi_0, \quad \xi_0 = \ln|F_{0,1}/F_{0,2}| \tag{90}\]

\[
\sigma(x) = 2\rho^2 x \cos(2\varphi) - \phi_0, \quad \phi_0 = \phi_2 - \phi_1 - 3\varphi.
\]

where the sign \( \pm \) above refers to DNLS “+” or DNLS “−” respectively. To obtain the 1-soliton solution for (1) we recover the time dependence in (89) by using the correspondence:

\[
\xi_0 \to \xi_0 - 4\rho^4 t \sin(4\varphi), \quad \phi_0 \to \phi_0 - 2\rho^4 t \cos(4\varphi). \tag{90}\]

This way formulas (89)–(90) reproduce the soliton solution obtained by Kaup and Newell by making use of Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko equation. □

Let us consider now the degenerate case when \( g \) has a single pair of real simple poles \( \pm \rho \). Due to (82) formulas (53) and (66) give the following result for the vector \( F \) and the function \( \alpha \)

\[
F^T(x) = F^T_0 e^{i\rho^2 J x} \tag{91}\]

\[
\alpha(x) = \alpha_0 + 2ivx \rho \sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_p |F_{0,p}|^2. \tag{92}\]

In order to obtain a solution to DNLS one needs to recover time evolution making use of the following correspondence:

\[
F^T_0 \to F^T_0 e^{iv^2 J t} \tag{93}\]

\[
\alpha_0 \to \alpha_0 + 4iv^2 t \rho \sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_p |F_{0,p}|^2.
\]

Thus after taking into account (63), (91) and (92) and set \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) formula (45) gives rise to the following rational solution of the matrix DNLS (24):

\[
(q_1(x,t))_{ba} = \frac{2v}{\rho} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} \epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+m} |F_{b+k,m} F^*_k| e^{-iv(x+vt-\varphi_{ak})} \frac{2iv (x + 2vt) - 1}{2iv (x + 2vt) - 1} \times \left\{ \delta_{b+k,m} - \frac{2v_{b+k,m} F_{b+k,m} F^*_k e^{i\varphi_{hk+k+m}}}{2iv (x + 2vt) - 1} \right\} \tag{94}\]

\[
\varphi_{ab} = (\arg F_b - \arg F_a)/v
\]
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where we have used a normalized polarization vector:

$$F_s = \frac{F_{0,s}}{\sqrt{\sum_{p=1}^{m+n} \epsilon_p |F_{0,p}|^2}}, \quad s = 1, \ldots, m+n. \quad (95)$$

**Example 5** Suppose again we have a Lax pair associated with \(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\), i.e. \(m = n = 1\) and set \(E = \sigma_3\) (the choice \(E = I\) leads to a trivial result). Then the dressing factor is again given by (88) and the solution (94) simplifies to

$$q_1(x, t) = 4\rho \frac{1 + i4\rho^2 (x + 4\rho^2 t)^3}{1 + 16\rho^4 (x + 4\rho^2 t)^2} e^{-2i\rho^2 (x + 2\rho^2 t)} \quad \square \quad (96)$$

It is seen that (94) (and 96) is a traveling wave solution with no singularities.

Finally let us consider the case when the dressing factor has a pair of imaginary poles, i.e. we assume \(\mu = i\rho\). Then the vector \(F\) and the function \(\alpha\) are given by:

$$F^T(x) = F_0^T e^{-i\rho^2 Jx} \quad (97)$$

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha_0 - \frac{2ix}{\rho} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_p |F_{0,p}|^2. \quad (98)$$

In order to obtain a solution to DNLS one needs to recover time evolution making use of the following correspondence:

$$F_0^T \rightarrow F_0^T e^{i\rho^2 Jt}$$

$$\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0 + \frac{4i^2 t}{\rho} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_p |F_{0,p}|^2. \quad (99)$$

Thus after taking into account (76), (97) and (98) and set \(\alpha_0 = 0\) we get the following rational solution of the matrix DNLS:

$$(q_1(x, t))_{ba} = \frac{2v}{\rho} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} \epsilon_a \epsilon_b \epsilon_{b+m} \epsilon_{b+m} \frac{F_a F_k e^{i\rho^2 J(x-2vt)}}{1 + 2i\rho (x - 2vt)} \times \left\{ \delta_{b+m} \frac{2e^{i\rho^2 J(x-2vt)}}{1 + 2i\rho (x - 2vt)} \right\} \quad (100)$$

where \(\varphi_{ab} = (\arg F_b - \arg F_a)/\rho\) and by \(\mathcal{F}\) is denoted the normalized polarization vector defined in (95).

**Example 6** Suppose again we have a Lax pair associated with \(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\). The only meaningful situation now is when \(E = I\). Then expression (100) simplifies to

$$q_1(x, t) = 4\rho \frac{1 - 4i\rho^2 (x - 4\rho^2 t)^3}{1 + 16\rho^4 (x - 4\rho^2 t)^2} e^{2i\rho^2 (x - 2\rho^2 t)}. \quad \square \quad (101)$$
The rational solutions (96) and (101) we have just obtained coincides with those in [15] derived from the soliton solution (89) by taking a long-wave limit.

Remark 2 Function (100) (and 101) represents a nonsingular traveling wave solution. In fact, (101) can be obtained from (96) (similarly, (100) from (94)) after substituting $\mu = i\rho$. However, one should keep in mind that those satisfy different NLEEs. □

Remark 3 Clearly, one can recursively apply the dressing procedure we have demonstrated here thus building a whole infinite sequence of exact solutions to DNLS. □

5 Conclusions

We have adapted Zakharov-Shabat’s dressing technique to quadratic bundles related to symmetric spaces of the series $A_{III}$. This allowed us to establish an algebraic procedure for construction of reflectionless potentials which give rise to solutions to NLEEs of the DNLS hierarchy provided time dependence is appropriately recovered. To do this it suffices to use dressing factors with simple poles symmetrically located to coordinate frame, see (44). As an illustration, we have considered in more detail the case when the dressing factor has just a single pair of poles. Using such a factor one can easily obtain explicit formulas for the solutions of (24). Our results naturally generalize those obtained by Kaup and Newell [15] for the scalar DNLS which can be constructed by using a dressing factor in the form (88).

The dressing procedure developed in Section 3 naturally leads to two different classes of solutions: generic soliton type of solutions (85) and rational solutions, see (94) and (100). In contrast to the case of nonlinear Schrödinger equation, fast decaying rational solutions to DNLS are non-singular. The interest on rational solutions has significantly increased [3, 4, 13] after it was observed that rogue waves in open ocean could be modeled through rational solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equation [1, 20, 21, 24]. There is certain evidence [4, 23] that similar phenomena in other media (like optical waveguides or plasma) could be described by rational solutions or solutions over nontrivial background to other NLEEs as well.

Like in the scalar case, one could derive rational solutions (94) and (100) from (85) through a limiting procedure. Clearly, one could derive more complicated rational solutions through a similar limiting procedure but applied on more complicated generic solutions, i.e. those constructed by using dressing factors with multiple pole pairs or a recursive dressing by several single-pair factors. A major drawback of this approach, however, is that finding generic solutions could lead to quite complicated calculations. This is where the procedures exposed in Subsection 3.2 and Subsection 3.3 come into play. Those allow one to directly construct more complicated rational type solutions without knowing the corresponding generic soliton type solutions.

Our results can be extended by constructing solutions over a non-trivial background. Such solutions were obtained in [25, 32] for the case of the scalar DNLS. The
considerations required in this case are more complicated and we intend to discuss it elsewhere.

Another meaningful direction of further developments is to study quadratic bundles associated with other types Hermitian symmetric spaces or, to put it even in a more general context, complete quadratic bundles related to homogeneous spaces like the one given below:

\[ L(\lambda) = i\partial_x + U_0 + \lambda U_1 - \lambda^2 J, \]  

(102)

where \( U_0 \) splits into a diagonal and off-diagonal part, \( U_1 \) is strictly off-diagonal and \( J \) is a diagonal matrix. The theory of complete quadratic bundles like this one is more complicated than in the case we have considered in that report. The latter represents certain interest in relation to N-wave type equations with cubic non-linearity recently derived by Gerdjikov [8].
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