2010-01-01

Schrödinger Operators with δ'Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String

Aleksey Kostenko
Dublin Institute of Technology, aleksey.kostenko@dit.ie

M. M. Malamud
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine

ISSN 1064-5624, Doklady Mathematics, 2010, Vol. 81, No. 3, pp. 342–347. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2010.
Published in Russian in Doklady Akademii Nauk, 2010, Vol. 432, No. 1, pp. 12–17.

MATHEMATICS

Schrödinger Operators with δ'-Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String[1]

A. S. Kostenko[a, b] and M. M. Malamud[b]

Presented by Academician V.P. Maslov October 21, 2009

Received November 9, 2009

Abstract—We investigate one dimensional symmetric Schrödinger operator $H_{X,\beta}$ with δ'-interactions of strength $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ on a discrete set $X = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, b)$, $b \le +\infty$ $(x_n \uparrow b)$. We consider $H_{X,\beta}$ as an extension of the minimal operator $H_{\min} := -d^2/dx^2 \lceil W_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}\backslash X)$ and study its spectral properties in the framework of the extension theory by using the technique of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions. The construction of a boundary triplet for $H_{\min}^*$ is given in the case $d_* := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}}|x_n - x_{n-1}| = 0$. We show that spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, nonnegativity, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ correlate with the corresponding properties of a certain Jacobi matrix. In the case $\beta_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, these matrices form a subclass of Jacobi matrices generated by the Krein–Stieltjes strings. The connection discovered enables us to obtain simple conditions for the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ to be self-adjoint, lower semibounded and discrete. These conditions depend significantly not only on β but also on *X*. Moreover, as distinct from the case $d_* > 0$, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ- and δ'-interactions in the case $d_* = 0$ substantially differ.

DOI: 10.1134/S1064562410030026

Let $\mathcal{I} := [0, b)$, $0 < b \le +\infty$, and let $X = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{I}$ be a strictly increasing sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = b$ and $x_0 := 0$. Let also $\beta := \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Consider a symmetric operator $H_{X,\beta}^0$ in $L^2(\mathcal{I})$ defined by the differential expression $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on functions $f \in W_{\text{comp}}^{2,2}(\mathcal{I}\backslash X)$ satisfying the following boundary conditions at the points $x_n \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= 0, \quad f'(x_n+) = f'(x_n-), \\ f(x_n+) - f(x_n-) &= \beta_n f'(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let us denote its closure by $H_{X,\beta}$. The operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is interpreted as the Hamiltonian with δ'-interactions of strength β_n at the centers x_n [2] and, as a rule, is associated with the formal differential expression

$$l_{X,\beta} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{x_n \in X} \beta_n(\cdot, \delta_n')\delta_n', \quad x \in \mathcal{I}, \tag{2}$$

where $\delta_n := \delta(x - x_n)$ and $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta-function.

Spectral properties of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ are widely studied in the case $d_* := \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} d_n > 0$, where $d_n := x_n - x_{n-1}$. Thus, it is known that the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint [2] and its spectrum is not discrete in this case. Further results (investigation of spectrum, resolvent comparability etc.) as well as a comprehensive list of references can be found in [2, 14].

The cases $d_* = 0$ and $d_* > 0$ are significantly different. Recently, it has been found ([5, Theorem 4.7]) that $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint for any β if $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $d_* = 0$. To the best of our knowledge, other spectral properties of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ in the case $d_* = 0$ have not been studied yet. Let us also mention the recent papers [3, 15] dealing with spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ'-interactions on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure zero.

The main aim of this note is the spectral analysis of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ in the case $d_* = 0$. We investigate the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ in the framework of extension theory of symmetric operators using the concept of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions (see [7, 9]). Let us note that this approach was first applied by Kochubei [12] in the study of operators

[1] The article was translated by the authors.

[a] *Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland*
[b] *Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine*
e-mail: duzer80@gmail.com, mmm@telenet.dn.ua

with point interactions. He investigated Hamiltonians with δ-interactions in the case $d_* > 0$. Let us stress that the main difficulty of this approach is the construction of an adequate boundary triplet.

In this note, we present a corresponding construction in the case $d_* = 0$ and show that the spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ correlate with the corresponding spectral properties of the Jacobi matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ defined by (15) (see below). It turns out that this class of matrices is closely connected with the class of Krein-Stieltjes string operators. Namely, if $\beta_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then this class of matrices is a subclass of Krein—Stieltjes operators (see Section 5). Discovered connection enables us to obtain simple criteria for the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ to be self-adjoint, lower semi-bounded, and discrete. These conditions depend substantially not only on β but also on X. Moreover, as distinct from the case $d_* > 0$, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ- and δ'-interactions in the case $d_* = 0$ differ completely.

Detailed treatment of the results discussed in this note are given in [11].

1. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR DIRECT SUMS AND WEYL FUNCTIONS

Let A be a closed symmetric operator with dense domain $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and equal deficiency indices $n_+(A) = n_-(A)$ $(n_\pm(A) := \dim(\mathfrak{N}_{\pm i})$, $\mathfrak{N}_z := \ker(A^* - zI))$.

Definition 1 ([7]). A collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is an auxiliary Hilbert space, Γ_0 and Γ_1 are linear mappings from $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ to $\mathcal{H}$, is called a boundary triplet for the operator A^* if the abstract Green identity holds

$$(A^*f, g) - (f, A^*g) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(A^*), \tag{3}$$

and the mapping $\Gamma: f \to \{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\}$ from $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is surjective.

A boundary triplet is not unique and, moreover, it enables one to parameterize the set Ext_A of all proper extensions $\tilde{A}$ $(A \subset \tilde{A} \subset A^*)$ of the operator A in terms of abstract boundary conditions. Let $A_j := A^*|\ker(\Gamma_j)$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. It is known that $A_j = A_j^*$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proposition 1 ([7]). *Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for A^*. Then the mapping*

$$(\mathrm{Ext}_A \ni)\tilde{A} \to \Gamma\mathfrak{D}(\tilde{A}) = \{\{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\} : f \in \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{A})\} =: \Theta \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{4}$$

establishes a bijective correspondence between Ext_A *and the set* $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H})$ *of closed linear relations in* $\mathcal{H}$. *Denote* $A_\Theta := \tilde{A}$, *where* Θ *is determined by Eq.* (4). *Then the following statements hold*

(i) $A_\Theta = A_\Theta^* \Leftrightarrow \Theta = \Theta^*$;

(ii) A_Θ *is symmetric* ⇔ Θ *is symmetric. Moreover,* $n_\pm(A_\Theta) = n_\pm(\Theta)$;

(iii) $\mathfrak{D}(A_\Theta) \cap \mathfrak{D}(A_0) = \mathfrak{D}(A) \Leftrightarrow \Theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$. *In this case* Θ *is called a boundary operator and relation* (4) *becomes*

$$A_\Theta = A^* \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma_1 - \Theta\Gamma_0). \tag{5}$$

Definition 2 ([9]). Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for A^*. Operator valued function $M(\cdot)$: $\rho(A_0) \to [\mathcal{H}]$ defined by

$$\Gamma_1 f_z = M(z)\Gamma_0 f_z, \quad f_z \in \ker(A^* - z), \quad z \in \rho(A_0), \tag{6}$$

is called the Weyl function corresponding to the boundary triplet Π.

Further, let S_n be a closed densely defined symmetric operator in $\mathfrak{H}_n$ such that $n_+(S_n) = n_-(S_n) \le \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_n$, consider the operator $A := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$. Let also $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ be a boundary triplet for the operator S_n^*. Define a direct sum $\Pi := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pi_n$ of boundary triplets Π_n by setting

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n, \quad \Gamma_j := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_j^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_j: \mathfrak{D}(A^*) \to \mathcal{H}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{7}$$

Green's formulae (3) for operators S_n^*, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, yield validity of Green's formula for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ and also vectors $f = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$, $g = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) \subset \mathfrak{D}(A^*)$, where

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_j) := \left\{\varphi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\|\Gamma_j^{(n)} \varphi_n\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_n}^2 < \infty\right\}.$$

It is shown in [12] that a boundary triplet Π for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_1^{\infty} S_n^*$ can be chosen in the form (7) if there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ such that all pairs $\{\rho_n S_n, \rho_n S_{n0}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are unitary equivalent to $\{S_1, S_{10}\}$. However, it is not difficult to give an example of the operator $A = \bigoplus_1^{\infty} S_n$ for which a triplet (7) is not a boundary triplet for A^*. The reason is the following. The mapping $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$: $\mathfrak{D}(A^*) \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ may not fulfill the following necessary conditions:

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) = \mathfrak{D}(A^*), \quad \mathrm{ran}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

Next theorem provides criteria for the collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ of the form (7) to be a boundary triplet for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$.

Theorem 1. *Let* $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ *be a boundary triplet for the operator* S_n^*, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and let* $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *be defined by* (7). *Then*:

(i) *the triplet* $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *is a boundary relation* (*in the sense of* [8]) *for the operator* A^*;

(ii) *the collection* $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *is a boundary triplet for the operator* A^* *precisely when the mappings* Γ_j *are bounded, i.e.*,

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|\Gamma_0^{(n)}\right\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|\Gamma_1^{(n)}\right\| < \infty; \tag{8}$$

(iii) *the collection* $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *is a boundary triplet for the operator* A^* if *and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$\sup_n\|M_n(\mathrm{i})\| < \infty, \quad \sup_n\left\|(\mathrm{Im}\,M_n(\mathrm{i}))^{-1}\right\| < \infty. \tag{9}$$

Here $M_n(\cdot)$ *is the Weyl function corresponding to the triplet* Π_n.

(iv) *If* $a_0 = \bar{a}_0$ $(\in\mathbb{R})$ *is the point of a regular type of the operator A, then the collection* $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *is a boundary triplet for the operator* A^* *precisely when*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|M_n(a_0)\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|(M_n'(a_0))^{-1}\right\| < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 1 enables one to regularize an arbitrary collection of boundary triplets $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$ in such a way that the direct sum of the regularized triplets is a boundary triplet for the operator A^*. Namely, assume that $Q_n = Q_n^* \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$ and $R_n \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$ with $R_n^{-1} \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$. We set

$$\Gamma_0^{(n)} := R_n\tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_1^{(n)} := (R_n^{-1})^*(\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)} - Q_n\tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}). \tag{11}$$

Corollary 1. *Let* $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$ *be a boundary triplet for* S_n^*, *and let* $\tilde{M}_n(\cdot)$ *be the corresponding Weyl function,* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *If*

$$\begin{gathered}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{\left\|(R_n^{-1})^*(\tilde{M}_n(\mathrm{i}) - Q_n)R_n^{-1}\right\| < \infty,\\ \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|R_n(\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{M}_n(\mathrm{i})))^{-1}R_n^*\right\|\} < \infty,\end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

then the direct sum $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\Pi_n$ (*see* (7)) *of triplets* $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ *defined by* (11) *is a boundary triplet for the operator* $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$.

Remark 1. *The existence of the regularization* (11) *was first established in* [13, *Theorem* 5.3]. *Namely, in relations* (11) *it is taken* $Q_n := \mathrm{Re}\,\tilde{M}_n(\mathrm{i})$ *and* $R_n := \sqrt{\mathrm{Im}\,\tilde{M}_n(\mathrm{i})}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (*cf.* [13]). *However, let us stress that the latter regularization is not suitable for our aims since it does not lead to a parametrization of the Hamiltonians* $H_{X,\beta}$ *by Jacobi matrices.*

2. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR SCHRODINGER OPERATORS

Consider the minimal (closed) symmetric operator

$$H := H_{\min} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \quad \mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}) = W_0^{2,2}(\mathcal{I}\backslash X). \tag{13}$$

It is clear that $n_\pm(H_{\min}) = \infty$ and the operator $H_{\min}^*$ is defined by the same differential expression on the domain $\mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}^*) = W^{2,2}(\mathcal{I}\backslash X)$. It is easy to see that $H_{\min} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n$, where $H_n := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, $\mathfrak{D}(H_n) = W_0^{2,2}[x_{n-1}, x_n]$.

Define the mappings

$$\Gamma_0^{(n)}f := \begin{pmatrix} d_n^{1/2}f(x_{n-1}+) \\ -d_n^{1/2}f(x_n-)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_1^{(n)}f := \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{d_nf'(x_{n-1}+) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_n-))}{d_n^{3/2}} \\ \dfrac{d_nf'(x_n-) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_n-))}{d_n^{3/2}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the triplet $\Pi_n = \{\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ is a boundary triplet for the operator H_n^*. Moreover, we have the following

Theorem 2. *Let* $d^* := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} d_n < \infty$ *and let* $\Gamma_0^{(n)}$, $\Gamma_1^{(n)}$ *be defined by* (14). *Then the triplet* $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ *defined by* (7) *is a boundary triplet for the operator* $H_{\min}^*$.

Proof. The condition $d^* < \infty$ yields that $z = 0$ is a point of a regular type for the operator $H_{\min}$. To complete the proof of Theorem 2 it suffices to check (10) at the point $z = 0$.

3. PARAMETRIZATION OF HAMILTONIANS WITH δ'-INTERACTIONS

For the remainder of this note, we can assume without loss of generality that $\beta_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, consider the semi-infinite Jacobi matrix

$$B_{X,\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{-2} & d_1^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_2^{-2} & d_2^{-2} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \frac{d_3^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_3^{-2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

In $l^2(\mathbb{N})$, the minimal symmetric operator is naturally associated with the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ (see [4]). We denote it also by $B_{X,\beta}$.

The following Lemma shows that the boundary operator Θ parameterizing $H_{X,\beta}$ via (5) is a Jacobi matrix of the form (15).

Lemma 1. *Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for $H^*_{\min}$ defined in Theorem* 2. *Then $H_{X,\beta} = H_{B_{X,\beta}}$, that is*

$$H_{X,\beta} = H^*_{\min}\lceil \mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}),$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}) = \{f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}\backslash X) \colon \Gamma_1 f = B_{X,\beta}\Gamma_0\}.$$

Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and some results from [7, 9] enable us to establish the following connection between spectral properties of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ and the Jacobi matrix (boundary operator) $B_{X,\beta}$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $d^* < \infty$. Then*:

(i) *the following relation holds $n_\pm(H_{X,\beta}) = n_\pm(B_{X,\beta})$*;

(ii) *the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is lower semibounded precisely when the operator $B_{X,\beta}$ is lower semibounded;*

(iii) *if $n_\pm(H_{X,\beta}) = 0$, i.e., $H_{X,\beta} = H^*_{X,\beta}$, then the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete precisely when $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = 0$ and the spectrum of the operator $B_{X,\beta}$ is discrete.*

4. A CONNECTION WITH THE KREIN–STIELTJES STRING

It is clear that the parameterizing matrix of the form (15) admits the following factorization

$$B_{X,\beta} = R_X^{-1}(I+U)D_{X,\beta}^{-1}(I+U^*)R_X^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

where U is the unilateral shift operator in $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ and

$$D_{X,\beta} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\begin{pmatrix} d_n & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_X := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{d_n} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{d_n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

We put $l_{2n-1} := d_n$, $l_{2n} := \beta_n$, $m_{2n-1} = m_{2n} := d_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the case $\beta_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the difference equation associated with the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ describes the motion of an inhomogeneous string (Krein–Stieltjes string) with mass distribution $\mathcal{M}(y) = \sum_{y_n<y} m_n$, where $y_n - y_{n-1} := l_n$ and $y_0 := 0$. This class of matrices is studied sufficiently well. In particular, criterion of self-adjointness (Hamburger's Theorem [1, Theorem 0.5]) and criterion of discreteness of spectrum (the Kac-Krein Theorem [10]) are known and are formulated in terms of sequences $l = \{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We hardly exploit these criteria as well as Theorem 3 in the following sections.

5. SELF-ADJOINTNESS

Combining Theorem 3 (i) with the representation (16), (17), we obtain

Theorem 4. *Deficiency indices of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ are equal and are not greater than one, $n_+(H_{X,\beta}) = n_-(H_{X,\beta}) \le 1$. Furthermore, $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint if and only if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

(1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = \infty$, *i.e.* $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$;

(2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[d_{n+1}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\beta_i + d_i)\right|^2\right] = \infty.$

Remark 3. In the case of positive strengths β_n, Theorem 4 follows from Hamburger's Theorem [1]. In the case of arbitrary strengths, we use the formulae for $P_n(0)$ and $Q_n(0)$ [1, p. 291] and the self-adjointness criterion [4, Lemma VII.1.5].

Theorem 4 immediately yields the following result of Buschmann–Stolz–Weidmann [5, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 2 ([5]). *If $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$, then the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint.*

6. LOWER SEMIBOUNDED HAMILTONIANS

Using Theorem 3(ii) and the form of the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$, we arrive at the following result.

Proposition 2. *For the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ to be lower semibounded it is necessary that*

$$\beta_n^{-1} \geq -C_1 d_n - \min\{d_n^{-1}, d_{n+1}^{-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad (18)$$

and sufficient that

$$\beta_n^{-1} \geq -C_2 \min\{d_n, d_{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \qquad (19)$$

Here C_1 are C_2 are positive constants independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3. *If $0 < d_* \leq d^* < \infty$, then the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is lower semi-bounded precisely when $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_n^{-1} > -\infty$.*

7. DISCRETENESS OF SPECTRUM

We set $\beta_n^- := \beta_n$ if $\beta_n < 0$ and $\beta_n^- := -\infty$ if $\beta_n > 0$. Applying the discreteness criterion [10] to the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ and combining this with Theorem 3 (iii), we arrive at the following necessary conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $d_n \to 0$. The spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is not discrete if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} d_j^3 > 0$;

(ii) $\beta_n \geq -Cd_n^3$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$;

(iii) $\beta_n^- \leq -C(d_n^{-1} + d_{n+1}^{-1})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$.

Corollary 4. *If either $\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \notin l^3(\mathbb{N})$, then the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is not discrete.*

Proposition 3 shows that the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete in the very exceptional cases. For instance, the spectrum is not discrete if either $\beta \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ or if there exists a subsequence $\{\beta_{n_k}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_-$, which tends to $-\infty$ sufficiently fast. Furthermore, d_n must tend to zero sufficiently fast for the spectrum to be discrete. Sufficient conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ are given in the following

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\beta_n + d_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *If $b < +\infty$, and X and β are such that the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint, then the spectrum of $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete if and only if*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (b - x_n) \sum_{j=1} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0. \qquad (20)$$

(ii) *$\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$, then the spectrum of $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete precisely when*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} d_j^3 = 0, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0. \qquad (21)$$

Remark 4. Let us note that we use another parametrization of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ for proving Propositions 3 (i), (ii) and Theorem 5 (for the details, see [11, Sect. 6].

8. ON THE NEGATIVE SPECTRUM OF THE OPERATOR $H_{X,\beta}$

Let T be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathfrak{H}$ and let $E_T(\cdot)$ be its spectral function. Dimension of the negative subspace $E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{H}$ of the operator T is denoted by $\kappa_-(T)$, $\kappa_-(T) := \dim(E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{H})$.

Proposition 4. *Let $d^* < \infty$ and let $\kappa_-(\beta)$ be the number of negative elements in the sequence $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. If $H_{X,\beta} = H_{X,\beta}^*$, then*

$$\kappa_-(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(\beta). \qquad (22)$$

In particular, $H_{X,\beta} > 0$ if $\beta_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $M(\cdot)$ be the Weyl function of the operator $H_{\min}$, which corresponds to the boundary triplet Π defined in Theorem 2. Using the form of the Weyl function, we get the following equality $M(0) := s\text{-}\lim_{x\to -0} M(x) = 0$. By [9, Theorem 4], $\kappa_-(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(B_{X,\beta} - M(0)) = \kappa_-(B_{X,\beta})$. On the other hand, by (16), (17), $\kappa_-(B_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(D_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(\beta)$.

Remark 5. Using rather different approaches, Proposition 4 was obtained in [15] under assumptions that $\kappa_-(\beta) = |X| < \infty$, and also in [6] assuming that $|X| = \infty$ and $d_* > 0$.

9. HAMILTONIANS WITH δ-INTERACTIONS

Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the differential expression

$$l_{X,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \delta(x - x_n), \quad x \in \mathcal{I}. \qquad (23)$$

In $L^2(\mathcal{I})$, one associates with (23) a closed symmetric operator $H_{X,\alpha}^0$, defined by the differential expression $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on functions $f \in W_{\text{comp}}^{2,2}(\mathcal{I}\backslash X)$ satisfying the following boundary conditions at the points $x_n \in X$:

$$f'(0) = 0, \quad f(x_n+) = f(x_n-),$$
$$f'(x_n+) - f'(x_n-) = \alpha_n f(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \qquad (24)$$

Let $H_{X,\alpha}$ be its closure. The operator $H_{X,\alpha}$ is known as the Hamiltonian with δ-interactions of strengths α_n at the centers x_n [2].

The analogs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 hold true for the operator $H_{X,\alpha}$ (see [11, Sect. 5]) and the role of the matrix (15) is played by the Jacobi matrix

$$B_{X,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r_1^2}\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2}\right) & -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & \frac{1}{r_2^2}\left(\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{d_2} + \frac{1}{d_3}\right) & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \dots \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \frac{1}{r_3^2}\left(\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{d_3} + \frac{1}{d_4}\right) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

where $r_n := \sqrt{d_n + d_{n+1}}$ and $d_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that

$$B_{X,\alpha} = R_X^{-1}((I-U)D_X^{-1}(I-U^*) + A_\alpha)R_X^{-1}, \quad (26)$$

where $A_\alpha = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_n)$, $D_X = \operatorname{diag}(d_n)$, and $R_X = \operatorname{diag}(r_n)$. By (16) and (26), the structure of matrices (15) and (25) are completely different in the case $d_* = 0$. Therefore, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ' and δ-interactions on X are essentially different in this case (see [11]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author acknowledges the support from the ESI Junior Research Fellowship Programme and IRCSET Post-Doctoral Fellowship Program.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961; Oliver and Boyd Ltd, Edinburgh, London, 1965).
2. S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Sec. Edition (AMS Chelsea Publ., 2005).
3. S. Albeverio and L. Nizhnik, Math. Nachr. **279**, 467 (2006).
4. Ju. M. Berezanskii, *Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Self-Adjoint Operators* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965; Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS, 1968, Vol. 17).
5. D. Buschmann, G. Stolz, and J. Weidmann, J. Reine Angew. Math. **467**, 169 (1995).
6. N. Goloschapova and L. Oridoroga, Integr. Equations Oper. Theory **67** (1), 1–14 (2010); DOI 10.1007/s00020-010-1759-x.
7. V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk, *Boundary Value Problems for Operator-Differential Equations* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984; Mathematics and Its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991, Vol. 48).
8. V. A. Derkach, S. Hassi, M. M. Malamud, and H. S. V. de Snoo, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **358** (12), 5351 (2006).
9. V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, J. Fund. Anal. **95**, 1 (1991).
10. I. S. Kac and M. G. Krein, Izv. VUZov, Ser. Matem. **2** (3), 136 (1958).
11. A. S. Kostenko and M. Malamud, J. Differ. Equations (2010), DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2010.02.011.
12. A. N. Kochubei, Ukr. Matem. Zhurn. **41** (10), 1391 (1989) [Ukr. Math. J. **41**, 1391 (1989)].
13. M. M. Malamud and H. Neidhardt, arXiv:0907.0650v1.
14. V. A. Mikhajlets, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **348** (6), 727 (1996).
15. L. P. Nizhnik, Funktsion. Analiz i Ego Prilozh. **37** (1), 85 (2003) [Funct. Anal. Appl. **37** (1), 72 (2003)].