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Abstract

The present study optimised the accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) conditions (Dionex ASE® 200, USA) to maximise the antioxidant capacity of the extracts from three spices of Lamiaceae family; rosemary, oregano and marjoram. Optimised conditions with regard to extraction temperature (66–129 °C) and solvent concentration (32–88% methanol) were identified using response surface methodology (RSM). For all three spices results showed that 129 °C was the optimum temperature in order to obtain extracts with high antioxidant activity. Optimal methanol concentrations with respect to the antioxidant activity of rosemary and marjoram extracts were 56% and 57% respectively. Oregano showed a different response to the effect of methanol concentration and was optimally extracted at 33%. The antioxidant activity yields of the optimal ASE extracts were significantly (p < 0.05) higher than solid/liquid extracts. The predicted models were highly significant (p < 0.05) for both total phenol (TP) and ferric reducing antioxidant property (FRAP) values in all the spices with high regression coefficients (R2) ranging from 0.952 to 0.999.
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1. Introduction

Numerous studies have demonstrated that spices have potent antioxidant properties, mostly due to the quantity and quality of polyphenolic compounds present in them (Hossain, Brunton, Barry-Ryan, Martin-Diana, & Wilkinson, 2008; Shan, Cai, Sun, & Corke, 2005). This has led to the use of extracts from spices in many food applications (Hirasa & Takemasa, 1998). To date a large number of studies have focused on rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.) due to the high antioxidant capacity of this spice (Shan et al., 2005). In fact this property has led to the use of rosemary as a food preservative, either in ground form or as an extract (Peng, Yuan, Liu, & Ye, 2005). Several studies reported that methanolic extracts of marjoram (Origanum majorana L.) had high antioxidant capacity (Hossain et al., 2008; Zheng & Wang, 2001). Marjoram is traditionally administered, orally, for symptomatic treatment of gastrointestinal disturbances and cough. Its spasmyotic and antimicrobial effects are used to treat bronchial diseases. Marjoram is also applied topically to relieve symptoms of the common cold, such as nasal congestion and in mouthwashes for oral hygiene (Bruneton, 1999). Oregano (Origanum vulgare L.) is used as a medicinal plant with health imparting properties such as powerful anti-bacterial and anti-fungal properties (Elgayyar, Draughon, Golden, & Mount, 2001). The compounds for these biological properties are polyphenolic secondary metabolites such as rosmarinic acid, carvacrol, caffeic acid, thymol (Zheng & Wang, 2001). These compounds have been shown to have anticarcinogenic, antimicrobial, antiviral, hypolipidemic, antimutagenic, anti-inflammatory and anticardiovascular disease properties (Lampe, 2003; Srinivasan, 2005). This diverse range of biological properties makes spice phenolics an interesting target for optimising their extraction condition from a natural source. Traditionally extraction of these compounds has been carried out using conventional solid/liquid extraction at atmospheric pressures (Suhaj, 2006). The extraction of phenolic compounds from spices is dependent on several factors such as the extraction medium, temperature, time, pressure, particle size and solvent to herb/spice ratio (Juntachote, Berghofer, Siebenhandl, & Bauer, 2006). Accelerated solvent extraction is relatively a new automated technique which uses low-boiling solvents or solvent mixtures at elevated temperatures up to 200 °C and pressure (3000 psi) to extract target compounds. This increases target compound solubility, solvent diffusion rate and mass transfer, while solvent viscosity and surface tension decrease. ASE presents many advantages over traditional solid/liquid extraction techniques. For example, solid/liquid extraction methods use large quantities of toxic organic solvents, are labour intense, require long extraction times, possess low selectivity and/or low extraction yields, and can result in the
expose of extracts to excessive heat, light and oxygen. In contrast, ASE uses less solvent in a shorter period of time, is automated and retains samples in an oxygen- and light-free environment (Denervent volume used for the extraction. The extraction cell was
antioxidant capacity of the extracts, ASE extraction conditions
the collection of suspended particles in the collection vial. A dis-
drous, ferric chloride hexahydrate, 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine,
posed supercritical carbon dioxide extraction as a quick and green
nique which allows the user to identify optimal conditions for a
selected response while minimising the number of experiments
RSM was first introduced by Box and Wilson (1951) and
it generally requires fewer experimental runs than that required
for full factorial designs, while providing statistically acceptable
results (Tan, Ghazali, Kuntom, Tan, & Ariffin, 2009). Central compos-
ite design (CCD) is the most popular form of RSM as it has been
utilised by a number of researchers to optimise various food
processing methods such as milling (Ghoodke, Ananthanarayan, & Rodrigues, 2009), extraction (Huang, Li, Niu, Li, & Zhang, 2008; Lee, Yusof, Hamid, & Baharin, 2006) and fermentation (Dhandhukia & Thakkar, 2008). In the present study, optimisation of solvent con-
centration and temperature for extracting antioxidant compounds
from spices was carried out using accelerated solvent extraction
(ASE) in conjunction with response surface methodology.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Samples and reagents

The spices were provided by AlikinAll Ingredients Limited, Dub-
lins 12 as dry and ground form. The country of origin of the spices
was Turkey. The plants were grown in sunny and well drained land
with annual rainfall of around 15 in. As per the product specifica-
tions the samples were air dried at ambient temperature
($23$ °C) after heat treatment (steam sterilisation at $120$ °C for
30 s). Folin–Ciocalteu Reagent, gallic acid, sodium acetate anhy-
drous, ferric chloride hexahydrate, 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine,
6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid, sodium
carbonate, rosmarinic acid were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich.

The spices were provided by AllinAll Ingredients Limited, Dublin 12 as dry and ground form. The country of origin of the spices was Turkey. The plants were grown in sunny and well drained land with annual rainfall of around 15 in. As per the product specifications the samples were air dried at ambient temperature ($23$ °C) after heat treatment (steam sterilisation at $120$ °C for 30 s). Folin–Ciocalteu Reagent, gallic acid, sodium acetate anhydrous, ferric chloride hexahydrate, 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine, 6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid, sodium carbonate, rosmarinic acid were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich.

#### 2.2. Accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) procedure

ASE was performed on a Dionex ASE 200 (Dionex Corp., Sunny-
vale, CA) system. Dried and powdered spices (0.5 g) were placed in
between two layers of diatomaceous earth (Dionex ASE 200) stainless-steel cell. The cells were equipped with a stainless
steel filter and a cellulose filter (Dionex Corp.) at the bottom to avoid the collection of suspended particles in the collection vial. A dis-
persing agent (diatomaceous earth, was used to reduce the sol-
vent volume used for the extraction. The extraction cell was
arranged in the cell tray and was extracted using conditions ob-
tained from RSM guided experimental design. The combinations of solvent concentrations and temperatures used in ASE system are presented in Table 1.

The automated extraction cycle was as follows: the cell
containing the sample was prefilled with the extraction solvent,
pressurised (1500 psi), and then heated for 5 min followed by a
static period of 5 min. The sample was extracted with the specified
methanol concentration and temperature during this 5 min. Then,
the cell was rinsed with fresh extraction solvent (80% of the extrac-
tion cell volume) and purged with a flow of nitrogen (150 psi dur-
ing 90 s). Extracts (34 mL) were collected into 60 mL glass vials.
The solvent used was previously degassed with nitrogen to avoid
the oxidation of the analytes under the operating conditions.
The extracts were stored at $-20$ °C in darkness until analysis. Then, the extract was filtered through a $0.45$ μm PTFE filters (Millipore, USA) before antioxidant activity and HPLC analyses. The experi-
ment was performed in two batches which included three replica-
tions in each sample.

#### 2.3. Preparation of solid/liquid extracts

Dried and ground samples (0.5 g) were homogenised for 1 min at
24,000 rpm using an Ultra-Turrax T25 Tissue homogenizer (Janke
& Kunkel, IKA–Labortechnik, Saufen, Germany) in 25 mL of 80%
methanol at room temperature ($23$ °C). The homogenised sample
sparsion was shaken overnight with a V400 Multitude Vortexer
(Alpha laboratories, North York, Canada) at 1500 rpm in room tem-
perature. The sample suspension was then centrifuged for 15 min at
2000g (MSE Mistral 300i, Sanyo Gallenkamp, Leicestershire, UK)
and filtered through a $0.22$ μm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filters.
The extract was kept at $-20$ °C until subsequent analysis.

#### 2.4. Determination of total phenolic content

The total phenolic content was determined using Folin–Ciocalteu
Reagent (FCR) as described by Singleton, Orthofor, and Lamuela-
Raventos (1999). The experiment was performed in two batches
which included three replications in each for both samples and stan-
dard. Methanolic gallic acid solutions ($10–400$ mg/L) were used as
standards. In each replicate, 100 mL of the appropriately diluted sam-
ple extract, 100 mL methanol, 100 mL FCR and finally 700 mL NaCO3
($20$%) were added together and vortexed. The mixture was incubated
for 20 min in the dark and room temperature. After incubation the
mixture was centrifuged at $13,000$ rpm for 3 min. The absorbance
of the supernatant was measured at 735 nm by spectrophotometer.
The total phenolic content was expressed as gallic acid equivalent
(GAE)/100 g dry weight (DW) of the sample.

#### 2.5. Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay

The FRAP assay was carried out as described by Stratil, Klejduš,
and Kuban (2006) with slight modifications. The FRAP reagent was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Methanol%</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7. HPLC analysis of the extracts

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of the filtered sample extracts were carried out according to the method of Tsao and Yang (2003). The chromatographic system (Shimadzu-Model no SPD-M10A VP, Mason Technology, Dublin 8, Ireland) consisted of a pump, a vacuum degasser, a Diode-Array Detector and was controlled through EZ Start 7.3 software (Shimadzu) at 37 °C. An Agilent C18 column (15 cm x 4.6 mm, 5 lm, Agilent Technologies, USA) was utilised with a binary mobile phase of 6% acetic acid in 2 mM sodium acetate (final pH 2.55, v/v, solvent A) and acetoni- trile (solvent B). Solvent A was prepared first by making 2 mM so- dium acetate water solution, which was then mixed with acetic acid at a ratio of 94:6 by volume. All solvents were filtered through a 0.45 lm membrane filter prior to analysis. The flow rate was kept constant at 1.0 mL/min for a total run time of 80 min. The follow- ing gradient programme was carried out: 0–15% B in 45 min, 15–30% B in 15 min, 30–50% B in 5 min, 50–100% B in 5 min and 100–0% B in 10 min. The injection volume for all the samples was 10 lL. All the standards for quantification purposes were dis- solved in methanol. The data acquired at 280, 320, 360 and 520 nm were used for simultaneous monitoring of different groups of poly- phenols. Identification of compounds was achieved by comparing their retention times and UV–Vis spectra with those of authenti- cated standards in the library that was built using the inline DAD with a 3D feature. As recommended by Tsao and Yang (2003) hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives, flavan-3-ols (including their dimers) and dihydrochalcones were quantified at 280 nm; hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives at 320 nm; flavanols at 360 nm and anthocyanins at 520 nm.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Optimal ASE extraction conditions were determined by RSM which was performed using the Design Expert Version 7.1.3 soft- ware (Stat-Ease, Inc., Minneapolis, MN). A central composite design (CCD) was used to investigate the effects of two independent vari- ables (solvent concentration and extraction temperature) on the dependent variables (TP and FRAP). The data obtained from the CCD design was fitted with a second order polynomial equation. The equation was as follows:

\[ Y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} b_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{2} b_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2} b_{ij} X_i X_j \]  

where Y is the predicted response; b0 is a constant; bi is the linear coefficient; bii is the quadratic coefficient, bij is the interaction coef- ficient; and Xi and Xj are independent variables. The adequacy of the model was determined by evaluating the lack of fit; coefficient of regression (R²) and the Fisher test value (F-value) obtained from the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical significance of the model and model variables was determined at the 5% probability level (p < 0.05). The software uses the quadratic model equation shown above to build response surfaces. Three-dimensional re- sponse surface plots were generated by keeping one response vari- able at its optimal level and plotting that against two factors (independent variables). The complete design consisted of 13 experimental points including five replications of the central point. The actual values of the factors for the experimental designs are gi- ven in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimisation of extraction condition from rosemary, marjoram and oregano

Fig. 1 presents response surface plots showing the effect of methanol concentration and temperature on TP and FRAP values of rosemary, marjoram and oregano. Using ASE (Dionex ASE-200, USA), the optimal temperature for obtaining extracts with high antioxidant capacity was 129 °C in all the spieces tested. In fact temperature was found to be the dominant factor in maximising the phenolic content and antioxidant capacity as measured by FRAP values of the extracts. This result was in agreement with the findings of Zgórka (2009) who reported that concentrations of isoflavone obtained from Clover (Trifolium L.) using ASE increased with increasing temperature from 75 °C to 125 °C with no evidence of thermal degradation of the target compounds. Sev- eral other studies using ASE also reported the similar effect of tem- perature on extraction yield of phenolics from plant materials (Santoyo et al., 2009; Zaibunnisa et al., 2009). This is not surprising since increasing temperatures enhances the solubility of many compounds. High temperatures might also have increased the dif- fusion rate of the compounds resulting in antioxidant compounds being extracted at a higher rate. Interestingly ASE offers a unique possibility of using high temperature at very high pressure (1500 psi) while preventing degradation of the extracted com- pounds. This is because high pressure generally increases the sta- bility of covalent bonds within molecules. In the present study, evidence of thermal degradation could only be detected at temper- atures above 150 °C.

For rosemary total phenolic content and FRAP values of the ASE extracts increased with the increasing temperature. A methanol concentration of 50–60% was optimal with regard to antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of extracts from rosemary. Thus RSM guided optimisation demonstrated that optimum ASE extrac- tion conditions for rosemary were 56% methanol coupled with a temperature of 129 °C (Fig. 1a and b and Table 2). Similar results were reported by Akowuah, Ismail, Norhayati, and Sadikun (2005) using conventional solid/liquid extraction. They found 50% aqueous methanol extract of Orthosiphon stamineus showed higher antioxidant capacity and rosmarinic acid content than other meth- anol concentrations (0% and 100%) tested. Both temperature and methanol concentration significantly affected the total phenol and FRAP values of the extracts at linear and quadratic levels (Fig. 2a and b). A significant interaction between temperature and methanol concentration was also observed. Optimally extracted ASE rosemary extracts showed significantly (p < 0.05)
higher TP and FRAP values than the conventional solid/liquid extracts (Table 2). In fact the antioxidant capacity as measured by FRAP was 77.52% higher in the optimal ASE extracts as compared to solid/liquid extracts.

The regression coefficients of both the parameters were high (0.999 for TP and 0.997 for FRAP) and lack of fit statistic was not significant (p > 0.05). These results along with high F-values (1842.13 for TP and 448.10 for FRAP) indicate that the models for TP and FRAP values were significant (p < 0.0001) and adequate. The models could efficiently be used for prediction of the TP and FRAP values as the predicted data correlated highly with experimental data. The Pearson’s correlation coefficients of 0.999 for TP and 0.998 for FRAP values reflected the strength of correlation.

Marjoram exhibited similar behaviour to rosemary having an optimal extraction condition of 57% methanol and 129 °C (Fig. 1c and d and Table 2). A significant (p < 0.05) effect of temperature and methanol concentration was observed on TP and FRAP output variables at both linear and quadratic level. Temperature in general had greater effect than methanol concentration on both the parameters tested (Fig. 2c and d). The interaction was only

**Fig. 1.** Response surface plots of rosemary (a and b), marjoram (c and d) and oregano (e and f) showing the effect of methanol concentration and temperature on TP and FRAP values.
Table 2
Second order polynomial equations and regression coefficients of the response variables and comparison of antioxidant capacity (AC) at optimised ASE conditions (ASEC) vs conventional solid/liquid extracts (SLE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spice name</th>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Second order polynomial equation</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Optimum ASEC (% methanol) ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>AC at optimum ASEC</th>
<th>AC at SLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary</td>
<td>TP (g CAE/100 g BW)</td>
<td>$Y = 7.5394X_1 + 0.000375X_2 + 0.000007X_3 + 0.000007X_4 + 0.0000007X_5 + 0.00000007X_6 + 0.000000007X_7 + 0.0000000007X_8$</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>50/129</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRAF (g Trolox/100 g DW)</td>
<td>$Y = 25.3913 + 0.523027X_1 + 0.000007X_2 + 0.0000075535X_3 + 0.0000007X_4$</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marjoram</td>
<td>TP (g CAE/100 g BW)</td>
<td>$Y = -5.77246 + 0.010757X_1 + 0.163076X_2 + 0.0000965246X_3 + 0.000007057X_4$</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>57/129</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRAF (g Trolox/100 g DW)</td>
<td>$Y = 0.574759 + 0.084132X_1 + 0.283X_2 + 0.000053507X_3 + 0.0001535X_4$</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregano</td>
<td>TP (g CAE/100 g BW)</td>
<td>$Y = 3.51651 + 0.071442X_1 + 0.0024632X_2 + 0.000107221X_3 + 0.000007057X_4 + 0.0000010633X_5 + 0.0000000762X_6 + 0.000000007X_7$</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>33/129</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRAF (g Trolox/100 g DW)</td>
<td>$Y = 12.8547 - 0.076307X_1 + 0.0000378X_2$</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Standardised pareto chart of rosemary (a and b), marjoram (c and d) and oregano (e and f) showing the effect of different factor terms on TP and FRAP values. Bars exceeding the vertical line on the graph indicate that the corresponding factor terms are significant ($p < 0.05$).
significant ($p < 0.05$) on FRAP values. Total phenol content and FRAP values of optimal marjoram ASE extract were 14.96% and 51.38% respectively higher than the solid/liquid extracts. The models were found to be very significant ($p < 0.001$) with respect to ANOVA with high regression coefficients ($R^2$) of 0.965 for TP and 0.994 for FRAP values (Table 2). The data obtained from the assays fitted well with the models as the lack of fit statistic was non-significant ($p > 0.05$). The predicted TP and FRAP values from the models were highly correlated (Pearson’s correlation coefficient $r = 0.986$ for TP and 0.997 for FRAP) with the actual experimental values.

Oregano showed its optimum ASE extraction condition at 33% methanol and 129 °C (Fig. 1e and f and Table 2). This may indicate that oregano contains more hydrophilic antioxidant compounds than the other two spices examined. It is well known that solvent polarity can significantly affect the extraction yield depending on the polarity of the target analyte (Zgora, 2009).

Pareto chart analysis showed that temperature and methanol concentration significantly affected the total phenolic content at both linear and quadratic level. Interaction effect was also significant for TP values. The FRAP values were affected at a linear level by both the factors. The effect of temperature was positive whereas the effect of methanol concentration was negative (Fig. 2e and f).

The models for TP and FRAP in oregano were significant ($p < 0.0001$) and highly predictive. The regression coefficients for TP and FRAP values were 0.998 and 0.952 respectively (Table 2) and the lack of fit was non-significant ($p > 0.05$). The predicted TP and FRAP values from models showed high correlation (Pearson’s correlation coefficient, $r = 0.999$ for TP and 0.975 for FRAP) with the actual values.

### 3.2. Effect of elevated temperatures (>129 °C) on rosmarinic acid in ASE extracts of Lamiaceae spices

ASE extracts optimised with regard to antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of rosemary, oregano and marjoram had significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher amounts of rosmarinic acid than the conventional solid/liquid extracts (Table 3). Since all three spices used showed the best performance at 129 °C, the highest temperature used in the design, the extraction temperatures of 150 °C, 175 °C and 200 °C were also used with the optimal methanol concentration for each as described in Section 3.1. When the temperature of the optimal extraction condition was increased from 129 °C to 200 °C, a sharp decrease of rosmarinic acid in the extracts obtained at 150 °C or above was significant ($p < 0.05$). The FRAP values were continued to increase at these temperatures. This could be explained by degradation of rosmarinic acid into compound/s which had higher antioxidant activity than rosmarinic acid. Moreover loss of rosmarinic acid occurred at much lower temperatures in conventional solid/liquid extraction (105 °C) (Almela, Sánchez-Muñoz, Fernández-López, Roca, & Rabé, 2006).

In the present study, decreases in rosmarinic acid levels at temperatures higher than 150 °C, were accompanied by increases in the caffeic acid content of the extracts with the highest level being reached at 200 °C (Fig. 3 and Table 3). Since rosmarinic acid is an ester of caffeic acid and 3,4-dihydroxyphenyl lactic acid, caffeic acid might be one of the degradation products. Since the antioxidant activity of pure caffeic acid (423.72 g Trolox/100 g DW) is higher than that of rosmarinic acid (406.30 g Trolox/100 g DW) as measured by FRAP assay (unpublished result) this could explain the increase of antioxidant capacity at temperatures higher than 150 °C. Moreover, the quantity of gallic acid which is a powerful antioxidant (346.21 g Trolox/100 g DW) at temperatures higher than 150 °C, 175 °C and 200 °C was also used with the optimal methanol concentration for each as described in Section 3.1. When the temperature of the optimal extraction condition was increased from 129 °C to 200 °C, a sharp decrease of rosmarinic acid in the extracts obtained at 150 °C or above was significantly higher than that of the extracts obtained at 129 °C (Table 3). In fact, the extracts of rosemary obtained at 200 °C contained 3.1 times the gallic acid concentration than the extracts obtained at 129 °C. Quantity of other phenolics such as apigenin-7-O-glucoside, caffeic acid and camosol of ASE extracts of rosemary, oregano and marjoram at 150 °C or above did not show significant ($p > 0.05$) change in comparison to optimal ASE extracts. However, luteolin-7-O-glucoside showed a significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease in quantity at temperatures of 150 °C or above in comparison to optimal ASE extracts. The present study observed the formation of Maillard reaction products (melanoidins) at temperatures of 150 °C or above. The absorbance at 420 nm of the ASE extracts obtained at 150 °C or above was significantly higher than that of optimal and solid/liquid extracts (Table 3). The increase in antioxidant activity of the extracts obtained at 150 °C or above may be related to the production of melanoidins, reported to have antioxidant activity (Morales & Babbel, 2002). However, since the Maillard reaction has been reported to produce potentially harmful compounds (Arvidsson, Van Boekel, Skog, & Jagerstad, 1998) and

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the spice</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rosmarinic acid (mg/g)</th>
<th>Caffeic acid (mg/g)</th>
<th>Luteolin-7-O-glucoside (mg/g)</th>
<th>Apigenin-7-O-glucoside (mg/g)</th>
<th>Gallic acid (mg/g)</th>
<th>Cameric acid (mg/g)</th>
<th>Carnosol (mg/g)</th>
<th>Melanoidins (absorbance at 420 nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary</td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>10.988</td>
<td>5.647</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>10.759</td>
<td>5.460</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175 °C</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td>10.467</td>
<td>5.735</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 °C</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>2.466</td>
<td>11.070</td>
<td>5.519</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>2.078</td>
<td>9.583</td>
<td>5.660</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marjoram</td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.674</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>6.375</td>
<td>5.288</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>4.158</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>6.245</td>
<td>5.273</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175 °C</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.811</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>6.295</td>
<td>5.897</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 °C</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.665</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>2.016</td>
<td>6.690</td>
<td>5.347</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.680</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>5.333</td>
<td>4.458</td>
<td>0.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregano</td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>5.397</td>
<td>2.751</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>8.621</td>
<td>6.186</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4.807</td>
<td>2.633</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>8.415</td>
<td>5.811</td>
<td>0.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175 °C</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.470</td>
<td>2.571</td>
<td>1.449</td>
<td>8.151</td>
<td>5.871</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 °C</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.111</td>
<td>2.533</td>
<td>1.491</td>
<td>8.372</td>
<td>5.691</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.862</td>
<td>2.077</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>7.533</td>
<td>5.581</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Same letters within a spice data set in each column indicate no significant ($p > 0.05$) difference.
changed the natural phenolic profile of the extracts, extractions carried out at temperatures in the range of 150–200 °C are not recommended. Therefore, ASE at 129 °C with respective methanol concentration was determined to be the optimum for extracting antioxidant compounds from the studied spices.
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