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Summary The effect of heat processing on change in colour (ΔE), level of bioactive compounds and overall antioxidant capacity in raw and heat-processed edible Irish brown seaweeds was investigated. Raw seaweeds were heated at 85–121 °C for 15 min in an autoclave and extracted with 60% methanol. In comparison with raw seaweeds for all the species studied, heating increased total phenol (TPC) (1.6- to 1.9-fold) and total tannin (TTC) (1.3- to 2.6-fold) at 95 °C, while total flavonoid (TFC) (1.6- to 3.3-fold) and total sugar (TSC) (1.9- to 4.3-fold) at 85 °C. Similarly, EC_{50} value reduced in case of DPPH• scavenging (30.7–51.8%), metal chelating ability (FIC) (27–67.8%) and H_{2}O_{2} scavenging capacity (13.3–16.1%) at 95 °C, while reduction in lipid peroxidation (10.8–31.5%) observed at 85 °C. Results showed that heating affects content of bioactive compounds as well as beneficial biological activity associated with these compounds, which can suggest new processing for application of seaweeds extract as nutraceutical.
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Introduction The traditional role of antioxidants, as their name suggests, deals mainly with inhibiting the development of oxidative rancidity in fat-based foods. Oxidation, or the loss of an electron, can sometimes produce reactive oxygen species, which include free radicals (e.g. superoxide anion radical (O_{2}^-), hydroxyl radicals (OH•), hydrogen peroxide (H_{2}O_{2}), singlet oxygen (\O_{2}^1)) and free transition metal ions (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1999). These can cause oxidative stress or damage to the cellular biomolecules such as DNA, proteins and lipids. Biomolecules degradation in turn can cause accelerated ageing and many chronic diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular diseases, inflammation and some neurological disorders (Finkel & Holbrook, 2000). Antioxidants are capable of stabilising these free radicals before they can react and cause harm; they also prevent neurodegenerative disorders by scavenging the free radicals and delaying or preventing oxidation of the above-mentioned molecules. Because oxidation is a naturally occurring process within the human body, a balance with antioxidants must exist to maintain health; therefore, antioxidants are becoming an increasingly important ingredient in food processing.

A major trend in the food industry, driven by consumer concerns, has been the shift from the use of synthetic ingredients to natural bioactive compounds in food products. As a consequence, there has been a huge interest to identify natural antimicrobials and antioxidants with multifunctional potential as alternatives for synthetic ingredients to prevent oxidation and spoilage in complex food systems (Wang et al., 2009). Towards the development of safe and improve the quality of products, a new class of food products, called 'functional food', has found great success in the market. A food can be functional if, besides its nutritious effect, it has demonstrated benefits for one or more functions for the humans, improving the state of health or reducing the risk of disease (Diplock et al., 1999). There are a wide range of compounds that are used or could be potentially employed as functional ingredients, such as polyphenols polysaccharides, polyunsaturated fatty acids and other antioxidant pigments (Plaza et al., 2008).

Marine macroalgae, commonly known as seaweeds, are potential renewable resource in the marine habitat. Seaweeds constitute about 6000 species, with a great diversity of forms, size and are present in complex environmental conditions like change of salinity, temperature, nutrients, radiations and combination of light
and high oxygen concentration (Chandini et al., 2008). These seaweeds must therefore, be able to adapt rapidly to the new environmental conditions to survive, producing a great variety of secondary metabolite compounds, which cannot be found in terrestrial plants. These secondary metabolites such as polyphenols (phlorotannins, fucoxanthin, flavonoids) and polysaccharides (fucoidan, laminarans) have preventive effect on cerebrovascular diseases, show anticoagulating activity and help in increasing metabolism and reducing obesity. (MacArtain et al., 2007; Plaza et al., 2008).

Ireland has a great diversity of seaweeds because its location between 51 and 55°N, occupying a range of latitude that overlap both the northern limit for some warm-water species and the southern limit for some cold-water species. Over 500 species of seaweeds (274 red, 147 brown and eighty green species) from Irish coasts have been identified (Morrissey et al., 2001), although the production is small, at around 32 000 tonnes compared to the annual global production figure of 15.1 million tonnes (Campbell, 2007); however, limited information is available on the antioxidant activity and beneficial effects of their bioactive compounds. Brown algae, well-known commercially important seaweeds in Ireland, are found from high on the intertidal to well below the lowest tides. These edible brown seaweeds are attracting increasing attention as a valuable food source because of its high nutritional value when compared to land plants (MacArtain et al., 2007). Asian countries like China and Japan utilise seaweeds as a raw material in processed food, meat, dairy products and domestic commodities. Thermal processing is mainly applied not only for purpose of food preservation but also to increase the edibility, digestibility and bioavailability of nutrients and phytochemicals in foods. In another previous study, we demonstrated that hydrothermal processing of edible Irish brown seaweeds significantly removes the surface microflora with a reduction in antimicrobial activity (Gupta et al., 2010). However, it has long been perceived that thermally processed food, fruits and vegetables have altered nutritional value than fresh produce because of variation in some physiochemical characteristics. Therefore, with this fact in mind, this study aims at evaluating the effect of heat processing on phytochemical constituents, free radical scavenging capacity and antioxidant potential using methanolic extracts of three species of raw and hydrothermally treated (autoclaved) edible Irish brown seaweeds.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

All the chemicals and reference compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (Steinheim, Germany).

Seaweed material and processing

Brown seaweeds used in this study were Laminaria saccharina, Laminaria digitata and Himanthalia elongata. They were purchased from Quality Sea Veg., Co Donegal, Ireland. Samples were washed thoroughly to remove epiphytes, sand and debris and stored at −18 °C until analysis. Seaweed (5 g) was added to 100-mL flask containing 25 mL deionised water and autoclaved (Tomy SS-325; Tomy Seiko Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) at a range temperatures; 85, 95, 100, 110 and 121 °C for 15 min. After cooling, water was decanted and seaweeds were crushed with liquid nitrogen and extracted according to the existing method in our laboratory, with 60% methanol (Gupta et al., 2010). All the dried methanolic extracts were dissolved in water, and a concentration of 1000 ppm was used for phytochemical analysis. Raw seaweeds were used as control against the different heat processing temperatures studied.

Instrumental colour analysis

The Hunter Lab co-ordinates \[ L^* \] (lightness), \[ a^* \] (red–green) and \[ b^* \] (yellow–blue) were measured by a spectrophotometer (ColorQuest XE, Hunter associate laboratory, Inc. Reaston VA) and a CIE standard illuminant C. Hunter lab colour metre was calibrated using black and white references. Colour was studied using the \[ L^* \], \[ a^* \] and \[ b^* \] Hunter scale parameters.

The total amount of colour change to see the effect of heat was calculated as per equation 1 (Silva & Silva, 1999).

\[
\Delta E = \sqrt{\left(L_0 - L\right)^2 + \left(a_0 - a\right)^2 + \left(b_0 - b\right)^2} \tag{1}
\]

where \[ L_0, a_0 \] and \[ b_0 \] are the colour parameters of raw seaweeds. This total change takes into account the change in each of the colours described by the \[ L^* \], \[ a^* \] and \[ b^* \] parameters and may be more applicable than the three colour parameters individually for evaluating the effect of heat on seaweeds.

Phytochemical analysis

Total phenolic content (TPC)

The amount of total phenolic content in the crude methanolic extract was determined using Folin-Ciocalteau’s phenol reagent (Taga et al., 1984). The TPC of the seaweeds was expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g dry weight (dw) extract.

Total flavonoid content (TFC)

The TFC was determined by a colourimetric method described by Liu et al. (2009). TFC was expressed as mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/g extract (dw).
Total condensed tannin content (TTC)
Condensed tannins were determined by a spectrometric method described by Liu et al. (2009). (+)-Catechin was used as a reference compound, and results were expressed as mg (+)-catechin equivalents (ChE)/g extract (dw).

Total sugar content (TSC)
Total sugars were estimated by phenol–sulphuric acid method with minor modifications (Dubois et al., 1956). Briefly, an aliquot of 100 μL of sample or standard was taken with water (1:1, v/v) and 100 μL of phenol solution (0.8%, w/v) were added and mixed well. Finally, 2 mL of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ were added and allowed to stand at room temperature for 10 min. After that, the samples were incubated in water bath for 20 min at 30 °C, and absorbance recorded at 490 nm. The results were expressed as mg glucose equivalent (GlcE)/g extract (dw).

Antioxidant analysis

**DPPH radical scavenging assay**
This assay was carried out as described by Yen & Chen (1995), with some modifications. Briefly, the assay was performed in a 96-well round-bottom microplate with 1:1 ratio of 100 μL of DPPH radical solution (165 μM) and 100 μL of sample. Different concentrations were tested for each sample to get EC$_{50}$ value. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 30 min at 25 °C in dark conditions, and absorbance measured at 517 nm in a microplate reader (Powerwave, Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). The ability to scavenge the DPPH radical was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Scavenging capacity} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{sample blank}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \right]$$

where $A_{\text{control}}$ is the absorbance of the control (DPPH solution without sample), $A_{\text{sample}}$ is the absorbance of the test sample (DPPH solution plus test sample) and $A_{\text{sample blank}}$ is the absorbance of the sample only (sample without any DPPH solution). Calculated EC$_{50}$ values indicate the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% DPPH radicals. The lower the EC$_{50}$ value of the sample, the higher the antioxidant capacity.

**Metal ion chelating ability assay**
The chelating ability of ferrous ion (FIC) by raw and processed brown seaweeds was estimated by the original method of Decker & Welch (1990) with minor modifications. This assay is based upon the formation of blue-coloured ferrous ion–ferrozine complex that has a maximum absorbance at 562 nm. Briefly, 100 μL of varying concentrations of different extract samples and standard were mixed with 100 μL of deionised water and 25 μL of ferrous chloride (0.5 mM) in a microplate. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 25 μL of ferrozine (2.5 mM), and the reaction mixture was shaken vigorously. The absorbance was recorded at 562 nm with a microplate reader, after 10 min of incubation at ambient temperature. EDTA was used as a standard compound. The percentage of inhibition of ferrozine–Fe$^{2+}$ complex formation was calculated using eqn (2).

**Lipid peroxidation in a haemoglobin-induced linoleic acid system (LPO)**
The antioxidant activity was principally determined by a photometry assay reported by Kuida et al. (2005) with slight modifications, which is based upon the degree of inhibition of the haemoglobin-catalysed peroxidation of linoleic acid. The samples (100 μL) of raw, processed seaweeds and standard were mixed in a test tube together with 25 μL of 0.1 m linoleic acid/ethanol and 75 μL of 0.2 m phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). The auto-oxidation of linoleic acid in the above-mentioned reaction mixture was initiated by adding 50 μL haemoglobin (0.08% in water) and incubated for 60 min at 37 °C. The peroxidation of linoleic acid was stopped by adding 5 mL of HCl (0.6% in ethanol). The value of peroxidation of samples in the reacted mixture was calculated using the ferric thiocyanate method, in which colour appeared upon addition of 50 μL ferrous chloride (20 mM) and ammonium thiocyanate (30%) each, in that order. For the estimation of peroxide value, 200 μL of the above-mentioned coloured reacted mixture (A$_{\text{sample}}$) was taken in triplicate in a microplate, and absorbance was recorded at 490 nm using a microplate reader (Powerwave, Biotek, VT, USA). Ascorbic acid was used as standard. The antioxidant capacity (AOC) of the samples was calculated using eqn (2).

**Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) scavenging assay**
The hydrogen peroxide scavenging capacity of raw and heat-processed seaweeds was estimated by the method of Ruch et al. (1989). The concentration of hydrogen peroxide was determined spectrophotometrically (Agilent 8453 with PDA detector, Waldbronn, Germany) by measuring absorption with molar extinction coefficient for H$_2$O$_2$ of 43.6 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ at 230 nm. Hydrogen peroxide solution (40 mM) was prepared in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). A total of 3.4 mL of different seaweed extracts (200–800 ppm) were added to 0.6 mL of hydrogen peroxide solution, and the absorbance was recorded at 230 nm after 10-min incubation against reagent blank solution. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as a reference compound. The percentage scavenging of hydrogen peroxide was calculated according to eqn (2).

**Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay**
Total antioxidant power of phenolic extracts of raw and processed seaweeds samples was measured using FRAP
assay according to the method reported by Benzie & Strain (1996) with some modifications. The reaction was performed in a microplate reader of 96-well plates (Powerwave, Biotek with software Gene 5) at a temperature of 37 °C. Preheated 100 μL FRAP reagent at 37 °C (300 mm acetate buffer, pH 3.6; 10 mm 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mm HCl and 20 mm FeCl3 6H2O in the ratio of 10:1:1) was dispensed in each well with 50 μL of samples or standard. The absorbance was read after 10 min at 593 nm with the help of microplate spectrophotometer. Trolox was used as a standard, and the results were expressed as mg of trolox equivalents/g extract (dw).

Statistical analysis
All the experiments were carried out in triplicate and replicated at least twice. Results are expressed as average ± standard deviation (SD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparisons (Fisher’s least significant difference test) were used to find out the significant difference among various treatments using the STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV (StatPoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA). Values of \( P < 0.05 \) were considered as statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Effect of heat processing on the phytochemical constituents of seaweeds

Total phenolic content
Previous reports revealed that brown seaweed extracts, especially their polyphenols, have antioxidant activity (Kuda et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009). Processing of any kind can alter the content, activity and bioavailability of bioactive compounds (Randhir et al., 2007). With this fact in mind, the present study aimed at investigating effect of hydrothermal processing on the bioactive compounds of brown Irish seaweeds. The TPC from methanolic extracts of raw brown seaweeds such as *H. elongata*, *L. saccharina* and *L. digitata* was 52.5 ± 1.65, 43.5 ± 0.55 and 35.8 ± 1.30 mg GAE/g and it increased by thermal processing at 95 °C by 64%, 75.6% and 89.9%, respectively (Fig. 1a). Among the heat-treated samples, TPC significantly increased \( (P < 0.05) \) until 95 °C and then was found to decrease continuously in all the tested species. Results also indicated that TPC was significantly higher in raw and heat-treated *H. elongata* at 95 °C when compared to the other two species. However, the increase in detectable TPC was more in *L. digitata* (1.9-fold) at 95 °C. Heating beyond 95 °C, resulted in a reduction in TPC and at 121 °C, the content dropped by 68–84% when compared to the raw species in all the tested seaweeds.

Total flavonoid content
Flavonoids are an important part of the diet because of their healthful effect on human nutrition as well as antioxidant activity like free radical scavenging and inhibition of hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes (Frankel, 1995). The effect of heat treatment on the flavonoids of brown seaweeds is shown in Fig. 1b. The TFC of raw *H. elongata*, *L. saccharina* and *L. digitata* were 18.3 ± 1.36, 29.5 ± 2.08 and 15.6 ± 1.57 mg QE/g and after heat treatment at 85 °C, the TFC levels increased significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) by 171%, 59.7%, and 230.1% respectively. Although the TFC increased significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) when the seaweeds were heated at 85 °C and 95 °C, however the enhancement was more and statistically different at 85 °C when compared to 95 °C and raw seaweeds in all three species. Similar finding was also reported in case of steam-processed broccoli where TFC was increased by 295.5% (Roy et al., 2009). It is also concluded that raw *L. saccharina* had more TFC among all the tested species but after heating at 85 °C, the TFC increased more in *L. digitata* (3.3-fold) when compared to *H. elongata* (2.7-fold) and *L. saccharina* (1.6-fold). However, heating above 85 °C, the content was found to reduce continuously, and at 121 °C, it was reduced almost 3-fold when compared to raw seaweeds. There was no significant difference \( (P < 0.05) \) observed in TFC of *H. elongata* with the other two species at 85 °C.

Total condensed tannin content
Condensed tannins or proanthocyanidin, a polymer of flavonoids, are present in many plant species and are responsible for antioxidant capacity. The TTC in raw *H. elongata*, *L. saccharina* and *L. digitata* seaweed was 10.5 ± 0.73, 11.5 ± 0.77 and 8.1 ± 0.73 mg ChE/g. However, after heating for 15 min, the TTC significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) increased by 47.6%, 29.6% and 93.8% at 85 °C and 94.3%, 95.7% and 155.6% at 95 °C, respectively (Fig. 1c). Results also indicated that raw and heat-treated *L. saccharina* at 95 °C had maximum amount of TTC when compared to the other two seaweeds. However, the effect of heat at 95 °C was more pronounced in *L. digitata* as the increase in TTC was higher (2.6-fold), when compared to *L. saccharina* (2-fold) and *H. elongata* (1.9-fold). It is also concluded that at 95 °C, no statistically significant difference was observed \( (P > 0.05) \) in TTC among all the tested species. Heating above 95 °C, the content deteriorated continuously reaching up to a third of the content of raw seaweeds by 121 °C.

Total sugar content
The effect of heat on total sugar content was estimated, and results are shown in Fig. 1d. The TSC in *H. elongata* increased when heating at 85 °C to a maximum of 4.3-fold and then continuously decreased
but the amount was significantly higher even after 85–121 °C when compared to raw seaweed. Similarly, in *L. digitata* and *L. saccharina*, the TSC increased at 85 °C to a maximum of 2.7- and 1.9-fold and thereafter dropped continuously, while at 121 °C the percentage reduction was observed by 55.0% and 35.4%, respectively. Results also indicated that the raw *L. saccharina* has maximum amount of TSC among all the raw species. However, after heating at 85 °C, the amount of total sugar was significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) in *L. digitata* (520.6 ± 4.83), while enhancement in TSC was maximum (4.3-fold) in *H. elongata*.

The changes in phytochemical content by hydrothermal processing, as indicated by the present results, could be attributed to the complete breakdown or modification of cellular components by heat. These phenolic compounds are usually present in bound states as conjugates with sugars, fatty acids or proteins. It could be anticipated that the disassociation of these complexes followed by some polymerisation of the phenolic contents may be responsible for the increased antioxidant capacity (Randhir *et al.*, 2007). It can also be concluded that, after heat treatment, the increase in phenolic, flavonoid, sugar and tannin content may be because of the increased release of metabolites from the matrix which become more accessible during the extraction process (Roy *et al.*, 2009). This indicates that thermal processing might produce changes in their extractability because of the disruption of the cell wall and cell membrane. Thus, bound polyphenols, flavonoids, sugars and tannins may be released more easily when compared to those in raw materials (Gawlak-Dziki, 2008; Roy *et al.*, 2009). Jeong *et al.* (2004) and Choi *et al.* (2006) also reported the increase of total phenolic and flavonoids content in heated citrus peel and shiitake because of the breakdown of the matrix.

Heat treatment could deactivate endogenous oxidative enzymes that are responsible for the destruction of antioxidants. Therefore, the increased antioxidant content could be a result of the absence of enzymatic oxidation, generally caused by these enzymes, which subsequently prevent the loss of the antioxidant compounds in the heat-treated plant materials (Dewanto *et al.*, 2002). It is reported that simple heat treatment could not cleave the covalently bound compounds but that far-infrared heat treatment could (Lee *et al.*, 2003). This indicates that phenolic compounds of plants could be present in different bound states depending on the species.

**Effect of heat processing on colour of brown seaweeds**

The change in colour (ΔE) calculated from colour parameters *L*, *a* and *b* of raw and heat-treated seaweeds is illustrated in Fig. 1c. The smaller the values of ΔE, the closer the samples are in colour to the raw seaweeds. Values of ΔE between 0 and 0.2 indicate an imperceptible colour difference, 0.2–0.5 for a very small difference, 0.5–1.5 for a small difference, 1.5–3.0 for distinct, 3.0–6.0 for very distinct, 6.0–12.0 for great and values > 12 for a very great difference (Silva & Silva, 1999). Results showed that heat treatment had a strong effect on change in colour; as the temperature increased, the value of ΔE increased significantly (*P* < 0.05) in all the seaweeds species. The value of ΔE was more than six at all temperatures which indicated a huge difference in colour after heat treatment (*P* < 0.05) in all the species. The effect of heat was more

---

**Figure 1** Phytochemical content and change in colour of raw and heat-processed Irish brown seaweeds extracts (■: *Himanthalia elongata*; □: *Laminaria saccharina*; △: *L. digitata*).
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<th>100 °C</th>
<th>110 °C</th>
<th>121 °C</th>
<th>Raw 85 °C</th>
<th>95 °C</th>
<th>100 °C</th>
<th>110 °C</th>
<th>121 °C</th>
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</tr>
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</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in colour</th>
<th>Raw 85 °C</th>
<th>95 °C</th>
<th>100 °C</th>
<th>110 °C</th>
<th>121 °C</th>
<th>Raw 85 °C</th>
<th>95 °C</th>
<th>100 °C</th>
<th>110 °C</th>
<th>121 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tbody>
</table>
pronounced at 95 °C as the value of ΔE was maximum and statistically different (P < 0.05) from all the tested temperatures for each species. It was also observed that change in colour was not statistically different (P < 0.05) between H. elongata (ΔE 25.4 ± 1.55) and L. digitata (ΔE 23.8 ± 0.87) at 95 °C, while L. saccharina (ΔE 12.6 ± 1.56) had a significantly different value of ΔE when compared to the other counterparts at the same temperature.

The increase in ΔE value was reflected in high antioxidant content (as shown in Fig. 1) for a given temperature. In fact total phenol and total tannin content of raw and processed seaweeds were positively correlated with ΔE. Furthermore, the change in colour is a direct reflection of TTC and TPC which also increased significantly (P < 0.05) as temperature increased up to 95 °C (Fig. 1c,e). The above-mentioned findings were confirmed by a high and significant (P < 0.05) positive correlation (r² ranging from 0.901 to 0.947) between the value of ΔE and antioxidant content such as TTC in all the seaweeds.

Effect of heat processing on radical scavenging capacity of seaweeds

**DPPH radical scavenging**

The free radical scavenging capacity of raw and processed seaweeds along with reference compounds, such as ascorbic acid and BHT, were determined by the DPPH radical and the results are shown in Fig 2a. The scavenging of DPPH* is caused by the donation of hydrogen by polyphenols, and the scavenging can be quantified by the change in colour from purple to yellow. A lower value of EC₅₀ indicates a higher antioxidant capacity. The highest DPPH* scavenging was detected in raw H. elongata (EC₅₀ 97.5 ± 1.90 ppm) followed by L. saccharina (EC₅₀ 480.4 ± 5.71 ppm) and L. digitata (EC₅₀ 619.5 ± 8.58 ppm). After heating at 95 °C for 15 min, the antioxidant capacities increased in all the tested species. The EC₅₀ value at the aforementioned temperature was 50.0 ± 0.65, 298.7 ± 4.16 and 332.8 ± 3.58 ppm for H. elongata, L. digitata and L. saccharina, respectively, which was significantly lower (P < 0.05) when compared to raw seaweeds. Results also indicated that raw L. saccharina has higher antioxidant capacity than L. digitata but after heat treatment, the activity was significantly higher in L. digitata. Among all the species treated at 95 °C, the EC₅₀ of H. elongata was 6.6-fold and 6-fold lower than L. saccharina and L. digitata. Even at the highest heating temperatures, the EC₅₀ of H. elongata was significantly lower when compared to the lowest EC₅₀ values of the other two species. The percentage reduction in EC₅₀ values for all the seaweeds at 95 °C was 30.7–51.8%. The EC₅₀ values of all the processed seaweeds at 95 °C were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than ascorbic acid (36.9 ± 0.54) and BHT (24.4 ± 0.28 ppm). The results obtained in the present study support the previous observation (Yoshiki et al., 2009) wherein heating seaweeds at 100–121 °C significantly enhanced the antioxidant activity by 1.4–2.4 times when compared to unprocessed seaweeds. A correlation analysis was carried out between phytochemical content and DPPH* scavenging capacities among all raw and heat-processed seaweeds. In the case of DPPH* scavenging capacity, L. digitata showed high and significant correlation (P < 0.05) with phytochemical content (r² ranging from 0.906 to 0.997). However, L. saccharina exhibited a statistical significant correlation with TPC and TTC, while H. elongata showed only a statistically significant correlation with TPC (data not shown).

**Metal ion chelating ability**

Metal ion chelating ability of raw and processed seaweeds along with EDTA (reference compound) was determined with the help of ferrozine–Fe²⁺ complex. Ferrozine has a tendency to form red-coloured complexes quantitatively, with ferrous ion but in the
presence of other ion chelating agents, the complex formation is disrupted, resulting in a decrease in the red colour of the complex. Among all the tested seaweeds, unprocessed \textit{L. saccharina} showed highest ferrous ion chelating ability followed by \textit{L. digitata} and \textit{H. elongata} (Fig. 2b). After heating at 95 °C, the EC$_{50}$ values for ferrous ion chelation reduced by 27%, 55.7% and 67.8% in \textit{H. elongata} (EC$_{50}$ 1450.0 ± 21.36 ppm), \textit{L. saccharina} (EC$_{50}$ 210.6 ± 5.45 ppm) and \textit{L. digitata} (EC$_{50}$ 231.2 ± 2.58 ppm), respectively. For \textit{Laminaria} sp., heating beyond 95 °C resulted in continuous increase in the EC$_{50}$ value, and at 121 °C, it got enhanced by almost 3-fold. However, for \textit{H. elongata}, there was almost no change in the EC$_{50}$ value between raw or processed at 121 °C. In comparison with EDTA, all the raw and heat-treated seaweeds species showed a weak ferrous ion chelating ability. EDTA exhibited excellent metal ion chelating ability at lowest concentration (EC$_{50}$ 15.9 ± 1.87 ppm) tested.

**Ferric reducing antioxidant power**

Antioxidant power of raw and heat-processed extracts of seaweeds was estimated from their ability to reduce the colourless Fe$^{+3}$–TPTZ complex to intense blue colour complex of Fe$^{+2}$–TPTZ. The reducing power indicates that the antioxidants present in the extracts have a tendency to donate electrons and can reduce the oxidised intermediates of the lipid peroxidation process, thereby acting as primary and secondary antioxidants (Yen & Chen, 1995). The reducing power of raw and processed seaweed extracts varied markedly at different temperatures (Fig. 2c). Current work showed that raw \textit{H. elongata} has more reducing ability than the other two studied seaweeds. After heating at various temperatures, the value of FRAP continued to increase significantly ($P < 0.05$) up to 110 °C and then decreased when compared to raw seaweeds. At 110 °C, \textit{H. elongata} exhibited 2.6-fold enhancement followed by 2.4- and 2.8-fold in \textit{L. saccharina} and \textit{L. digitata}. There was no statistical difference ($P > 0.05$) observed between the \textit{L. saccharina} and \textit{L. digitata} at the same temperature. However, at 121 °C, there was no reduction observed, and all the species showed almost similar FRAP value when compared to the respective raw seaweeds. Kuda et al. (2005) also demonstrated that the antioxidant power of \textit{Porphyra} sp. increased after heating at 120 °C.

**Lipid peroxidation in a haemoglobin-induced linoleic acid system**

Peroxyl radicals are formed by a direct reaction of oxygen with alkyl radicals, and these radicals attack biomolecules such as lipids to initiate free radical chain reactions and cause lipid peroxidation. The antioxidant effect of the extracts from raw, heat-processed seaweeds and BHT on the haemoglobin-catalysed peroxidation of linoleic acid was investigated, and the results are presented in Table 1. The lipid peroxidation capacity of raw \textit{H. elongata} was highest when compared to \textit{L. saccharina} and \textit{L. digitata}. After heat treatment at various temperatures, it was observed that the effect of heat processing was more pronounced at 85 °C as the EC$_{50}$ value reduced by 10.8% (\textit{H. elongata}) to almost 30% in \textit{Laminaria} sp. Heating beyond 100 °C, all the extracts showed less scavenging capacity and at 121 °C, the value of EC$_{50}$ increased 1.4- to 1.8-fold, when compared to the unprocessed seaweeds. All the raw and processed seaweeds extracts exhibited less scavenging capacity than the reference antioxidant such as ascorbic acid (EC$_{50}$ 94.2 ± 0.76 ppm).

**Hydrogen peroxide scavenging**

Hydrogen peroxide is only mildly reactive by itself but it can sometimes be toxic to cells or food system because it may give rise to hydroxyl radicals (OH$^\cdot$) and singlet

**Table 1** EC$_{50}$ values of hydrogen peroxide scavenging and lipid peroxidation for reference antioxidant compounds, raw and heat-processed Irish brown seaweeds extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Raw (ppm)</th>
<th>85 °C (ppm)</th>
<th>95 °C (ppm)</th>
<th>100 °C (ppm)</th>
<th>110 °C (ppm)</th>
<th>121 °C (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide scavenging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himanthalia elongata</td>
<td>358.2 ± 8.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>328.7 ± 3.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>300.5 ± 4.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>305.3 ± 5.35&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>330.6 ± 4.88&lt;sup&lt;c&gt;</td>
<td>381.6 ± 4.59&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminaria saccharina</td>
<td>361.5 ± 8.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>332.9 ± 6.99&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>309.8 ± 3.28&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>323.9 ± 5.33&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>351.2 ± 9.14&lt;sup&lt;</td>
<td>370.8 ± 7.89&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. digitata</td>
<td>334.2 ± 3.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>318.5 ± 4.94&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>289.9 ± 4.21&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>330.0 ± 5.67&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>345.7 ± 4.21&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>376.0 ± 4.90&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT†</td>
<td>165.1 ± 1.79&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid peroxidation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. elongata</td>
<td>546.3 ± 7.59&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>487.4 ± 3.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>527.5 ± 11.59&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>694.2 ± 13.91&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>788.7 ± 21.28&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>978.5 ± 18.50&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. saccharina</td>
<td>721.5 ± 24.57&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>494.6 ± 12.98&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>706.5 ± 15.94&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>756.3 ± 9.08&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>943.9 ± 16.02&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1007.3 ± 8.24&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. digitata</td>
<td>738.7 ± 11.90&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>515.6 ± 14.46&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>753.1 ± 12.62&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>945.3 ± 15.59&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1028.3 ± 7.02&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1217.3 ± 27.78&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid†</td>
<td>94.2 ± 0.78&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 6$).
†Reference antioxidant compound.

Letters ($^{a-f}$) in a row are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) for various treatments for each individual species and the standard compound.

Letters ($^c-f$) in a column are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) for one temperature among the three species, for individual test.
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oxygen (\(O_2\)) by reacting with transition metals ions (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1999). The scavenging capacity of \(H_2O_2\) by raw and heat-treated brown seaweeds along with reference antioxidant (BHT) are illustrated in Table 1. All the raw seaweeds extracts were capable of scavenging hydrogen peroxide in a concentration-dependent manner. At a concentration of 400 ppm BHT, \(L.\ digitata, H.\ elongata\) and \(L.\ saccharina\) exhibited 100%, 60.0 ± 0.37%, 56.1 ± 2.37% and 55.8 ± 1.85% scavenging capacity towards hydrogen peroxide, respectively (data not shown). With regard to EC\(_{50}\) values of hydrogen peroxide scavenging ability, \(L.\ digitata\) had the highest and statistically different (P < 0.05) scavenging ability than the other two species, while there was no significant difference in scavenging ability observed in \(H.\ elongata\) and \(L.\ saccharina\). After heating at 85, 95, 100 and 110 °C, the scavenging capacity increased when compared to raw but the highest and statistically significant (P < 0.05) scavenging ability was observed at 95 °C in \(L.\ digitata\) followed by \(H.\ elongata\) and \(L.\ saccharina\). The percentage reduction in EC\(_{50}\) values at the same temperature was around 15% in all the seaweeds. The percentage increase in EC\(_{50}\) values was only 2.6–12.5% in all the tested species when compared to raw. Thus, it can be interpreted that heating did not have much affect hydrogen peroxide scavenging capacity when compared to other antioxidant assays carried out in the present study. These results indicate that the compounds responsible for hydrogen peroxide scavenging capacity are almost heat stable and can be used as antioxidants in high-temperature processed food products.

Previous studies have shown that phenolic compounds are the main contributors to the antioxidant capacity of various seaweeds followed by small amounts of other unknown compounds (Shibata et al., 2004). The ability of phenolic compounds to act as antioxidants is not only because of their tendency to donate hydrogen ions or electrons but also because of their stable radical intermediates, which prevents the oxidation of various food ingredients (Maillard et al., 1996). In the present study, heating plays a significant role in enhancing the phytochemical content and antioxidant capacity of Irish brown seaweeds. In some previous studies, heating vegetables has been shown to increase total antioxidant activity with the increase in TPC and TFC (Dewanto et al., 2002; Jeong et al., 2004; Choi et al., 2006). These reports give a strong support to the findings of the present study that heating could also enhance the antioxidant capacity in seaweeds.

### Conclusion

This research work has revealed that Irish brown seaweeds are a good source of bioactive compounds. They have potent antioxidant capacity which was significantly increased by heating. It is well known that natural constituents could be significantly lost during the heat processing because of the fact that most of the biologically active compounds are relatively thermostable. However, in this study, heat treatment causes improved effect in the content and activities of naturally occurring antioxidants in seaweeds. Sometimes heating has the potential of producing novel compounds having antioxidant capacity through Maillard’s reaction. These findings could provide new avenues for developing new nutraceutical foods based on seaweeds with particular considerations of processing conditions. The purification and identification of these bioactive compounds in brown seaweeds is currently undergoing.
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