2012-10

The Effect of Delactosed Whey Permeate on Phytochemical Content of Canned Tomatoes.

Lubna Ahmed

Technological University Dublin, lubna.ahmed@tudublin.ie

Ankit Patras

Technological University Dublin

Ana Belen Martin-Diana

Technological University Dublin, anabelen.martindiana@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>

Part of the [Food Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ahmed, L., Patras, A., Martin-Diana, A., Rico, D. and Barry-Ryan, C. The Effect of Delactosed Whey Permeate on Phytochemical Content of Canned Tomatoes.. Food chemistry, 2012 Oct 15;134(4):2249-56. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.04.104

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, gerard.connolly@tudublin.ie.

Authors

Lubna Ahmed, Ankit Patras, Ana Belen Martin-Diana, Daniel Rico, and Catherine Barry-Ryan

THE EFFECT OF DELACTOSED WHEY PERMEATE ON PHYTOCHEMICAL CONTENT OF CANNED TOMATOES

Lubna Ahmed^{a*}, Ankit Patras^b, Ana B. Martin-Diana^c, Daniel Rico^c and Catherine Barry-Ryan^a

^aSchool of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology
(DIT), Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland.

^bDepartment of Food Science, University of Guelph, Canada.

^cAgricultural Technological Institute of Castilla and Leon (ITACYL). Government of Castilla and Leon, Finca Zamadueñas, Valladolid, Spain.

Corresponding Author: Lubna Ahmed, School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology (DIT), Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland.
Phone: 35314024442, Fax: +35314024495, e-mail: lahmed@dit.ie

ABSTRACT:

The effect of delactosed whey permeate (DWP) treatment on antioxidant and phyto-chemical components of canned Irish plum tomatoes were investigated. Tomatoes were sterilized for 5 min (F_0) at 120 °C and stored for 6 months. The DWP treatment retained significantly ($p<0.05$) higher levels of ascorbic acid and lycopene of tomatoes. The antioxidant activity of DWP treated tomatoes was higher (7 %) than the control at the end of storage. The firmness in DWP-treated fruits was around 40 % higher than that in control. All the parameters decreased significantly ($p<0.05$) during storage except lycopene and total phenols. Lycopene content showed no significant change and total phenols increased during storage. The changes in ascorbic acid, antioxidant activity and texture were fitted well to Weibull kinetic models with high coefficients of determination (R^2) and low RMSEC (root mean sum of squared error). The results clearly indicate that DWP enhanced the retention of antioxidant compounds in tomatoes during storage.

Key words: Delactosed whey permeate; canned tomato; processing; antioxidants; Weibull.

1. Introduction

Food canning has been one classical way to provide a continuous supply of food independently of the seasonal availability of raw materials. Acidification and thermal treatment are two widely used methods in preservation of canned fruits and vegetables. Canning processes extend the shelf life of the products and make it safe for human consumption by destroying the pathogenic microorganisms. The sterilization of the canned food is usually carried out by steam heating to a temperature sufficient to kill the microorganisms. However, thermal processing of food is often considered to cause losses of micronutrients (Seybold, Fröhlich, Bitsch, Otto & Böhm, 2004). Optimum thermal sterilization of food always requires a compromise between the beneficial and destructive influences of heat on the food. On the positive side, heat destroys microbial pathogens, spoilage organisms and endogenous and introduced enzymes that would otherwise render the food inedible or unsafe. At the same time, concentrations of heat-labile vitamins, particularly thiamine, vitamin C and folate are reduced. In many foods, organoleptic quality is reduced by the heat of the sterilization process. The texture of canned vegetables is often softer than desired (Durance, 1997). Both physical and chemical changes occur during processing and, to a lesser extent, during storage, and it is these that determine the product quality in terms of its sensory properties and nutrient content. These physicochemical changes are influenced by the time and temperature of the process, the composition and properties of the food, the canning medium, and the conditions of storage (Patras, Brunton, Pieve, Butler & Downey, 2009).

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is a versatile vegetable that is consumed fresh as well as in the form of processed products with more than 65 % of the world tomato production being processed. It is considered as an important source of dietary antioxidants as it is rich in vitamins, carotenoids and phenolic compounds (Toor & Savage, 2005). Results from epidemiological studies have shown that high consumption of tomato fruit is

consistently correlated with a reduced risk of chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and certain types of cancer (Sgherri, Kadlecova, Pardossi, Navari-Izzo & Izzo, 2008). The increase in the consumers' awareness of the health benefits of tomatoes is leading to an increase of tomato consumption. Retention of the quality and shelf-life of fresh tomato and processed tomato products is now the interest of the industry and consumers.

Whey permeate is a by-product of the production of whey protein concentrate from cheese whey. The main components of whey permeate are water, lactose, peptides and minerals. Whey and whey permeate have been proposed to be used as a natural antioxidant in foods (Contreras, Hernández-Ledesma, Amigo, Martín-Álvarez & Recio, 2011). Enzyme-hydrolysed whey protein is widely used as a bioactive and nutritional ingredient in health and food products (Marshall, 2004). Previous studies have shown that whey protein hydrolysates contain a broad range of antioxidant activity in an iron-catalysed liposome oxidation system (Peña-Ramos & Xiong, 2003) or a copper-catalysed liposome emulsion (Colbert & Decker, 1991), depending on the proteases used. Whey hydrolysates applied to cooked meat pork patties could suppress lipid oxidation (Peña-Ramos & Xiong, 2003). Acidic whey permeate was successfully used for decontamination of fresh-cut lettuce and carrots during storage (Martin-Diana, Rico, Frias, Mulcahy, Henehan & Barry-Ryan, 2006). Delactosed whey permeate could enhance the antioxidant activity of the fresh-cut tomato while retaining the antioxidant components of tomatoes during storage (Ahmed, Martin-Diana, Rico & Barry-Ryan, 2011a, b, c, d).

Kinetic models are often used for an objective, fast and economic assessment of food safety and food quality. Kinetic modelling may also be employed to predict the influence of processing on critical quality parameters. Weibull distribution function has an interesting potential for describing microbial, enzymatic and chemical degradation kinetics (Cunha et al., 1998). Traditionally, the degradation of nutrients in foods during their thermal processing and

storage has been described in terms of zero, first or higher order kinetics (Taoukis et al., 1997). However, Weibull model is extremely flexible owing to the inclusion of a shape constant in addition to the rate constant.

Therefore the objective of this study was to investigate the efficacy of delactosed whey permeate treatment on retention of the phytochemical contents of canned tomatoes during storage and to model the changes in antioxidant activity, phenols, ascorbic acid, lycopene, texture and colour parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

Irish vine ripened plum tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L. Mill.) cv. Moneymaker were purchased from a local grower. According to the grower, the tomato plants were grown commercially in a greenhouse with a 14 h light period from February until November. The aerial environment of the greenhouse, crop irrigation and nutrition were precisely controlled. The temperature of the greenhouse was 16 - 21 °C which is optimum for lycopene synthesis in tomato fruits. The tomatoes were then brought to the food processing laboratory and stored at 4 °C before processing. The experiments were carried out between June to November 2010.

2.2. Preparation of treatment solution

Liquid delactosed whey permeate (DWP) was supplied by Glanbia Ingredients Ltd., Kilkenny, Ireland. DWP was obtained after removal of lactose crystals from cheese whey permeate. In this experiment DWP was used at 3 % (v/v) concentration as optimised in a previous research (Ahmed, Martin-Diana, Rico & Barry-Ryan, 2011b). The solution was prepared using distilled water stored at room temperature. The main components of DWP were given in Table 1.

2.3. *Preparation of Tomato samples for processing*

Whole tomatoes were rinsed in tap water prior to washing in order to avoid soil contamination. In order to facilitate packing and eliminate dissolved gases within the tissues, the tomatoes were water-blanching for 1 min at 100 °C in a steam-jacketed kettle and then quickly cooled in ice cold water to peel the skin. Approximately 200 g tomatoes were added to each can (75 × 110 mm, WEI/WEISS03, Germany). The tomatoes were topped with 100 ml solution (3 % DWP + 0.5 % NaCl + 0.25 % citric acid) with 6 –10 % headspace at room temperature. The control treatment was 0.5 % NaCl + 0.25 % citric acid.

2.4. *Canning Experiment*

The prepared cans were loaded into a pilot scale retort (Barriquand Steriflow, Roanne, France). Sample core temperature profiles and F_0 values were recorded during the process, using an Ellab E-Val TM TM9608 data module (Ellab [UK] Ltd., Norfolk, England) connected to a laptop. A standard Ellab SSA-12080-G700-TS temperature probe was inserted through an Ellab GKM-13009-C020 packing gland (20 mm) into a tomato placed in a can to record the cook cycle. Temperature was monitored every 10 s. The samples were heated to achieve a process equivalent to 121 °C for 5 min at the end of the cook-cool cycle and samples were stored for 6 months at room temperature. Prior to any canning experiment, all Ellab unit probes were calibrated against a JOFRA (ATC-155B) calibration unit at temperatures of 121 °C and the result associated with the calibration did not exceed ± 0.1 °C.

2.4. *Biomarkers Analysis of Canned Tomatoes*

Ascorbic acid, lycopene, total phenols, antioxidant activity (as measured by DPPH and FRAP), texture and colour parameters of canned tomatoes were monitored over 6 months stored at room temperature.

2.4.1. *Ascorbic acid*

Ascorbic acid in tomatoes was analysed by HPLC with a slight modification of the method described by Lee and Castle (2001). A tomato sample (1.25 g freeze-dried powder) was weighed and 25 ml of 6 % metaphosphoric acid (pH 3.0) was added to it. The sample was then homogenized for 1 min at 24,000 rpm using an Ultra-Turrax T-25 Tissue homogenizer (USA). Then the sample was shaken with a Gyrotory Shaker G-2 (USA) for 2 hrs at 150 rpm and centrifuged for 15 min at 3,000 $\times$ g at 4 °C (Sanio MSE Mistral 3000ii, UK). Following centrifugation, 10 ml of the supernatant was filtered through PTFE syringe filters (pore size 0.45 μ m, Phenomenex, UK) and stored at - 20 °C in foil covered plastic test tubes for further analysis by HPLC. The analysis of ascorbic acid content was performed with Waters 600 Satellite HPLC, with a reversed phase analytical 5 μ m particle diameter, polymeric C₁₈ column (150 $\times$ 4.6 mm, 5 μ m) (Waters, Dublin, Ireland) with a UV-tuneable absorbance detector (Waters 486) at 230 nm. Ten μ l of the tomato sample was injected. An isocratic mobile phase of 25 mM monobasic potassium phosphate (pH 3.0) with a flow rate of 1.0 ml / min was used. Five concentrations of ascorbic acid standard in 6 % metaphosphoric acid in the range 10 - 50 μ g / ml were injected and peak area and height were determined.

2.4.2. *Lycopene*

A tomato sample (1.25 g freeze-dried powder) was weighed and transferred into a 100 ml beaker (wrapped with aluminium foil). A 50-ml volume of hexane-acetone-ethanol solution (2:1:1 v/v/v) containing 2.5 % BHT was added to solubilise the lycopene (Shi & Le Maguer, 2000). Following this the samples were homogenized with an Ultra-Turrax T-25 tissue homogenizer for 1 min at 20,500 rpm. The samples were then shaken with a Gyrotory Shaker G-2 (USA) for 2 hrs at 150 rpm followed by 10 ml of distilled water was added and stirred for additional 10 min. The polar and non-polar layers were separated, and the upper hexane layer was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μ m PVDF membrane filter. It was transferred to a new 15 ml aluminium wrapped test tubes and kept at - 80 °C till analysis. The analysis of

lycopene was performed with Waters 600 Satellite HPLC, with a reversed phase analytical 5 μm particle diameter, polymeric C_{18} column (150 $\times$ 4.6 mm, 5 μm) (Waters, Ireland) with a UV tuneable absorbance detector (Waters 486) at 475 nm. An isocratic mobile phase of methyl t-butyl ether/methanol/ethyl acetate (40:50:10, v/v) with a flow rate of 1 ml/min was used. The column temperature and mobile phase was maintained at 25 °C. Analyses were performed under dim light to prevent sample degradation by photo-oxidation. Three concentrations of lycopene standard in the range 0.01 - 0.03 mg / ml were injected and peak area and peak height were determined.

2.4.3. *Total phenols*

For extraction, 25 ml of methanol was added to 1.25 g freeze-dried powder and homogenized in a 50 ml tube with an Ultra-Turrax T-25 tissue homogenizer for 1 min at 24,000 rpm. The samples were then thoroughly mixed with a vortex mixer (V400 Multitube Vortexer, Alpha laboratories) for 2 hrs at 150 rpm. Then they were centrifuged for 15 min at 3,000 $\times g$ using a Sanyo MSE Mistral 3000i, UK. Following centrifugation, 10 ml samples of the supernatant were filtered through PTFE syringe filters (pore size 0.45 μm , Phenomenex, UK). The extracts were then stored at -20 °C in foil covered plastic test tubes for further analysis. Total phenol content of tomatoes was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton, Orthofer & Lamuela-Raventos, 1999). In a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube, 100 μl of appropriately diluted methanolic extract, 100 μl of MeOH and 100 μl of FC reagent were added and vortexed. After exactly 1 min, 700 μl of sodium carbonate (20 %) was added, and the mixture was vortexed and allowed to stand at room temperature in the dark for 20 min. Then the tubes were centrifuged at 12,720 $\times g$ for 3 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 735 nm in 1 ml plastic cuvettes. Each sample of the three batches was measured in triplicate. Results were expressed as mg/L gallic acid equivalents (GAE).

2.4.4. Antioxidant activity test

2.4.4.1. 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging capacity assay (DPPH)

DPPH scavenging activity assay was performed as per the method described by Sanchez-Moreno (2002) with a slight modification. The extraction was done as per the phenol content of tomato (section 2.4.3). For DPPH assay, In a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube 500 µl of appropriately diluted methanolic extract and 500 µl DPPH Reagent were added and vortexed. After that they were kept for 30 min in dark. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 515 nm in 1 ml plastic cuvettes. Each sample of the three batches was measured in triplicate.

2.4.4.2. Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP)

The FRAP assay was carried out as described by Stratil, Klejdus and Kuban (2006) with a slight modification. The extraction was done as per the phenol content of tomato (section 2.4.3). The FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing 38 mM sodium acetate (anhydrous) in distilled water (pH 3.6), 20 mM FeCl₃.6H₂O in distilled water and 10 mM 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl in proportions of 10:1:1. This reagent was freshly prepared before each experiment. In a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube 100 µl of appropriately diluted methanolic extract and 900 µl FRAP Reagent were added and vortexed. After that they were kept for 40 min in the heating blocks at 37 °C, covered with aluminium foil. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 593 nm in 1 ml plastic cuvettes. Each sample of the three batches was measured in triplicate.

2.4.5. Firmness

Firmness was measured using an Instron texture analyser (Instron 4302 Universal Testing Machine, Canton MA, USA), with a 3.5 mm diameter flat faced cylindrical probe. The maximum force (N) necessary to cause a deformation of 3 mm with a speed of 0.2 mm/s was

recorded. The puncture test was performed on the equatorial zone of each fruit. Data were analyzed with the Instron series IX software for Windows.

2.4.6. *Colour*

Colour was quantified using a Colour Quest XE colorimeter (HunterLab, Northants, UK). Tomatoes were placed directly on the colorimeter sensor (3.5 cm of diameter) and measured (Ahmed et al., 2011b). 20 – 30 measurements were taken per treatment and day. The L* parameter (lightness index scale) range from 0 (black) to 100 (white). The a* parameter measures the degree of red (+a*) or green (-a*) colour and the b* parameter measures the degree of yellow (+b*) or blue (-b*) colour. The CIE L* a* b* parameters were converted to Hue ($\arctan b^*/a^*$) and Chroma $(a^{*2}+b^{*2})^{1/2}$.

2.4.7. *Sensory analysis*

Sensory analysis was performed for canned tomato samples over 6 months of storage time by a panel with an age range of 25 – 40 years. Colour, texture, aroma and general acceptability of samples were scored on a scale of 1 to 9, where a score of one indicated a product of very poor quality, etc (Ferreira, Pinho, Amaral & Martins, 2008). The evaluation was carried out in the sensory evaluation laboratory. Products were coded using random numbers to avoid bias. Products were placed in plastic cups with lid, on a white surface and judges were isolated from each-other in a booth in an odour-free environment. The sensory analysis was monitored with Compusense Five software (Release 4.4, Ontario, Canada).

2.4.8. *Statistical Analysis*

Data were analysed by multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) using Statgraphics software (version: Centurium XV; Statistical Graphics Co., Rockville, USA) for different treatments. Analysis of variance one-way (ANOVA) was used to analyse each treatment over storage. In the case of significant differences the LSD range test ($p<0.05$) was used. Data are

presented as means $\pm$ standard deviation of 3 replicates of three batches. Relative changes in AA, DPPH, FRAP and texture were described using Weibull model (Equation 1). The Weibull model represents the distribution of the breaking strength of materials and later to describe the behavior of systems or events that have some degree of variability. It is flexible owing to the inclusion of a shape constant in addition to the rate constant and has been employed to describe microbial, enzymatic and chemical degradation kinetics (Manso, Oliveira, Oliveira & Frías, 2001; Cunha, Oliveira & Oliveira, 1998).

$$C_t = C_0 \times e^{-(Kt)^\beta} \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

where C_t is AA, DPPH, FRAP and texture values at time t , C_0 is the initial AA, DPPH, FRAP and texture values, K is the rate constant (month^{-1}) and β (dimensionless) is the shape constant. Modelling and analysis of variance was performed using SAS Statistical software (SAS Version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The goodness of fit was assessed by regression coefficient of determination along with an analysis of residuals. The fitting ability of the tested models was also evaluated by calculating the root mean squared error (RMSE) (Equation 2) (Neter, Wasserman & Whitmore, 1992).

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \frac{(y_{\text{expi}} - y_{\text{pre}})^2}{n_t - n_p}} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where y_{expi} are experimental observations, y_{pre} are model predictions, n_t are number of data points and n_p are number of estimated model parameters.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1.1. Ascorbic Acid

The initial content of ascorbic acid in canned tomatoes was found to be 135 -145 mg/100 g DW, which is in the range of those reported elsewhere (Patras et al., 2009). Ascorbic acid is

strongly affected by the various processing techniques. However, significantly ($p<0.05$) higher levels of ascorbic acid was found in DWP treated samples compared to control samples (NaCl +Citric acid) throughout the storage (Table 2). DWP could have prevented the thermal degradation of ascorbic acid during canning by inhibiting oxidation as well as forming protective layer on the tissue surface. This was accounted for the higher ascorbic acid values of the DWP treated samples before the storage, ie., immediately after canning than control. This was maintained throughout the storage although storage had deteriorating effect on all samples. There was a significant ($p<0.05$) decrease in ascorbic acid content in canned tomato over storage. In control samples the decrease was higher (~30 %) than DWP treated samples (~22 %) after 6 months of storage. Tomato is a significant dietary source of ascorbic acid and its retention is important for tomato products. The decreasing trend of ascorbic acid was in accordance with the findings of other authors (Lavelli & Giovanelli, 2003; Ordonez-Santos, Vázquez-Odériz, Arbones-Macínheira & Romero-Rodríguez, 2009). The possible reason for the reduction of total ascorbic acid could be autooxidation or oxidation by pro-oxidants generated from other compounds during storage. Oxidation of ascorbic acid to dehydroascorbic acid is followed by hydrolysis of the latter to 2,3-diketogulonic acid, which then undergoes polymerization to other nutritionally inactive products (Dewanto, Adom & Liu, 2002).

3.1.2. Lycopene

Lycopene content of canned tomato was analyzed during 6 months of storage after treatments with DWP. The initial average amount of lycopene in the samples was 117 mg/100 g DW. The DWP treatment showed significant effect ($p>0.05$) on the lycopene concentration of the samples (Table 2). DWP treatment might have prevented the high temperature induced oxidation of lycopene during canning. Therefore, the samples treated with DWP showed higher lycopene content than the control. In contrast, lycopene content of the samples did not

show significant ($p < 0.05$) increase or decrease during storage. Tamburini, Sandei, Aldini & Leoni (1999) and Ordonez-Santos et al. (2009) similarly found no significant change in lycopene of tomato puree during 1-year storage and 6-month storage, respectively. It is well known that lycopene in tomato is relatively resistant to thermal degradation, whereas other antioxidants (ascorbic acid, amino acids and β -carotene degrade more rapidly during processing and storage (Abushita, Daood & Biacs, 2000). Dewanto et al. (2002) reported thermal processing increased the extractable lycopene content in processed products when compared to fresh tomatoes. This is probably because lycopene is mostly attached to the skin and insoluble fibre portion of tomatoes (Toor & Savage, 2005).

3.1.3. Total phenols

The initial concentration of total phenols in samples was approx 290-305 mg GAE/100 g DW (dry weight) (Table 2). Phenolic contents reported here were within the range of those reported elsewhere (Lavelli & Giovanelli, 2003; Patras et al., 2009). In the present study total phenol content of the DWP treated tomatoes was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than the control samples throughout storage. DWP was reactive to FCR and therefore had total phenol value although DWP did not contain any phenolic compound. This could be the reason for the higher total phenolic content in the DWP treated samples than other samples. Total phenol content of tomatoes increased in all samples over 6 months of storage. Lavelli and Giovanelli (2003) suggested that the increased total phenol concentrations of canned tomato products may be due to hydrolysis processes. Lavelli, Hippeli, Peri & Elstner (1999) explained that there could be two reasons for this phenomenon: (1) the release of free hydroxyl groups through hydrolysis of flavonoid glycosides, and (2) the release of phenols by cell walls. The degradation of the cell-wall polysaccharide structures favour the phenol release from skins, notably those phenols that are linked to the cellwall (Pinelo, Arnous & Meyer, 2006).

3.1.4. Antioxidant activity test

3.1.4.1. 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity assay (DPPH)

The global antioxidant activity as measured by DPPH radical scavenging activity differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) between treatments (Table 2). The DWP treated tomato samples showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher DPPH reduction than the control samples. The higher antioxidant activity of DWP treated samples could be associated with the intrinsic antioxidant activity of DWP (Ahmed et al., 2011a). DWP might have also helped to retain the antioxidant activity of tomatoes. These results could be related to the total phenolic content of the samples since the samples containing higher phenolic content exhibited stronger DPPH reduction and vice versa. Overall, the antioxidant activity of canned tomatoes decreased with storage time irrespective of the treatments. The average reduction of antioxidant activity of the canned tomatoes was 18 % during 6 months of storage.

3.1.4.2. Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP)

Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) is one of the most commonly used antioxidant activity assay (Stratil, Klejdus & Kuban, 2006). DWP treated samples retained significantly ($p < 0.05$) better antioxidant activity as measured by FRAP than control samples (Table 2). FRAP value of canned tomatoes decreased during storage in all three samples. This result was in agreement with the finding of Lavelli and Giovanelli (2003). In control (NaCl + citric acid) samples the decrease was higher (~18 %) than DWP treated samples (~11 %) after 6 months of storage. The FRAP values of the tomato sample followed the same trend as DPPH values in the current study. The reduction of antioxidant activity of samples during storage could be attributed to the degradation of ascorbic acid which is one of the main antioxidants in tomato.

3.1.5. Firmness

Firmness is the most relevant property in quality characterization of the tomatoes processed in the canning industry, in particular, of canned whole tomatoes. It is related to ripeness rate and the tomato susceptibility to damage during harvesting and processing (Arazuri, Jare'n, Arana & Pe'rez de Ciriza, 2007). DWP treatment markedly inhibited fruit softening and maintained significantly ($p<0.05$) greater firmness throughout the storage compared to the control (Table 3). The firmness in DWP-treated fruits was around 40 % higher than that in control fruits at the end of 6 months of storage. The presence of calcium (Ca) in DWP might have contributed to maintenance of this firmness of canned tomatoes during storage (Ahmed et al., 2011b). This effect of Ca can be explained by the formation of cross links between the carboxyl groups of polyuronide chains found in the middle lamella of the cell wall. Ca also increases cell turgor pressure and stabilizes the cell membrane (Shafiee, Taghavi & Babala, 2010; Martin-Diana, Rico, Frias, Barat, Henehan & Barry-Ryan, 2007). The texture of canned tomatoes decreased gradually during storage.

3.1.6. Colour

Colour is a very important quality factor in fruit and vegetable products, since it influences consumer acceptability. The colour parameters of canned tomatoes for different treatments and storage time are shown in Table 3. There were significant ($p<0.05$) differences in L^* , a^* and b^* values between DWP treated and control samples. DWP treated tomatoes retained brighter colour than the control. The L^* , a^* and b^* values of the tomato samples decrease regardless of the treatment during storage. This was in agreement with the findings of other authors (Liu, Cao, Wang & Liao, 2010; Lana, Tijskens & Van Kooten, 2006). The decrease in L^* reflected the darkening of surface colour and characterized the presence of non-enzymatic browning reaction during storage. The decrease in a^* and b^* indicated less red and less yellow in canned tomato products. Hue was fairly stable but chroma decreased during storage and the decrease was more prominent in control fruits.

3.1.7. Sensory analysis

Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were observed between DWP treated and control (NaCl + citric acid) canned tomato samples for colour, aroma, texture and general acceptability scores (Figure 1). DWP treated samples scored significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the control samples. The panellists scored the aroma and colour of tomatoes treated with DWP was higher than the control samples. This was in agreement with the other physico-chemical markers of canned tomato studied. Ahmed et al. (2011d) reported that DWP treated fresh-cut tomato samples had higher acceptability than the non-treated samples. All the attributes evaluated decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$) during storage for all treatments which is associated with a loss of quality. The use of whey permeate for food preservation has also been examined by Nykänen *et al.* (1998). These authors analyzed the effect of nisin-whey permeate washing solutions on total counts and sensory characteristics in rainbow trout. They found that nisin-whey treatment caused no negative effect on sensory attributes.

4. Weibull model to describe changes in texture and antioxidant composition of tomatoes during storage

The retention of texture, ascorbic acid, DPPH and FRAP values were plotted as a function of various treatments [control (NaCl + citric acid), DWP and DWP + NaCl + citric acid] and storage (Figures 2 A, B and 3 A, B). Table 4 shows the results of fitting phytochemical composition of canned tomatoes to the Weibull model distribution. The Weibull model (Eq. 1) yielded good fits to ascorbic acid, DPPH, FRAP and texture experimental data. Weibull model (Eq. 1) was adequate for describing the changes in phytochemical content of canned tomatoes (Table 3). Therefore, Weibull distribution may be suitable for predicting the changes in texture, ascorbic acid, DPPH and FRAP during storage.

Odriozola-Serrano, Soliva-Fortuny and Martín-Belloso (2009) reported that the adequacy of Weibull distribution to relate changes in anthocyanins and antioxidant capacity of fresh-cut

380 strawberries was consistently good in the range of studied temperatures (5–20 °C). Weibull
 381 distribution seemed to be suitable because of the high determination coefficients (R^2 adj =
 382 0.97–0.99). The values of kinetic constants (k) and shape constants (β) of the Weibull model
 383 were obtained by fitting Eq. (1) to the experimental data. The k and β values for texture,
 384 ascorbic acid, DPPH and FRAP obtained through Weibull model were directly affected by
 385 storage and addition of NaCl + citric acid, DWP and DWP + NaCl + citric acid. For texture,
 386 values of k (0.025– 0.060 month⁻¹) and values for β (0.50- 0.98) are directly dependent on
 387 NaCl + citric acid, DWP and DWP + NaCl + citric acid treatments (Figure 2A). DWP
 388 exhibited better texture values than other counterparts as evidenced by kinetic parameters
 389 (Table 4).
 390 The ascorbic acid degradation rate constants were 0.029, 0.041 & 0.047 for NaCl + citric
 391 acid, DWP and DWP + NaCl + citric acid treated tomatoes samples respectively (Figure 2B).
 392 Similarly β increased from 0.84 to 1.16. The β parameter was related to ascorbic acid
 393 degradation, the lower the β value, the faster the ascorbic acid degradation (Table 4). DPPH
 394 and FRAP degradation constants ranged 0.031- 0.045 and 0.021- 0.045 respectively for all
 395 the three treatments (Figure 3 A, B). Whereas β values ranged between from 0.93- 1.43 and
 396 1.06- 1.27 for DPPH and FRAP respectively. The k value increased for DWP + NaCl + citric
 397 acid treated tomatoes samples during storage. The shape factor value for DPPH (Figure 3A)
 398 ($\beta < 1$) indicates first order upward concavity for (NaCl + citric acid) and DWP treatments.
 399 Upward concavity ($\beta < 1$) as observed in this study indicates a decreased stability of
 400 antioxidant components during storage, whereas downward concavity ($\beta > 1$) would indicate
 401 lower degradation rates. The low RMSE values (Table 4) showed that both models gave a
 402 good fit for the experimental data analysed.
 403 It is quite evident that that Weibull kinetic rate constants for texture, ascorbic acid, DPPH and
 404 FRAP were significantly influenced by treatments employed. It should be noted that data for

total phenols and lycopene did not converge and the models were not significant (data not shown).

5. Conclusion

The application of DWP significantly retained the phytochemical content and maintained firmness of canned tomato throughout the storage, thereby extending the shelf-life of the product. The texture, ascorbic acid, lycopene and total phenol content and the antioxidant activities measured by DPPH and FRAP were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in DWP treated tomato samples than the control samples during storage. Since thermal processing has an adverse effect on retention of most phytochemicals, addition of natural thermo-stable antioxidants like DWP is warranted in food industries. The antioxidant composition of tomatoes was adequately described through a Weibull distribution. Our findings showed that the model based on Weibull distribution function is likely to be a useful tool for describing changes in the antioxidant properties of canned commodities. The Weibull model provided a good description of the kinetics of degradation of antioxidant components in the range of treatments therefore is appropriate for predictive purpose.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the DIT Strand I Research Project (2006–2010). Thanks to Glanbia (Ltd Ingredients, Ireland) for supplying the whey permeate, to Amcor Flexible Ltd. for providing OPP film and to Sharp Interpack for the polypropylene trays. Special thanks to Dr. Nigel Brunton (Teagasc) for providing the pilot scale facilities for canning the tomatoes.

References

427 Abushita, A. A., Daood, H. G., & Biacs, P. A. (2000). Change in carotenoids and antioxidant
 428 vitamins in tomato as a function of varietal and technological factors. *Journal of the*
 429 *Science of Food and Agriculture*, 48, 2075–2081.

430 Ahmed, L., Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D., & Barry-Ryan, C. (2011a). The antioxidant
 431 properties of whey permeate treated fresh-cut tomatoes. *Food Chemistry*, 124, 1451–
 432 1457.

433 Ahmed, L., Rico, D., Martin-Diana, A. B., & Barry-Ryan, C. (2011b). Optimization of
 434 application of delactosed whey permeate treatment to extend the shelf-life of fresh-cut
 435 tomato using response surface methodology. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
 436 *Chemistry*, 59, 2377–2385.

437 Ahmed, L., Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D., & Barry-Ryan, C. (2011c). Quality and nutritional
 438 status of fresh-cut tomato as affected by spraying of delactosed whey permeate
 439 compared to industrial washing treatment. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, DOI:
 440 10.1007/s11947-011-0623-6.

441 Ahmed, L., Rico, D., Martin-Diana, A. B., & Barry-Ryan, C. (2011d). Extending the shelf-
 442 life of fresh-cut tomato using by-product from cheese industry. *Journal of Food*
 443 *Processing and Preservation*, DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4549.2011.00562.x.

444 Arazuri, S., Jare'n, C., Arana, J. I., & Pe'rez de Ciriza, J. J. (2007). Influence of mechanical
 445 harvest on the physical properties of processing tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*
 446 Mill.). *Journal of Food Engineering*, 80, 190–198.

447 Colbert, L. B., & Decker, E. A. (1991). Antioxidant activity of an ultrafiltration permeate
 448 from acid whey. *Journal of Food Science*, 56, 1249–1250.

449 Contreras, M. del M., Hernández-Ledesma, B., Amigo, L., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., & Recio, I.
 450 (2011). Production of antioxidant hydrolyzates from a whey protein concentrate with

451 thermolysin: optimization by response surface methodology. *LWT - Food Science and*
 452 *Technology*, 44, 9–15.

453 Cunha, L. M., Oliveira, F. A. R., & Oliveira, J. C. (1998). Optimal experimental design for
 454 estimating the kinetic parameters of processes described by the Weibull probability
 455 distribution function. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 37, 175–191.

456 Dewanto, V., Wu, X., Adom, K. K., & Liu, R. H. (2002). Thermal processing enhances the
 457 nutritional value of tomatoes by increasing total antioxidant activity. *Journal of*
 458 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50, 3010–3014.

459 Durance, T. D. (1997). Improving canned food quality with variable retort temperature
 460 processes. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 8, 113–118.

461 Ferreira, V. O., Pinho, O., Amaral, M. & Martins, I. (2008). Application of blended-learning
 462 strategies on sensory analysis teaching. In M. Munoz, I. Jelinek, and F. Ferreira
 463 (Eds.). *Proceedings of the IASK International Conference Teaching and Learning*, pp.
 464 262–270, Aveiro, Portugal.

465 Lana, M. M., Tijskens, L. M. M., & Van Kooten, O. (2006). Effects of storage temperature
 466 and stage of ripening on rgb colour aspects of fresh-cut tomato pericarp using video
 467 image analysis. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 77, 871–879.

468 Lavelli, V., & Giovanelli, G. (2003). Evaluation of heat and oxidative damage during storage
 469 of processed tomato products II. Study of oxidative damage indices. *Journal of the*
 470 *Science of Food and Agriculture*, 83, 966–971.

471 Lavelli, V., Hippeli, S., Peri, C., & Elstner, E. F. (1999). Evaluation of radical scavenging
 472 activity of fresh and air-dried tomatoes by three model reactions. *Journal of*
 473 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 47, 3826–3831.

474 Lee H. S., & Castle, W. S. (2001). Seasonal changes of carotenoid pigments and color in
 475 Hamlin, Eartygold, and Budd Blood orange juices. *Journal of Agricultural & Food*
 476 *Chemistry*, 49, 877–882.

477 Liu, F., Cao, X., Wang, H., & Liao, X. (2010). Changes of tomato powder qualities during
 478 storage. *Powder Technology*, 204, 159–166.

479 Manso, M. C., Oliveira, F. A. R., Oliveira, J. C., & Frías, J. M. (2001). Modelling ascorbic
 480 acid thermal degradation and browning in orange juice under aerobic conditions.
 481 *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 36, 303–312.

482 Marshall, K. (2004). Therapeutical applications of whey protein. *Alternative Medicine*
 483 *Review*, 9, 136–156.

484 Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D., Frias, J. M., Mulcahy, J., Henahan, G. T. M., & Barry- Ryan,
 485 C. (2006). Whey permeate as a bio-preservative for shelf life maintenance of fresh-
 486 cut vegetables. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technology*, 7, 112-123.

487 Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D., Frias, J. M., Barat, J. M., Henahan, G. T. M., & Barry-Ryan,
 488 C. (2007). Calcium for extending the shelf life of fresh whole and minimally processed
 489 fruits and vegetables: a review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18, 210-218.

490 Neter, J., Wasserman, W., & Whitmore, G. A. (1992). Applied Statistics (4th ed.), (pp. 1–
 491 989). Prentice Hall, Englewood cliffs, NJ.

492 Nykänen, A., Lapveteläinen, A., Hietanen, R. M., & Kallio, H. (1998). The effect of acetic
 493 acid, nisin-whey permeates sodium chloride and related combinations on aerobic plate
 494 count and the sensory characteristics of rainbow trout. *LWT - Food Science and*
 495 *Technology*, 3, 286–290.

496 Odriozola-Serrano, I., Soliva-Fortuny, R., & Martín-Belloso, O. (2009). Influence of storage
 497 temperature on the kinetics of the changes in anthocyanins, vitamin C and antioxidant

498 capacity in fresh-cut strawberries stored under high oxygen atmospheres. *Journal of*
499 *Food Science*, 74, 184–191.

500 Ordóñez-Santos, L. E., Vázquez-Odériz, L., Arbones-Maciñeira, E., & Romero-Rodríguez,
501 M. A. (2009). The influence of storage time on micronutrients in bottled tomato pulp.
502 *Food Chemistry*, 112, 146–149.

503 Patras, A., Brunton, N., Pieve, S. D, Butler, F., & Downey, F. (2009). Effect of thermal and
504 high pressure processing on antioxidant activity and instrumental colour of tomato and
505 carrot purées. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 10, 16–22.

506 Peña-Ramos, E. A., & Xiong, Y. L. (2003). Whey and soy protein hydrolysates inhibit lipid
507 oxidation in cooked pork patties. *Meat Science*, 64, 259–263.

508 Pinelo, M., Arnous, A. & Meyer, A. S. (2006). Upgrading of grape skins: Significance of
509 plant cell-wall structural components and extraction techniques for phenol release.
510 *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 17, 579-590.

511 Sanchez-Moreno, C. (2002). Methods used to evaluate the free radical scavenging activity in
512 foods and biological systems. *Food Science and Technology International*, 8, 121–137.

513 Seybold, C., Fröhlich, K., Bitsch, R., Otto, K., & Böhm, V. (2004). Changes in Contents of
514 Carotenoids and Vitamin E during Tomato Processing *Journal of Agricultural and*
515 *Food Chemistry*, 52, 7005–7010.

516 Sgherri, C., Kadlecova, Z., Pardossi, A., Navari-Izzo, F., & Izzo, R. (2008). irrigation with
517 diluted sea water improves the nutritional value of cherry tomatoes. *Journal of*
518 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 3391–3397.

519 Shafiee, M., Taghavi, T. S., & Babalar, M. (2010). Addition of salicylic acid to nutrient
520 solution combined with postharvest treatments (hot water, salicylic acid, and calcium
521 dipping) improved postharvest fruit quality of strawberry. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 124,
522 40–45.

523 Shi, J., & Le Maguer, M. (2000). Lycopene in tomatoes: chemical and physical properties
 524 affected by food processing. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 40, 1 - 42.

525 Singleton, V. L., Orthofer, R., & Lamuela-Raventos, R. R. (1999). Analysis of total phenols
 526 and other oxidation substrates and oxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent.
 527 *Methods in Enzymology*, 299, 152-178.

528 Stratil, P., Klejdus, B., & Kuban, V. (2006). Determination of total content of phenolic
 529 compounds and their antioxidant activity in vegetables – evaluation of
 530 spectrophotometric methods. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*, 54, 607-616.

531 Tamburini, R., Sandei, L., Aldini, A., de, F., & Leoni, C. (1999). Effect of storage conditions
 532 on lycopene content in tomato purees obtained with different processing techniques.
 533 *Industria Conserve*, 74, 341–357.

534 Taoukis, P., Labuza, T. P., Saguy, I. S. (1997). Kinetics of food deterioration and shelf life
 535 prediction. In: Valentas, K.J., Rostein, E., Singh, R.P. (Eds.), Handbook of food
 536 engineering practice (pp. 361–404). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

537 Toor, R. K., & Savage, G. P. (2005). Antioxidant activities in different fractions of tomato.
 538 *Food Research International*, 38, 487–494.

539

540 **Figure Legends**

541 **Figure 1.** Sensory evaluation of canned Irish plum tomatoes treated with NaCl + Citric acid
542 (■), DWP (□), DWP+ NaCl + citric acid (▲) during the 6 months storage. Results are
543 expressed as independent determinations from three replicate analyses (mean of three
544 repetitions). Colour (9 = bright red, 1 = darkened); Aroma (9 = strawberry like, 1 =
545 fermented); Texture (9 = very crispy, 1 = soft); General acceptability (9 = excellent, 1 =
546 poor).

547 **Figure 2.** Changes in texture (A) and ascorbic acid (B) of canned Irish plum tomatoes treated
548 with NaCl + Citric acid (■), DWP (□), DWP+ NaCl + citric acid (▲) during the 6 months
549 storage as modeled by Weibull approach. Results are expressed as independent
550 determinations from three replicate analyses (mean of three repetitions). Plotted lines
551 correspond to the values estimated from the Weibull model from three replicate analyses.

552 **Figure 3.** Changes in antioxidant activity - DPPH (A) and FRAP (B) of canned Irish plum
553 tomatoes treated with NaCl + Citric acid (■), DWP (□), DWP+ NaCl + citric acid (▲)
554 during the 6 months storage as modeled by Weibull approach. Results are expressed as
555 independent determinations from three replicate analyses (mean of three repetitions). Plotted
556 lines correspond to the values estimated from the Weibull model from three replicate
557 analyses.

Figure 1.

Figure 2

Figure 3

Table 1. Composition of Delactosed whey permeate (DWP).

DWP Components	Value
pH	5.0
Total Solid %	32.90
Lactose (%)	21.9
Protein %	2.83
Fat (%)	minimal
Moisture %	91.4
Ash %	8.14

Table 2. Changes in phytochemical content of canned Irish plum tomatoes treated with DWP and/or NaCl+ Citric Acid during the 6 months of storage.¹

¹Values designated by the different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). Lowercase letters are used for comparisons during storage and

Markers	Treatments	Significance of Difference	Storage (Months)						
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ascorbic Acid	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	130.82 ^g	122.12 ^f	114.70 ^{de}	109.05 ^d	101.22 ^c	95.98 ^b	91.00 ^a
	DWP	B	141.40 ^h	133.90 ^g	128.40 ^{fg}	122.60 ^f	117.50 ^e	112.90 ^{de}	108.20 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	143.52 ^h	139.78 ^{gh}	135.62 ^g	129.15 ^{fg}	122.32 ^f	115.38 ^{de}	111.77 ^{de}
Lycopene	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	108.30 ^b	107.80 ^b	105.50 ^{ab}	106.60 ^b	104.70 ^{ab}	102.80 ^a	104.10 ^{ab}
	DWP	B	120.20 ^d	116.50 ^{cd}	115.80 ^{cd}	113.30 ^c	115.80 ^{cd}	115.50 ^{cd}	114.00 ^c
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	125.30 ^e	123.30 ^e	122.00 ^{de}	122.70 ^{de}	121.80 ^{de}	121.20 ^{de}	121.00 ^{de}
Total Phenol	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	290.60 ^a	292.00 ^{ab}	294.70 ^b	295.20 ^b	297.80 ^c	298.80 ^{cd}	300.70 ^d
	DWP	B	304.40 ^e	305.30 ^e	306.20 ^e	308.20 ^f	310.30 ^g	311.60 ^{gh}	312.40 ^{gh}
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	305.30 ^e	306.20 ^e	307.40 ^{ef}	309.30 ^f	311.40 ^{gh}	312.10 ^{gh}	314.10 ^h
DPPH	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	64.67 ^e	63.17 ^{de}	60.67 ^d	56.67 ^c	54.50 ^b	53.33 ^b	51.50 ^a
	DWP	B	75.50 ^{fg}	72.67 ^f	71.00 ^f	68.00 ^{ef}	65.17 ^e	63.00 ^{de}	61.33 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	80.33 ^g	79.00 ^g	77.33 ^{fg}	75.00 ^{fg}	73.50 ^f	71.17 ^f	67.17 ^{ef}
FRAP	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	1197.30 ^{fg}	1182.50 ^f	1156.10 ^e	1104.50 ^{cd}	1064.70 ^b	1000.80 ^{ab}	983.80 ^a
	DWP	B	1213.80 ^g	1203.80 ^{fg}	1187.80 ^f	1152.50 ^e	1124.00 ^d	1096.70 ^c	1052.30 ^b
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	1216.50 ^g	1204.60 ^{fg}	1191.70 ^f	1168.80 ^e	1139.50 ^d	1110.70 ^{cd}	1089.20 ^c

uppercase letters for treatment comparisons. The method used to discriminate among the means is Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) procedure.

Table 3. Changes in texture and colour of canned Irish plum tomatoes treated with DWP and/or NaCl + citric acid during the 6 months of storage.¹

Markers	Treatments	Significance of Difference	Storage (Months)						
			0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Texture	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	4.17 ^{lg}	3.52 ^{de}	3.19 ^{cd}	3.06 ^c	2.83 ^b	2.71 ^{ab}	2.53 ^a
	DWP	B	5.09 ^{gh}	4.81 ^g	4.62 ^g	4.06 ^f	3.72 ^e	3.56 ^{de}	3.27 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	5.45 ^h	5.35 ^h	4.82 ^g	4.33 ^{fg}	4.08 ^f	3.82 ^e	3.55 ^{de}
Colour L*	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	22.20 ^{de}	21.95 ^d	21.36 ^c	21.16 ^c	20.78 ^{bc}	20.37 ^b	19.58 ^a
	DWP	B	24.82 ^{fg}	24.84 ^{fg}	24.14 ^f	23.32 ^e	22.72 ^{de}	22.22 ^{de}	21.76 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	25.50 ^g	24.47 ^f	24.19 ^f	23.44 ^e	23.08 ^e	22.62 ^{de}	22.12 ^d
a*	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	9.49 ^c	9.26 ^{bc}	9.07 ^{bc}	8.80 ^b	8.64 ^b	8.41 ^b	8.01 ^a
	DWP	B	12.80 ^f	12.47 ^{ef}	12.31 ^e	12.10 ^{de}	12.06 ^{de}	11.84 ^d	11.75 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	13.08 ^f	12.91 ^f	12.73 ^f	12.62 ^{ef}	12.55 ^{ef}	12.41 ^e	12.22 ^e
b*	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	23.58 ^d	23.29 ^c	23.18 ^c	22.82 ^{bc}	22.62 ^b	22.45 ^b	22.23 ^a
	DWP	B	25.09 ^{fg}	24.62 ^f	24.33 ^{ef}	24.10 ^e	23.79 ^{de}	23.60 ^d	23.29 ^c
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	25.87 ^h	25.55 ^g	25.31 ^{fg}	25.07 ^{fg}	24.80 ^f	24.72 ^f	24.46 ^{ef}
Hue	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	68.07 ^c	68.32 ^c	68.62 ^{cd}	68.92 ^d	69.10 ^e	69.47 ^{ef}	70.19 ^f
	DWP	B	62.97 ^a	63.13 ^{ab}	63.16 ^{ab}	63.34 ^b	63.11 ^{ab}	63.36 ^b	63.24 ^{ab}
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	63.18 ^{ab}	63.19 ^{ab}	63.30 ^{ab}	63.28 ^b	63.16 ^{ab}	63.34 ^b	63.45 ^b
Chroma	NaCl+ Citric Acid	A	25.42 ^{cd}	25.07 ^c	24.89 ^c	24.46 ^{bc}	24.21 ^{bc}	23.98 ^b	23.63 ^a
	DWP	B	28.17 ^{fg}	27.59 ^f	27.26 ^{ef}	26.96 ^e	26.67 ^{de}	26.41 ^{de}	26.08 ^d
	DWP + NaCl+ Citric Acid	C	28.99 ^g	28.62 ^g	28.33 ^{fg}	28.07 ^{fg}	27.79 ^f	27.66 ^f	27.34 ^{ef}

¹Values designated by the different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). Lowercase letters are used for comparisons during storage and uppercase letters for treatment comparisons. The method used to discriminate among the means is Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) procedure.

Table 4. Kinetic constants of Weibull distribution function (Eq. (1)) for texture, ascorbic acid, and total antioxidant activity.

Markers	Treatments	k^a (1/month)	β^b	R^2	R_{adj}^2	RMSE ^c
Texture	NaCl+citric acid	0.025	0.50	0.99	0.99	0.0075
	DWP	0.060	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.0218
	DWP +NaCl+ Citric acid	0.050	0.96	0.97	0.96	0.0242
Ascorbic acid	NaCl+citric acid	0.041	0.84	0.99	0.99	0.0051
	DWP	0.029	0.84	0.99	0.99	0.0014
	DWP +NaCl+ Citric acid	0.047	1.16	0.99	0.99	0.0083
DPPH ^d	NaCl+citric acid	0.032	0.93	0.97	0.96	0.9632
	DWP	0.031	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.0062
	DWP +NaCl+ Citric acid	0.045	1.43	0.99	0.98	1.1938
FRAP ^e	NaCl+citric acid	0.045	1.27	0.98	0.97	0.0107
	DWP	0.042	1.48	0.99	0.99	0.0036
	DWP +NaCl+ Citric acid	0.021	1.50	0.98	0.97	0.0064

^a rate constant; ^b shape factor; ^cRoot Mean Sum of Squared Error

^d 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity (% reduction)

^eFerric ion reducing antioxidant power (mg Trolox /100 g DW)