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Introduction

While a significant body of work on the practice of faculty development exists, research on faculty developers as professionals is limited, and few have explored the profession from the perspective of faculty developers themselves (Shaffer, 2011). Specifically, this reflective commentary begins to address the question of how best to prepare and support current and future faculty developers for their ambiguous and complex roles and their need to function within the changing environment of higher education institutions. Since embarking on a role in an Irish Higher Education Institution in 1999 as a Faculty Developer, it has been crucial to consider my values as an educator, and how they inform the multifaceted role. A personal and professional value system certainly requires considerable thought as it is necessary to understand what it is that the faculty developer wishes to evaluate in their work, why and for what purpose.

The role of the professional body for faculty developers internationally is more important than ever in providing much needed support and networking opportunities. This critically reflective commentary is structured under the Staff and Educational Development Association (SEDA) values and examples are given to illustrate each in action. Similar to the Professional and Organizational Development (POD) Network in Higher Education popular in the U.S., SEDA is the professional body for faculty developers in the UK and Ireland.

When one is new to teaching in higher education, advice and support from a faculty developer (amongst others), can be invaluable. Equally, when one is a number of years down the teaching road, having a faculty developer within the institution can be a way to reach out to try something different. Therefore a key aspect of the faculty development role is to continue to motivate academics when it comes to continual development in teaching and learning; the ‘journey’ metaphor is overused today but it can be useful for the faculty developer to bear in mind that immediacy of results for oneself and others is not always viable or even appropriate.

Within my role, it has been useful to continue to question the things that are either too familiar or too removed from my everyday concerns. My ‘performance’ as a faculty developer comes from deeply within myself and therefore the constructs used are based on a mixture of personal beliefs, professional knowledge, practice and social context. Figure 1 shows these values and main influences on my work.

Figure 1 Values and Influences on a Faculty Development Context
Faculty development work is most often shared, occurring within what Frielick & McLachlan-Smith (1999) refer to as a dialogic where we are giving voice to or enabling the work of others. Collaboration is an integral part of what we do - forming partnerships, combining ideas, building capacity for collaborative problem solving, working closely with colleagues across institutions and in professional networks, sharing responsibility and respecting autonomy. Personal knowledge is that store of experiential knowledge developed from my practice and reflection over duration of years in this role. I like the notion that as faculty developers spanning many areas that we can be seen as idea blenders - we adopt ideas and concepts from outside of our domain and find ways to infuse those ideas into both our own work and that of the faculty we support. When faced with a problem, it is not just about looking at what another teacher does or how another institution solved the problem. As idea blenders, we can use our expertise in higher education and blend it with outside expertise to create solutions that challenge the status quo. In terms of the challenges for the faculty development role, pedagogy is not becoming any easier; in fact, many faculty argue that the corporatization of higher education has had negative implications for their ability to be effective teachers. In the UK, a recent Guardian¹ blog post highlighted this very issue - and their Academics Anonymous [4/2/14] series to allow faculty to vent their opinions on this emotive topic is an interesting approach. Similarly within the U.S. higher education environment, Chomsky (2011) has spoken and written at length on the considerable influence of corporation on HE.

Within this charged working context, a key aspect to the faculty developer’s educational values is creativity - how can one be creative in the role, and how can one support others to be so. Jackson (2013) conducted a noteworthy study with 18 faculty developers to help promote a professional conversation about the role of creativity in faculty development work. Figure 2 shows some of the ways faculty developers view their creativity in their role, and I found this really insightful and it will help me recognise aspects of myself and of my own practice. I will also use it in my relationship and work with the faculty that I support - how I relate, understand, empathise with, engage, enthuse, change perceptions and co-create meaning. I concur with Jackson’s suggestion that enabling other people to be creative is part of the creative work of the faculty developer.

Figure 2 Findings from Jackson’s (2013) Study on Creativity in Faculty Development

**Contextual Influences on Faculty Development**

Fraser (2001) advises that we should be wary not to neglect the interplay of beliefs, knowledge and practice within the institutional and personal contexts in which we practice. The scope of our work is intricately

---

embedded within our individual contexts, but other faculty developers may find congruency in these contexts. Working across four colleges in my faculty development role, I am privileged to have what Angelo (1999) calls a ‘bird’s eye’ view of activity within my overall institution. Within Irish higher education, a National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education has been established for the first time (www.teachingandlearning.ie). In their commissioned report (2013), they pose a set of questions for leaders in our institutions to consider, and some that are relevant for faculty developers to consider are:

- What steps do I take to ensure that an individual teacher feels empowered and supported in developing their teaching skills?
- How do we support teaching staff in their efforts for diversifying student needs? (flexible learning paths and speeds, blended delivery)

It is important as developers to engage with this these types of educational fora to work with others across the sector to focus our communal energy and expertise on the challenges of collective importance. Having a clear knowledge of national and international frameworks for facilitating faculty professional development will be useful for these discussions.

**Understanding How Faculty Learn**

Reid (2002) suggests that ideally faculty development “should be situated within existing academic cultures and focus on conceptual change” (p.3). Having an emphasis on faculty reflective practice on a ‘Learning Theories’ module as part of an accredited professional development masters programme is an integral part for the faculty members’ thinking on the module. It cleans it up, brings into focus the key issues on theories, raises new questions, and stimulates more thinking. Through their reflective postings online, the faculty participants are friendly, engaging, and reflexive. It is a forum for reaching out to each other and asking questions that they perhaps did not know the answer to. They can make recommendations - say that they think something is good and suggest that others try it, read it, or think more about it.

This module is the foundation for Faculty on the programme for an understanding of how people learn, and knowing that is central to all that they do as educators. Through facilitated discussion, they are led to consider why they do things in a particular way - what theories inform their work, and evaluate whether the activity-driven approach that they take to exploring learning theories in different contexts and practices is working well. In this context, I am always drawn back to Palmer’s (1998) concepts of identity and integrity, and learning community, and these underpin my work.

**Continuing Reflection on Professional Practice**

One thing I wanted when I first set out in faculty development was to be a prolific, innovative, and dynamic teacher and researcher. However it is crucial to support rigour and reflection in others. We are consistently working with faculty to raise awareness that reflection can become a very conscious and deliberate activity, and has significance in shaping their learning. Through the use of portfolios and ePortfolios, we try to move faculty in their thinking from following a requirement to reflect to extend into their professional practice more of a *need* to reflect. Failure is an imperative part of the creative process, and it is important that we encourage faculty to be brave enough to try new ideas in and outside of the classroom. They have awareness that throughout their careers, they will spend time standing up to critics and pushing through red tape just to try out their ideas.

**Developing People and Processes**

Some developers are uncomfortable with the notion that faculty development implies a movement from an undesirable position to a desirable one, and perhaps arguing that this implies superior knowledge on the part of the developer; this certainly has resonance for my practice. This notion of transfer from ‘us to them’ has proved an unsettling one for colleagues over the years. It is one aspect of our role that each of us have to find our own way to deal with.

*Embracing Media More and More Media* is a mantra that exists in faculty development today. Although in the context of faculty professional development, Sparks (2013) brings some key perspectives forward about what influences professional learning; among them being the need to expand our repertoire of research-based instructional skills, our development should be embedded in and connected to our daily work, and we should be surrounded by a culture that encourages innovation, experimentation, and continuous improvement. This
encapsulates a goal of trying out new media (daily) on an ongoing basis to support my own skills development, and so I am better informed when discussing technology with faculty across the institution.

I have increasingly found social media tools useful for my own learning, and while it is almost impossible to read everything that I have signed up for, I have also become more adept at skimming for relevance, trusting certain names and authorities, and accepting that I cannot and should not try to read everything. I realize that even if I use only a little of what I see, I am still much better informed, and thinking more creatively about learning and learning technology, as a result of my exposure to such information. It has also increased my confidence in sharing what I believe to be useful information with academics across the disciplines, and this is something I now do much more frequently in my work. Johnson, Adams & Cummins (2012) raise as an issue in higher education a continued lack of digital literacy skills development for teachers as a key challenge for this sector. As faculty developers and practitioners, we need to continually evolve such skills ourselves, and facilitate their development in those we educate.

It is not just a case of having to embrace technology, though. It is a case of recognizing that it offers an unrivalled opportunity to leverage its prevalence and influence in the lives of the academics we support, to help them create powerful teaching and learning opportunities, based on sound pedagogical approaches. As Perry (2013, p.3) concludes, ‘Perhaps if I go where my students are, they will move closer to where I would like them to be.’ It is important to be willing to try out new tools - whether using an app or tool once, or becoming a dedicated user, simply trying it out may give me an idea for something else, or helping one to think more creatively. In the collegial climate that exists in faculty development, sharing new-found knowledge with the faculty can be one of the more enjoyable aspects of our daily work.

Scholarship, Professionalism and Ethical Practice

As a faculty developer, my personal integrity derives from ability to respond to situations in ways that are consistent with my identity. I believe this can guide my own professional goals and practices, but can also contribute to the meaningful and sustained development of individual faculty and my institution. It is essential to know myself, my discipline, and my community. I believe it is how I apply these attributes in practice that most strongly defines my identity: empathy, commitment, honesty, respect (the expertise of others), open-mindedness, openness to change, ability to relate to the experiences of others, communication skills, and collegiality.

Palmer (1998) suggests that we need our expertise to make connections among self, learners, the knowledge to be learned, and the context - this is useful for applying the concepts, practical tools, and process skills embedded in our expertise to help others in turn achieve their teaching goals. Considering the impact of my work on others is especially evident when assessing the work of my peers on our accredited masters Programmes. Academics are committed to scholarship with high standards, and this is an integral message of the ‘Academic Writing and Publishing’ module that we offer to faculty. Supporting the mixed discipline module participants so that their academic writing builds on and cites other relevant content, is thoughtful and logically coherent, uses the forms of inquiry and specialized language accepted by their disciplines, and the stance taken toward the subject is objective, rational, and reasoned - but we have consistently found that one of the missing ingredients is their lack of awareness on how to connect with their readers/audience through their writing. I enjoy working with the faculty members to instil much greater confidence in joining the research conversation on their chosen topic, and use examples drawn from my own publications experience to illustrate this.

Through this module and a new pedagogical research journal that was co-founded within our faculty development centre, we aim to reach out to faculty across our institution to support making teaching and learning more scholarly and intellectually rich; but one of the most interesting discussions centres around whether journal articles and books are the only acceptable forms of scholarship? There have been recent conversations about the best way to shape and form new ways of communicating so that they meet our needs for information, ideas, and inspiration and still uphold the high standards we all endorse.

From an ethical perspective, collegiality is reflected in the relationships that emerge within departments in the institution. It is often evidenced in the manner in which faculty interact with and show respect to one another, work collaboratively in order to achieve a common purpose, and assume equitable responsibilities for the good of the discipline as a whole. It is not an exaggeration to say that in higher education, collegiality is the cornerstone of professional work. In working with colleagues on learning and teaching projects across the disciplines, it is useful to establish ground rules for how we interact, and one that I always like to include is ‘we agree to disagree without being disagreeable!’ This acknowledges that dissonance in collaborative projects can exist but reflects the concept that every person pledges to treat colleagues with dignity, respect, and civility and
to do their fair share of the workload. In an environment of uncertainty and unpredictability, it is useful for me to recognize that the most valuable assets in an institution are its people and the intellectual capital they possess and the culture they create.

In supporting professionalism within myself and support it in others, I will continue to develop approaches which acknowledge the dynamic nature of our practice, the complexity of what Whitchurch (2008) has called the ‘third space’ in which we work, and seek to carve a commitment to my own continuing professional development. As Barnett (2008, p.206) affirms “the professional is a living project in creation”.

Working in and Developing Learning Communities

The academic profession is often portrayed as composed of persons strongly driven by intrinsic motives who concentrate primarily on the substance of teaching and research...academics seem to be more prone than the majority of professions to pay attention to the rites and symbols associated with their work (memberships/titles in selective academies)...it is viewed as a highly attractive profession in terms of challenging tasks and leeway to shape one’s work (Teicher et al., 2013, p.75). It is always useful for faculty developers to join relevant academic learning communities. In all such networks, we can give each other feedback and encouragement and appropriate practical and academic help, sharing ideas, and practice about how best to support learning and teaching and professional development. These professional associations allow all involved to share reflections and theory about how we support learning and development in our institutions. Arguably it also lets us become more of a ‘change agent’ as we are surrounded by a supportive group of people that can and will give honest feedback. Being a change agent in education is probably one of the most difficult, thankless and frustrating jobs; it certainly can be easier to be a complacent educator. Being innovative takes a kind of persistence and passion for our work that is inextinguishable. In network discussions, no one feels defensive in the exchange of feedback, because the members of the networks have been intentional in creating a trusting environment where constructive comments are welcome, especially thought-provoking constructive criticism on dealing with change in our contexts.

Increasingly, we are being called upon to facilitate learning in community with respect to the strategic goals of the institution and become more involved in the political systems therein. This shift has made the ‘learning in community’ role more complex, in that developers can often function as the “boundary spanners” discussed by Williams (2010). Debowski (2012) suggests that we educate, influence and enable institutional change through our daily work. The underlying driver for our work is change, and it is an integral part of our work as developers to continually explore this.

Challenges facing Faculty Developers

The challenge of leadership and dealing with change, and each now feature in what we need in order to progress in our role should also coincide with a want to explore them further (Wisker, 2003). By being on relevant institutional committees, we can work with colleagues in supporting faculty for the preparedness for change, which is a vital element in any change journey. Research suggests that a high proportion of change efforts fail, attributable in part to failure to establish sufficient readiness for change (Kotter 1996; Beer & Nohria 2000).

Successful implementation of any change initiative depends on more than just good action planning - it also depends on engaging and empowering stakeholders in taking change forward; having a role on relevant institutional committees can introduce the faculty developer to the key stakeholders. Any change initiative is likely to encounter resistance in some form. It is important to anticipate and understand likely sources of resistance, develop techniques for countering resistance, and use resistance positively as an opportunity for dialogue and development. The success of a change initiative can be judged on the extent to which change is embedded, consolidated and sustained – in other words, the extent to which it is ‘owned’ by others in order to have a lasting, positive impact on my institution.

Certainly then two main challenges for faculty developers are dealing with change in faculty development/HE and understanding the nature of academic leadership. It can be useful to gain insights into how different institutions have addressed some change-related issues, as well as networked with other professionals who share an interest in change. Ultimately it is about being in a strong position to adapt and apply knowledge, tools or approaches to one’s own context. Given the seismic institutional changes that can take place, it is important for the faculty developer to develop skills and capabilities in leading future relevant specific change initiatives in their institution.
Dimensions of leadership are evidenced in every aspect of the faculty development role. Increasingly, faculty developers are called upon to provide leadership in problem solving and change at the institutional level (Diamond, 2005; MacDonald, 2002). Blackmore & Blackwell (2006) suggest that leadership expertise is tacit, closely related to a situation and learnt often by observing others. What I would like to bring forward into my own practice for helping bring about effective change in my institution is by looking at more ways of getting feedback on my performance, keep participating in many different forms of professional development, analysing what I do in a structured way, by building networks, try to understand the decision-making process of others (through observation), consider all the stakeholders in the change process (Brungardt, 1998) and ultimately to think about the evidence needed to support the changes I want to make in my current and future practice.

By exercising identity and integrity in my role, I can demonstrate credibility, fairness, honesty, connection, enthusiasm, genuineness, commitment, competency, and openness characteristic of effective leaders (Ramsden, 1998; Senge, 1999). A study by Taylor (2005), although set in an Australian context, has resonance as she argues that a synergy exists among variable characteristics of the person, the academic development role, development strategies, and institutional context, and this can determine successful practice and leadership in any given institution.

Stefani’s (2003) discussion on the opportunities and consequences of the changes that we meet in our role provides useful insights and Gibb’s (1988) work is useful for prompting the faculty developer to reflect on what else they might do, and what direction they might move in for the future. It takes time to change practice and to make lasting change, so new learning must become a part of the faculty developer’s routine. Engaging in rich debates with fellow faculty developers about issues affecting academia today, may prompt one to question practice. Crossing subject boundaries to good effect can help form or strengthen valuable and valued working relationships with faculty upon whose thinking and experience one can draw.

Based on the review of educational values discussed above, Table 1 (overleaf) consists of questions that faculty developers can continue to explore for allowing these values impact on their role and practice.
Conclusion

The faculty development role is certainly challenging and definitely requires collegial support. Engaging with professional networks and communities can provide opportunities to reflect and receive feedback and support from like-minded colleagues. We must be provided with the time and resources to accomplish meaningful change. Boon (2010) has described faculty development not an intentional career choice but as a ‘destination that seeks us’ (p.7). Like Breslow (2010), I feel that I am fortunate to have a role that enables me to “live my values” (p.35) which allows me to extend and deepen my knowledge, work creatively within my institution and other organisations and ultimately empower and prepare others with what Nixon (2008) has called a disposition for lifelong learning.
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