2010-12-22

Growth Inhibition of Common Food Spoilage and Pathogenic Microorganisms in the Presence of Brown Seaweed Extracts

Shilpi Gupta

Technological University Dublin, shilpi.19may@gmail.com

Sabrina Cox

Technological University Dublin, sabrina.cox@tudublin.ie

Gaurav Rajauria

Technological University Dublin, gaurav.rajauria@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>

Part of the [Food Biotechnology Commons](#), [Food Chemistry Commons](#), and the [Food Microbiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gupta, S., Cox, N., Rajauria, G., Jaiswal, A.K. & Abu-Ghannam, N. (2010). Modelling the growth inhibition of common food spoilage and pathogenic micro-organisms in the presence of brown seaweed extracts. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, DOI: 10.1007/s11947-010-0502-6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, gerard.connolly@tudublin.ie.

Funder: Irish Government under the Technological Sector Research Scheme (Strand III) of the National Development Plan

Authors

Shilpi Gupta, Sabrina Cox, Gaurav Rajauria, Amit Jaiswal, and Nissreen Abu-Ghannam

Growth Inhibition of Common Food Spoilage
and Pathogenic Microorganisms in the Presence of Brown
Seaweed Extracts

Shilpi Gupta · Sabrina Cox · Gaurav Rajauria ·
Amit Kumar Jaiswal · Nissreen Abu-Ghannam

Received: 18 August 2010 / Accepted: 22 December 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract The possibility of using extracts from brown seaweed, *Himanthalia elongata*, as a natural antimicrobial agent for food preservation is presented. The effect of different concentrations of seaweed extract on the growth kinetics of four common food spoilage (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterococcus faecalis*) and food pathogenic microorganisms (*Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella abony*) was examined. Seaweed extract at a concentration of 6% inhibited the growth of all four of the studied organisms. Lower concentrations of seaweed extract prolonged the lag phase and reduced both the exponential growth rate and final population densities of the culture. Suitability of three kinetic models, Baranyi–Roberts, modified Gompertz and logistic, for describing the growth/survival of organisms in the presence of different concentrations of the extract, was evaluated. Root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R^2) were used to evaluate the model performance. The R^2 value was greater than 0.95 for most of the cases indicating that the models could provide a good fitting to the experimental data. The RMSE and residual sum of squares were very low for all the three models, and no significant difference was observed in the goodness of fit between the three models as indicated by the F test.

Keywords Seaweed · Non-thermal methods · Food preservation · Baranyi–Roberts · Modified Gompertz · Logistic

S. Gupta · S. Cox · G. Rajauria · A. K. Jaiswal ·
N. Abu-Ghannam (✉)
School of Food Science and Environmental Health,
Dublin Institute of Technology,
Cathal Brugha St,
Dublin 1, Ireland
e-mail: nissreen.abughannam@dit.ie

Nomenclature		39
A	The lower asymptotic line of the growth curve as t decreases to zero	42
B	The relative maximum specific growth rate (per hour) at time M	44
C	The difference between the upper asymptotic line of the growth curve (maximum population level $N_{\max}$) minus the lower asymptotic line ($N_{\max}-N_0$) (log colony-forming unit (CFU) per millilitre)	48
M	The time at which the specific growth rate is maximum (hours)	53
N_0	Initial population level at time $t=0$ (log CFU per millilitre)	56
N_t	The cell number at any time t (log CFU per millilitre)	59
$N_{\max}$	Maximum population level	62
R^2	The coefficient of determination	63
RSS	Residual sum of squares	66
RMSE	Root mean square error	68

Greek Letters		69
γ	$\log_{10}$ maximum population density for Baranyi–Roberts model (log CFU per millilitre)	72
λ	Lag time (hours)	74
μ	Exponential specific growth rate for Baranyi–Roberts model (per hour)	76
$\mu_{\max}$	Maximum specific growth rate (per hour)	80

Introduction 82

Preservatives are required to maintain the quality, extend shelf life and ensure safety of fresh and processed food products. Although chemical preservatives form an essential part in food preservation, legislation has restricted their 83
84
85
86

87 use in different foods (Brul and Coote 1999). At the same
 88 time, consumer preferences are moving towards foods that
 89 contain lower levels of chemical preservatives, exhibit
 90 characteristics of fresh or natural products and are micro-
 91 biologically safe as well.

92 Non-thermal methods such as the addition of naturally
 93 occurring compounds having antibacterial activity (Hayes
 94 et al. 2010), high pressure carbon dioxide (Garcia-Gonzalez
 95 et al. 2009), high intensity pulsed electric field (Mosqueda-
 96 Melgar et al. 2008), irradiation (Alighourchi et al. 2008) or
 97 ultrasound (Schenk et al. 2008; Salleh-Mack and Roberts
 98 2007) are increasingly gaining attention for preservation of
 99 minimally processed foods. In recent years, the use of
 100 naturally occurring antimicrobial agents to inhibit pathogen
 101 growth and prevent food spoilage has received special
 102 attention (Hayes et al. 2010). Useful antimicrobial phyto-
 103 chemicals can be divided into several categories such as
 104 phenolics and polyphenols; quinines; flavones, flavonoids
 105 and flavonols; tannins; alkaloids and lectins; coumarins and
 106 polypeptides. Nowadays, minimal preservation processes
 107 based on the combined factors technology are also gaining
 108 importance for food preservation. Char et al. (2010) studied
 109 the response of *Listeria innocua* to combined treatments
 110 involving moderate temperatures and the addition of
 111 different levels of citral to obtain a minimally processed
 112 orange juice.

113 Seaweeds are considered a source of bioactive com-
 114 pounds as they are able to produce a great variety of
 115 secondary metabolites characterised by a broad spectrum of
 116 biological activities. Although seaweeds grow in a harsh
 117 environment, they seldom suffer any serious photodynamic
 118 damage during metabolism. This fact implies that seaweed
 119 cells have some protective compounds and mechanisms
 120 (Matsukawa et al. 1997). Since seaweeds are a good source
 121 of antimicrobial compounds, ω 3 fatty acids, antioxidants
 122 and other bioactive compounds, there is an interest to
 123 utilize these products as nutraceuticals and in functional
 124 foods (Yuan 2008). Compounds, such as polyphenols,
 125 flavonoids and polysaccharides, having antioxidant and
 126 antimicrobial activities have been detected in brown, red
 127 and green algae (Cox et al. 2009; Zaragoza et al. 2008). Ara
 128 et al. (2002) reported brown algae to be active against a
 129 number of Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms.
 130 Nagayama et al. (2002) reported that phlorotannins, brown-
 131 algal phenolic compounds, such as eckol and eckol-related
 132 compounds, from *Ecklonia kurome*, have strong bactericid-
 133 al activity. A series of polyphenolic compounds such as
 134 catechins, flavonols and flavonol glycosides have been
 135 identified from methanol extracts of red and brown algae
 136 (Hosokawa et al. 2006) and found to have antioxidant and
 137 antimicrobial activity. Horie et al. (2008) isolated sargaqui-
 138 noic acid derivatives from the brown alga *Sargassum*
 139 *sargamianum* having antibacterial properties.

Traditional microbial enumeration techniques are time-
 consuming, and therefore, mathematical microbial models
 are used to assess the potential for growth of micro-
 organisms in foods during processing and storage (Bovil et
 al. 2001). Empirical sigmoidal type models such as the
 modified Gompertz and logistic models or the semi-
 mechanistic model of Baranyi–Roberts have been used for
 fitting bacterial growth (Xiong et al. 1999). However, data
 on the use of actual plant extract for inhibiting microbial
 growth and modelling the resulting kinetics are scarce.
 Most of the studies done till date use either thermal
 treatments or purified compounds having antimicrobial
 activity for studying growth inhibition.

This study was conducted to determine the effect of
 different concentrations of brown seaweed (*Himanthalia*
elongata) extract against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmo-*
nella abony, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. There are some reports available wherein the
 antimicrobial effect of seaweed extract has been studied on
 different organisms (Taskin et al. 2010; Cox et al. 2009; Ely
 et al. 2004; Nagayama et al. 2002), but no studies are
 available where the growth inhibition has been modelled.
 Hence, the present study investigates the utilization of
 methanolic extract from brown seaweed as a natural
 antimicrobial agent for food preservation by examining its
 effects on the growth kinetics of four common food
 spoilage and food pathogenic microorganisms. In order to
 describe growth inhibition in the presence of seaweed
 extract, performance of three commonly used primary
 models, namely the Baranyi–Roberts, modified Gompertz
 and logistic models, was evaluated.

Materials and Methods

Seaweed Material

Brown seaweed *H. elongata* (Pheophyta) was purchased
 from Quality Sea Veg., Co. Donegal, Ireland. Samples were
 received in September 2009 and washed thoroughly with
 freshwater to remove epiphytes and salt.

Preparation of Seaweed Extracts

The extraction of seaweed was carried out with 60%
 methanol under nitrogen atmosphere at 40 °C and
 100 rpm in a shaker incubator (Innova 42, Mason
 Technology, Ireland). Samples were filtered and centrifuged
 at 10,000 rpm (8,720×g) for 15 min (Sigma 2K15, Mason
 Technology, Ireland). Resulting extracts were evaporated to
 dryness using vacuum polyevaporator (Buchi Syncore
 Polyvap, Mason Technology, Ireland) at 60 °C. A pressure
 gradient programme was designed for evaporation of the

187	solvents with vacuum conditions of 33,700 and 7,200 Pa	The plate was incubated in the microtitre reader for	233
188	for methanol and water, respectively.	24 h at respective temperature for each organism.	234
189	Antimicrobial Activity	Microbial growth was recorded every 2 h on a Power-	235
190	<i>Microbial Culture</i>	wave microplate spectrophotometer (Powerwave, Biotek)	236
191	Two species of common food pathogenic and food spoilage	driven by Gen5 reader control and data analysis	237
192	bacteria selected for this study were <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	software. Turbidity was measured as absorbance at	238
193	(ATCC 19115), <i>S. abony</i> (NCTC 6017), <i>E. faecalis</i> (ATCC	600 nm, with 20 s agitation before each OD measure-	239
194	7080) and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (ATCC 27853), respectively	ment. The OD values were converted to log CFU per	240
195	(Medical Supply Company, Dublin, Ireland). All cultures	millilitre by the standard curve as described in “ Relation	241
196	were maintained at -70°C in 20% glycerol stocks and	Between Turbidity and Viable Count ” section.	242
197	grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Scharlau Chemie,	Growth Curve	243
198	Barcelona, Spain) at 37°C , except for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> which	To describe the inhibition of bacterial growth in the	244
199	was incubated at 30°C , to obtain sub-cultures. A final cell	presence of seaweed extract, three primary growth models,	245
200	concentration of 1×10^6 colony-forming units (CFU)/ml	namely modified Gompertz, logistic and Baranyi–Roberts	246
201	was used for the experiments.	model, were fitted to the data, and their performance was	247
202	<i>Relation Between Turbidity and Viable Count</i>	comparatively evaluated. Growth curves were plotted to	248
203	Before the kinetics study, a relationship between optical	evaluate the antibacterial activities of the seaweed extract.	249
204	density at 600 nm and viable count was determined for all	<i>Baranyi–Roberts Model</i>	250
205	of the bacteria studied. A volume of 200 μl of bacterial	A programme implemented in Microsoft Excel (DM-Fit;	251
206	suspension containing 6 log CFU/ml was dispensed into	Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK) was used to fit	252
207	50 wells of the 96-well microtitre plate (Sarstedt Ltd., UK).	the equation of Baranyi and Roberts (1994) to the growth	253
208	Every hour, the optical density (OD) of the microtitre plate	data. To evaluate the effect of different extract concen-	254
209	was read. At the same time, an aliquot of 100 μl from one	trations main kinetic parameters such as exponential	255
210	well was transferred into 900 μl of maximum recovery	specific growth rate (μ), Log_{10} maximum population	256
211	diluent (Scharlau Chemie, Barcelona, Spain) to determine	density (γ), lag time (λ) and the coefficient of determination	257
212	the viable cell count. Spreading was carried out on Tryptic	(R^2) were calculated.	258
213	soy agar (Scharlau Chemie, Barcelona, Spain) plates by	<i>Modified Gompertz Model</i>	259
214	taking 100 μl of relevant dilution. Plates were incubated at	The modified Gompertz model (Gibson et al. 1987) is given	260
215	37°C , with the exception of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (30°C), for 24 h	by Eq. 1,	261
216	before determining the CFU per millilitre. A standard curve	$N_t = A + C \times \exp[-\exp\{-B \times (t - M)\}] \quad (1)$	
217	(OD _{600nm} vs. log CFU per millilitre) was drawn from the	where N_t is the cell number (log CFU per millilitre) at any	263
218	results obtained. This curve was later used for conversion	time t , A is the lower asymptotic line of the growth curve as	264
219	of the OD values to log CFU per millilitre for respective	t decreases to zero (that is N_0 : initial population level at	265
220	bacteria in the presence of seaweed extract.	time $t=0$ (log CFU per millilitre)), C is the difference	266
221	<i>Antimicrobial Activity Assay</i>	between the upper asymptotic line of the growth curve	267
222	The influence of varying concentrations of extract on	(maximum population level, N_{max}) minus the lower	268
223	efficacy was assessed against the four organisms using	asymptotic line (for example, $N_{\text{max}} - N_0$ (log CFU per	269
224	96-well microtitre plates. Extract (300 mg) obtained	millilitre)), B is the relative maximum specific growth rate	270
225	from 5 g fresh seaweed was dissolved in TSB (2.5 ml),	(per hour) at time M and M is the time at which the specific	271
226	and 200 μl was added to the first row of each plate. All	growth rate is maximum. Equations 2, 3 and 4 can then be	272
227	other wells were filled with 100 μl of TSB, and 100 μl	used for the calculation of maximum specific growth rate	273
228	from the first well was serially diluted into 2-fold along	(μ_{max} (per hour)), lag phase duration (λ , hours) and	274
229	each column. Finally, 100 μl of bacterial suspension	maximum cell population (N_{max}), respectively:	275
230	containing 6 log CFU/ml was added to the wells. The	$\mu_{\text{max}} = \frac{B \times C}{e} \quad (2)$	
231	last row was used for bacterium and media controls.		
232	Sample blanks were also prepared for all of the extracts.		

where $e=2.7182$

$$\lambda = M - \frac{1}{B} \quad (3)$$

279

$$N_{max} = A + C \quad (4)$$

281

283 *Logistic Model*

284 The logistic model used for defining bacterial growth as a
 285 function of time at constant environmental conditions, such
 286 as temperature, pH, water activity etc. is given by Eq. 5
 287 (Gibson et al. 1987):

$$N_t = A + \frac{C}{1 + \exp[-B \times (t - M)]} \quad (5)$$

288 where N_t , A , B , M and C have the same meaning as given
 289 for the modified Gompertz equation. The μ_{max} and λ
 290 parameters can be calculated by Eqs. 6 and 7, respectively,
 291 as follows:

$$\mu_{max} = \frac{B \times C}{4} \quad (6)$$

293

$$\lambda = M - \frac{2}{B} \quad (7)$$

296

298 *Model Comparison*

299 *Root Mean Square Error* The smaller the root mean square
 300 error (RMSE) values, the better the fit of the model to the
 301 data.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\text{predicted} - \text{observed})^2}{n - p}} \quad (8)$$

302 where n is the number of observations and p the number of
 303 parameters to be estimated.

305

306 *Curve Fitting*

307 A plot of microbial count versus time for each extract
 308 concentration was used to derive the starting values for the
 309 parameters, N_0 and N_{max} , for all three models evaluated.
 310 The lag time was obtained from the raw data by noting the
 311 time when exponential growth started. The experimental
 312 data were fitted to equations described above by nonlinear
 313 regression with a Marquardt algorithm using the software
 314 Statgraphics Centurion XV (StatPoint Technologies, Inc.,
 315 Warrenton, VA, USA). The aim of the fitting procedure was

to find each model's parameters that best described the data
 by minimizing the sum of the squares of the differences
 between the model simulated and experimental values.

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in duplicate and replicated
 at least three times. All statistical analyses were carried out
 using STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV. Statistical differ-
 ences between extract activities were determined using
 ANOVA followed by least significant difference testing.
 Differences were considered statistically significant when
 $p < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Antimicrobial Effect of Different Concentrations of *H. elongata* Extracts

In our previous study (Cox et al. 2009), we had reported the
 antioxidant capacity of six species of Irish seaweeds and
 found the methanolic extracts from *H. elongata* to be the
 richest in terms of antioxidant properties. The selection of
 the pathogenic microbes (*L. monocytogenes* and *S. abony*)
 was made after discussions with the Food Safety Authority
 of Ireland as these were found to be the most challenging
 organisms for the safety of food products in Ireland. The
 other two (*E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*) are the most
 widespread food spoilage microorganisms. Since the yield
 of the extract obtained was only 60 mg/g seaweed, growth
 inhibition was checked by measuring the OD by a micro-
 titre plate-based assay rather than by the conventional
 spread plate method. As expected, control samples showed
 a rapid and prolific growth, as the populations were 9.6, 10,
 14.1 and 17.2 log CFU/ml after 24 h for *S. abony*, *L.*
monocytogenes, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. faecalis*, respectively
 (Fig. 1). The incorporation of seaweed extract resulted in
 variable levels of inhibition in the growth of the different
 organisms. Resistance to extract was not correlated with
 taxonomy, since *E. faecalis* (Gram positive) and *P.*
aeruginosa (Gram negative) were the most sensitive to all
 of the different concentrations of the extract followed by *S.*
abony and *L. monocytogenes*. Figure 1 shows the influence
 of the different concentrations of crude extracts obtained
 from *H. elongata* against the four studied organisms. The
 extract had a strong antagonizing effect on the food
 spoilage and pathogens studied, showing a remarkable
 dose-response relationship with an increase of the lag
 phase duration and decrease of the exponential growth rate.
 In addition, a reduction in the maximum number attained or
 a complete suppression of growth was observed. The
 addition of *H. elongata* extracts resulted in complete

Fig. 1 Growth kinetics of food spoilage and pathogenic bacteria in presence of different concentrations of seaweed extract: **a** *L. monocytogenes*, **b** *P. aeruginosa*, **c** *E. faecalis* and **d** *S. abony*. Different concentrations of extract used: diamond 6%, black triangle 3%, circle 1.5%, square 0.75%, asterisk 0.375% and white triangle 0%

growth inhibition of all the studied organisms at the highest extract concentration (6%) used (Fig. 1). The bactericidal activity can be attributed due to the presence of phenolic compounds such as bromophenols and phlorotannins, produced by brown algae (Nagayama et al. 2002). Phenolic compounds from other plant sources have also been reported to inhibit various foodborne pathogens (Plaza et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2005; Prashanth et al. 2001). Polyphenols, such as tannins and flavonoids, are important antibacterial substances. Halogen-containing terpenoids, acetylenes and phenols have also been identified in several seaweed species as biologically active compounds having antibacterial and anti-tumoural activities (Cardozo et al. 2007; Vairappan et al. 2001; Carvalho and Roque 2000). Plaza et al. (2010) identified volatile compounds like fatty acids, alkanes, phenols and compounds such as phytol (2-hexadecen-1-ol, 3,7,11,15-tetramethyl) and neophytadiene in the ethanol extracts from *Synechocystis* sp. and *H. elongata*. These compounds have been already proposed to have antimicrobial activity (Alagić et al. 2006).

The cell density in the presence of 6% extracts upon completion of the assay (24 h) was lower for all four bacteria than the initial bacterial density. Similar effect was seen in earlier studies on the effect of seaweed extracts on growth of marine and fish pathogenic extracts (Dubber and Harder 2008) where it had been anticipated the reason for this frequently observed result could be associated with the complete disappearance of the bacterial DNA upon incu-

bation with algal extract components. Therefore, the extracts presumably evoked not only a bacteriostatic but also bacteriolytic mode of action. Studies by Ceylan et al. (1998) revealed that addition of 1% spice (garlic, clove and cinnamon) to salami mixed with starter culture and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 resulted in slight reduction of the pathogen; however, the addition of 7.5% garlic and clove killed 99% of the pathogen. Similar results were obtained in the present study as well wherein addition of 6% extracts resulted in growth inhibition and extract concentrations lesser than that caused a reduction in the cell numbers.

As the extract concentration was serially diluted, the inactivation effect was reduced. Although the addition of extracts at a concentration of 3% did not result in a complete inactivation of bacteria, the growth kinetics was highly altered. An increase in the cell number, after 24 h of incubation with 3% extract, for each of the four bacteria was in the range of 0.21–0.43 log CFU/ml. A lag phase much longer than the control was observed, and the specific growth rate was significantly reduced for all the organisms. At the same time, a reduction of 98% (*E. faecalis*), 97% (*P. aeruginosa*), 93% (*S. abony*) and 91% (*L. monocytogenes*) in the stationary level growth was observed as compared to the control. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in the stationary phase growth (24 h) of *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis*, *L. monocytogenes* and *S. abony* upon the addition of 3%, 1.5% and 0.75% extract. The increase in the cell

number upon the addition of 1.5% extract, after 24 h incubation, was in the range of 0.6–0.8 log CFU/ml. Thus, it can be said that addition of these concentrations of extracts resulted in an extended lag phase. Despite the fact that reducing the extract concentration to 0.75% resulted in a lag phase similar to that of the control, the specific growth rate was highly suppressed. Hence, an increase of 1.5-, 1.6-, 2.2- and 2.3-fold in the stationary phase growth of *S. abony*, *L. monocytogenes*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. faecalis*, respectively, was seen in the control (0% extract) as compared to samples containing 0.75% extract. Thus, the bacteria started to grow at almost similar times, but the presence of the extract suppressed the maximum cell number attained. This was further evident from a slight increase of 1–1.9 log CFU/ml for each of the four bacteria after 24 h growth. Reducing the extract concentration further to 0.35% and 0.18% resulted in growth patterns very similar to the control in case of *L. monocytogenes* and *S. abony*.

The present study utilized methanol as a solvent for extraction of compounds responsible for the observed effect. Studies are also available wherein different solvents have been utilized for the extraction of biologically active

compounds from seaweeds. Earlier reports on the effectiveness of extraction methods evidenced that methanol extraction yielded higher antimicrobial activity than other solvents such as *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate (Sastry and Rao 1994; Paul and Puglisi 2004). It is well documented that using organic solvents always provides a higher efficiency in extracting compounds for antimicrobial activities as compared to water-based methods (Masuda et al. 1997; Lima-Filho et al. 2002).

A significant finding of the present study was the potency of the extract against Gram-negative bacteria (*P. aeruginosa*). Gram-negative bacteria are more resistant pathogens compared to the Gram-positive bacteria. They have an additional lipopolysaccharide layer on the outer surface which prevents certain drugs and antibiotics from penetrating the cell thus accounting for the high resistance of these bacteria to antibiotics (Dowling 2004). Therefore, the present study brings out a new insight towards the development of antimicrobial agents against Gram-negative bacteria from seaweeds. In recent years, the use of non-thermal techniques for preservation of food has been gaining importance. The use of ozone, irradiation or

Fig. 2 Fitting of the three models to the inactivation of the four organisms by extract at a concentration of 0.75% and 0.375%. **a** *L. monocytogenes*, **b** *P. aeruginosa*, **c** *E. faecalis* and **d** *S. abony*. Different concentrations of extract used: triangle 0.75% and diamond

0.375%. Different models: dotted line modified Gompertz model equation, dashed line Baranyi–Roberts model equation and dash-dotted line logistic model equation. Points represent experimental data

ultrafiltration is better than thermal sterilization but can have a detrimental impact on the bioactive compounds present in foods if used at higher levels (Tiwari et al. 2009; Alighourchi et al. 2008; Zárate-Rodríguez et al. 2000). In this regard, the use of natural antimicrobials in foods to prevent spoilage might also provide the additional benefit of preserving the bioactive properties of foods. Thus, the addition of extracts from seaweeds can not only impart microbiological safety to food products as they are rich in bioactives (Cox et al. 2009) but can also provide foods with antioxidants in order to prevent oxidative spoilage.

Comparison of Kinetic Models

Generally, the models that can be used for describing the kinetics of survival curves are either empirical or based on biological assumptions. Three primary growth models (modified Gompertz (empirical), logistic (empirical) and Baranyi–Roberts model (semi-mechanistic model)) were used to analyse the delay or inhibition of growth against the four different organisms. In most of the cases, the R^2 values for all the models were greater than 0.9 (except when

extract at a concentration of 1.5% was added to *P. aeruginosa*), indicating a good fit to the experimental data. Examples of the fit of the three models to the inactivation of the four organisms at an extract concentration of 0.75% and 0.375% are depicted in Fig. 2. All the parameters obtained for the three mathematical models were directly related to the extract concentration. Analyses of variance indicated that the maximum specific growth rate, $\mu_{\max}$, was significantly reduced ($p<0.05$) with increasing extract concentration suggesting that the cells became more sensitive (Table 1). The estimated values for the lag phase for all the three models tended to increase as the extract concentration increased. In individual model analysis, it was found that all the three models were capable of fitting the experimental data very reasonably and produced almost similar curves; however, no model could produce consistently best fit to all the growth curves analysed. RMSE (Table 2) was used as a statistical measure for comparison of the experimental and model simulated values. There was no significant difference between the RMSE ($p>0.05$) for the three models. One way to discriminate the goodness of fit among different models is to compare them statistically

Table 1 Estimations of the kinetic parameters using the logistic, modified Gompertz and the Baranyi-Roberts models

		Conc. (%)	Logistic		Baranyi–Roberts			Gompertz		
			μ	Lag	μ	Lag	A	μ	Lag	A
t1.4	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	6	−0.0062	—	−0.0032	—	—	−0.007	—	4.94
t1.5		3	0.101	8.75	0.104	8.83	5.62	0.109	8.83	5.63
t1.6		1.5	0.195	6.24	0.18	6.106	5.77	0.179	6.02	5.77
t1.7		0.75	0.212	2.8	0.173	2.56	6.15	0.256	2.99	6.15
t1.8		0.375	0.191	—	0.137	—	—	0.209	2.77	8.13
t1.9		0	0.336	—	0.287	—	9.89	0.364	1.74	10.12
t1.10	<i>S. abony</i>	6	−0.163	1.73	−0.026	—	4.43	−0.177	1.75	4.43
t1.11		3	0.169	6.4	0.154	6.24	4.55	0.144	6.02	4.55
t1.12		1.5	0.223	0.47	0.191	4.49	4.76	0.148	4.05	4.77
t1.13		0.75	0.116	—	0.105	—	6.31	0.12	—	6.57
t1.14		0.375	0.22	—	0.193	—	7.61	0.226	—	7.95
t1.15		0	0.471	—	0.399	—	9.56	0.515	1.1	9.71
t1.16	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6	−0.005	—	−0.0415	—	5.03	−0.053	—	5.02
t1.17		3	0.035	10.3	0.0275	9.59	5.74	0.035	10.43	5.74
t1.18		1.5	0.129	2.5	0.102	—	6.25	0.141	0.74	6.25
t1.19		0.75	0.213	—	0.148	—	6.61	0.218	2.59	6.62
t1.20		0.375	0.764	3.74	0.669	3.54	7.94	0.808	3.65	7.95
t1.21		0	0.991	2.06	0.8127	1.49	14.118	1.09	3.1	14.25
t1.22	<i>E. faecalis</i>	6	−0.055	—	−0.049	—	5.92	−0.057	—	5.85
t1.23		3	0.017	6.4	0.0104	—	—	0.019	7.38	6.37
t1.24		1.5	0.167	5.55	0.147	5.33	6.88	0.173	5.47	6.88
t1.25		0.75	0.171	2.51	0.098	—	7.56	0.183	3.22	7.52
t1.26		0.375	0.216	—	0.18	—	8.73	0.235	0.985	8.79
t1.27		0	1.54	2.19	1.29	1.87	16.78	1.63	2.58	16.9

Table 2 Values of the statistical indices, RMSE and RSS, for the three models against four different bacteria at six different extract concentrations

	Conc. (%)	RMSE			RSS		
		A	B	C	A	B	C
<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	6	0.01	0.0	0.01	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3	0.015	0.018	0.012	0.0018	0.0027	0.0012
	1.5	0.035	0.036	0.035	0.0113	0.012	0.0113
	0.75	0.044	0.043	0.046	0.014	0.013	0.0146
	0.375	0.197	0.27	0.181	0.351	0.659	0.295
	0	0.273	0.310	0.251	0.673	0.865	0.567
<i>S. abony</i>	6	0.01	0.010	0.01	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3	0.023	0.023	0.021	0.004	0.004	0.004
	1.5	0.057	0.057	0.056	0.026	0.026	0.025
	0.75	0.109	0.116	0.108	0.108	0.121	0.104
	0.375	0.126	0.156	0.13	0.147	0.219	0.153
	0	0.238	0.306	0.206	0.51	0.842	0.388
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6	0.01	0.026	0.01	0.0	0.01	0.0
	3	0.019	0.021	0.015	0.0024	0.003	0.001
	1.5	0.146	0.133	0.149	0.148	0.124	0.155
	0.75	0.106	0.106	0.121	0.112	0.112	0.147
	0.375	0.332	0.233	0.257	0.994	0.488	0.595
	0	0.235	0.337	0.15	0.44	0.909	0.181
<i>E. faecalis</i>	6	0.027	0.031	0.027	0.004	0.006	0.004
	3	0.019	0.026	0.017	0.002	0.005	0.002
	1.5	0.021	0.017	0.027	0.003	0.002	0.005
	0.75	0.155	0.185	0.139	0.192	0.272	0.156
	0.375	0.337	0.357	0.332	1.026	1.147	0.994
	0	0.455	0.559	0.374	1.65	2.51	1.12

A logistic, B Baranyi–Roberts, C modified Gompertz equation

Zwietering et al. (1990). The models were statistically validated with the use of *F* test. The calculated *F* values were lower than the *F* table values, indicating that there was no significant difference in the goodness of fit between the three models, except for 6% extract concentration against *P. aeruginosa*. In this case, fitting by Gompertz and logistic were found to be better than Baranyi–Roberts model (data not shown). Although the performance of the modified Gompertz model was better than the logistic and the Baranyi–Roberts model, the use of one primary model or the other in case of inactivation curves should be guided by specific requirements (Geeraerd et al. 1997). However, based on the RMSE and residual sum of squares (RSS) values, it can be said that all the three model equations were effective for describing sigmoidal curves as previously reported by Xiong et al. (1999), who modelled the thermal inactivation of *L. monocytogenes*. The model kinetic parameters such as the λ , μ and $N_{\max}$ estimated using the experimental data with the modified Gompertz, logistic and Baranyi–Roberts model are summarized in Table 2. As there was no difference in the goodness of fit of the three models, the parameters of modified Gompertz were further analysed, as an example, to study the effect of extract

concentration on the bacterial growth. The most prominent effect of the extract was an increase in the lag phase duration. The increase in lag phase due to the addition of extract at a concentration of 3% was more than 3-fold for *L. monocytogenes* and *P. aeruginosa* as compared to the control. A delay in, or inhibition of, microbial growth is particularly useful in terms of food safety. The extension of the lag phase is probably the most widely used parameter to describe the inhibitory effects of antimicrobial compounds, and a slight delay in the lag phase may have an important

Fig. 3 Relation between lag phase and concentration of extract against the four different organisms (diamond—*L. monocytogenes*, circle—*S. abony*, square—*P. aeruginosa* and triangle—*E. faecalis*)

influence on the shelf life of food products. Thus, the prolonging of lag phase could be used as an appropriate index for evaluation of the activity of the antimicrobial compounds. In the present study, a linear positive correlation (R^2 ranging between 0.912 and 0.986) was obtained between the lag phase and the concentration of seaweed extract for the different organisms (Fig. 3). The seaweed extracts were potent even at an extract concentration as low as 0.75% resulting in 42% and 20% increase in the lag phase of *L. monocytogenes* and *E. faecalis*, respectively, as compared to the control. Extract concentration of 3% increased the lag phase in a range of 65% to 81% for all of the organisms. In an early report on microbial growth modelling of fresh filled pasta stored at different temperatures by Giannuzzi (1998), it was observed that the ratio of specific growth rate to generation time was nearly constant which suggests a linear relationship between lag phase and the reciprocal of the maximum specific growth rate. Similar observations were confirmed in the present study during the inactivation of the four organisms at different extract concentrations.

The concentration of extract also had a strong effect on the maximum specific growth rate. A reduction of 99% and 96.8% was observed in the maximum specific growth rate, as compared to control at 3% extract concentration (*E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*, respectively).

It has been reported in the literature that flavonoids, polysaccharides, sesquiterpenes and phlorotannins can be obtained from seaweeds. These active ingredients produce varied pharmacological effects such as anti-angiogenic, anti-inflammation, disinfection and anti-tumour. The application of the extracts of *H. elongata* in food industry may contribute to such pharmacological activities as food anti-oxidation, health care and in addition as food nutrient. Therefore, these extracts could be applied as natural additives with extensive market prospect.

Conclusion

H. elongata can be considered as a promising marine plant in the development of bioactive ingredients for functional foods, nutraceuticals and other applications. The extracts showed an evident antimicrobial effect against the microorganisms used in the present study in a dose-dependent manner. Complete growth inactivation of all of the studied organisms was observed at a concentration of 6%. Addition of extracts at a concentration less than that resulted in an extension of the lag phase and significantly reduced maximum specific growth rate. A reduction of 91–98% in the stationary level growth as compared to the control was also observed. The findings suggest that seaweed extracts have a good potential as natural antibacterial substances in

food preservation. It might be possible that high concentrations of these extracts may adversely affect the organoleptic properties of food; however, lower concentrations may be sufficient for food safety in situations where bacterial load is low.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to acknowledge funding from the Irish Government under the Technological Sector Research Scheme (Strand III) of the National Development Plan.

References

- Alagić, S., Stančić, I., Palić, R., Stojanović, G., & Lepojević, Ž. (2006). Chemical composition of the supercritical CO₂ extracts of the *Yaka*, *Prilep* and *Oilja* tobaccos. *J Essent Oil Res*, 18(2), 185–188.
- Alighourchi, H., Barzegar, M., & Abbasi, S. (2008). Effect of gamma irradiation on the stability of anthocyanins and shelf-life of various pomegranate juices. *Food Chem*, 110(4), 1036–1040.
- Ara, J., Sultana, V., Ehteshamul-Haque, S., Athar, M., & Qasim, R. (2002). Antibacterial activity of marine macroalgae from Karachi coast. *Bull Pol Acad Sci*, 50, 199–206.
- Baranyi, J., & Roberts, T. A. (1994). A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in food. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 23(3–4), 277–294.
- Bovil, R. A., Bew, J., & Baranyi, J. (2001). Measurements and predictions of growth for *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* during fluctuating temperature. II. Rapidly changing temperatures. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 67(1–2), 131–137.
- Brul, S., & Coote, P. (1999). Preservative agents in foods—mode of action and microbial resistance mechanisms. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 50(1–2), 1–17.
- Cardozo, K. H. M., Guaratini, T., Barros, M. P., Falcão, V. R., Tonon, A. P., Lopes, N. P., et al. (2007). Metabolites from algae with economical impact. *Comp Biochem Physiol C*, 146(1–2), 60–78.
- Carvalho, L. R., & Roque, N. F. (2000). Halogenated and/or sulphated phenols from marine macroalgae. *Quim Nova*, 23(6), 757–765.
- Ceylan, E., Kang, D., Daniel, Y. C. F. (1998). Spices may reduce *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in meat. Available at <http://genetics.miningco.com/library/blpressecoli.htm>. Accessed on 5 Aug 2010.
- Char, C. D., Guerrero, S. N., & Alzamora, S. M. (2010). Mild thermal process combined with vanillin plus citral to help shorten the inactivation time for *Listeria innocua* in orange juice. *Food Bioprocess Technol*, 3(5), 752–761.
- Cox, S., Abu-Ghannam, N., & Gupta, S. (2009). An assessment of the antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of six species of edible Irish seaweeds. *Int Food Res J*, 17(1), 205–220.
- Dowling, P. M. (2004). Antimicrobial therapy. In H. Bertone (Ed.), *Equine clinical pharmacology* (pp. 13–48). London: Saunders.
- Dubber, D., & Harder, T. (2008). Extracts of *Ceramium rubrum*, *Mastocarpus stellatus* and *Laminaria digitata* inhibit growth of marine and fish pathogenic bacteria at ecologically realistic concentrations. *Aquaculture*, 274(2–4), 196–200.
- Ely, R., Supriya, T., & Naik, C. G. (2004). Antimicrobial activity of marine organisms collected off the coast of South East India. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol*, 309(1), 121–127.
- Garcia-Gonzalez, L., Geeraerd, A. H., Elst, K., Van Ginneken, L., Van Impe, J. F., & Devlieghere, F. (2009). Influence of type of microorganism, food ingredients and food properties on high-pressure carbon dioxide inactivation of microorganisms. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 129(3), 253–263.

- 649 Geeraerd, A., Herremans, C., Van Impe, J. (1997). Structural model
650 requirements to describe microbial inactivation. In: *Proceedings*
651 *Science and Technology (International Institute of Refrigeration).*
652 *Predictive microbiology applied to chilled food preservation* (pp
653 280–287). Quimper: European Commission, C2.
- 654 Gibson, A. M., Bratchell, H., & Roberts, T. A. (1987). The effect of
655 sodium chloride and temperature on rate and extent of growth of
656 *Clostridium botulinum* type A in pasteurized pork slurry. *J Appl*
657 *Bacteriol*, 62(6), 479–490.
- 658 Giannuzzi, L. (1998). Mathematical modelling of microbial growth in
659 fresh filled pasta stored at different temperatures. *J Food Process*
660 *Preserv*, 22(6), 433–447.
- 661 Hayes, J. E., Stepanyan, V., Allen, P., O'Grady, M. N., & Kerry, J. P.
662 (2010). Effect of lutein, sesamol, ellagic acid and olive leaf
663 extract on the quality and shelf-life stability of packaged raw
664 minced beef patties. *Meat Sci*, 84(4), 613–620.
- 665 Horie, S., Tsutsumi, S., Takada, Y., & Kimura, J. (2008). Antibacterial
666 quinone metabolites from the brown alga, *Sargassum sagami-*
667 *num*. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*, 81(9), 1125–1130.
- 668 Hosokawa, M., Bhaskar, N., Sashima, T., & Miyashita, K. (2006).
669 Fucoxanthin as a bioactive and nutritionally beneficial marine
670 carotenoid: a review. *Carotenoid Sci*, 10, 15–28.
- 671 Kim, Y. S., Hwang, C. S., & Shin, D. H. (2005). Volatile constituents
672 from the leaves of *Polygonum cuspidatum* S. et Z. and their anti-
673 bacterial activities. *Food Microbiol*, 22(1), 139–144.
- 674 Lima-Filho, J. V. M., Carvalho, A. F. F. U., & Freitas, S. M. (2002).
675 Antibacterial activity of extracts of six macroalgae from the
676 northeastern Brazilian coast. *Braz J Microbiol*, 33(4), 311–333.
- 677 Masuda, M., Abe, T., Sato, S., Suzuki, T., & Suzuki, M. (1997). Diversity
678 of halogenated secondary metabolites in the red algae *Laurencia*
679 *nipponica* (Rhodomelaceae Ceramiales). *J Phycol*, 33(2), 196–208.
- 680 Matsukawa, R., Dubinsky, Z., Kishimoto, E., Masaki, K., Masuda, Y.,
681 & Takeuchi, T. (1997). A comparison of screening methods for
682 antioxidant activity in seaweeds. *J Appl Phycol*, 9(1), 29–35.
- 683 Mosqueda-Melgar, J., Raybaudi-Massilia, R. M., & Martín-Belloso,
684 O. (2008). Non-thermal pasteurization of fruit juices by combin-
685 ing high-intensity pulsed electric fields with natural antimicro-
686 bials. *Innovative Food Sci Emerg Technol*, 9(3), 328–340.
- 687 Nagayama, K., Iwamura, Y., Shibata, Y., Hirayama, I., & Nakamura,
688 T. (2002). Bactericidal activity of phlorotannins from the brown
689 alga *Ecklonia kurome*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 50(6), 889–893.
- 690 Paul, V. J., & Puglisi, M. P. (2004). Chemical mediation of interactions
691 among marine organisms. *Nat Prod Rep*, 21, 189–209.
- 692 Plaza, M., Santoyo, S., Jaime, L., García-Blairsy Reina, G., Herrero,
693 M., Snoráns, F. J., et al. (2010). Screening for bioactive
694 compounds from algae. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*, 51(2), 450–455.
- 695 Prashanth, D., Asha, M. K., & Amit, A. (2001). Antibacterial activity
696 of *Punica granatum*. *Fitoterapia*, 72(2), 171–173.
- 697 Salleh-Mack, S. Z., & Roberts, J. S. (2007). Ultrasound pasteuriza-
698 tion: The effects of temperature, soluble solids, organic acids and
699 pH on the inactivation of *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922.
700 *Ultrason Sonochem*, 14(3), 323–329.
- 701 Sastry, V. M. V. S., & Rao, G. R. K. (1994). Antibacterial substances
702 from marine algae: Successive extraction using benzene, chloro-
703 form and methanol. *Bot Mar*, 37(4), 357–360.
- 704 Schenk, M., Guerrero, S., & Alzamora, S. M. (2008). Response of
705 some microorganisms to ultraviolet treatment on fresh-cut pear.
706 *Food Bioprocess Technol*, 1(4), 384–392.
- 707 Taskin, E., Caki, Z., Ozturk, M., & Taskin, E. (2010). Assessment of
708 *in vitro* antitumoral and antimicrobial activities of marine algae
709 harvested from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Afr J Biotechnol*,
710 9(27), 4272–4277.
- 711 Tiwari, B. K., O'Donnell, C. P., & Cullen, P. J. (2009). Effect of non
712 thermal processing technologies on the anthocyanin content of
713 fruit juices. *Trends Food Sci Technol*, 20(3–4), 137–145.
- 714 Vairappan, C. C., Daitoh, M., Suzuki, M., Abe, T., & Masuda, M.
715 (2001). Antibacterial halogenated metabolites from the Malay-
716 sian *Laurencia* sp. *Phytochemistry*, 58(2), 291–297.
- 717 Xiong, R., Xie, G., Edmondson, A. S., Linton, R. H., & Sheard,
718 M. A. (1999). Comparison of the Baranyi model with the
719 modified Gompertz equation for modelling thermal inactiva-
720 tion of *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott A. *Food Microbiol*, 16(3),
721 269–279.
- 722 Yuan, Y. V. (2008). Marine algal constituents. In C. Barrow & F.
723 Shahidi (Eds.), *Marine nutraceuticals and functional foods* (pp.
724 259–296). Boca Raton: CRC.
- 725 Zaragoza, M. C., Lopez, D., Saiz, M. P., Poquet, M., Perez, J., Puig-
726 Parellada, P., et al. (2008). Toxicity and antioxidant activity in
727 vitro and in vivo of two *Fucus vesiculosus* extracts. *J Agr Food*
728 *Chem*, 56(17), 7773–7780.
- 729 Zárte-Rodríguez, E., Ortega-Rivas, E., & Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V.
730 (2000). Quality changes in apple juice as related to nonthermal
731 processing. *J Food Qual*, 23(3), 337–349.
- 732 Zwietering, M. H., Jongenburger, I., Rombouts, F. M., & Van'T Riet,
733 K. (1990). Modelling of the bacterial growth curve. *Appl Environ*
734 *Microbiol*, 56(6), 1875–1881.

AUTHOR QUERY

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER QUERY.

- Q1. Figures 1 and 3 has poor quality with pixelated image. Please provide better quality of the said figures.

UNCORRECTED PROOF