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ABSTRACT

A new low-toxicity diacetone acrylamide-based photopolymer is developed and characterized. The environmentally-compatible photopolymer has been modified with the inclusion of glycerol. The incorporation of glycerol results in a uniform maximum refractive index modulation for recording intensities in the range of 1-20 mW/cm². This may be attributed to glycerol’s nature as a plasticizer, which allows for faster diffusion of un-reacted monomer within the grating during holographic recording. An optimum recording intensity of 0.5 mW/cm² is observed for exposure energies of 20-60 mW/cm². The modified photopolymer achieves a refractive index modulation of 2.2x10⁻³, with diffraction efficiencies up to 90 % in 100 µm layers. The photopolymer layers containing glycerol have improved stability and optical quality.

Keywords: non-toxic photopolymer, holography, diffraction gratings

1. INTRODUCTION

The optimization and characterization of a new non-toxic Diacetone Acrylamide (DA) based photopolymer has previously been described. The improved photopolymer composition is shown to have holographic recording features similar to that of the standard Acrylamide (AA) based photopolymer, but with reduced toxicity due to the replacement low-toxicity monomer Diacetone Acrylamide. It has also shown to surpass the holographic recording capabilities of other recently developed low toxicity photopolymer materials. This materials non-toxic nature, wide dynamic range and high holographic sensitivity, together with its self-processing nature and low cost of production, make it an excellent candidate for applications such as holographic sensors, diffractive optics and in data storage.

This paper details the effect of the addition of glycerol on the holographic recording properties of the new DA photopolymer. Glycerol is a transparent, viscous liquid which is reported to have low-toxicity. It is widely used in the food and pharmaceutical industries as a solvent and lubricant. Glycerol has been shown to influence the maximum refractive index modulation’s dependence on recording intensity, the low-intensity response of the material, as well as the stability of the DA photopolymer samples.

2. THEORY

A typical photopolymer which reacts via a radical chain mechanism consists of a main monomer, a cross-linking monomer, an electron donor, a photosensitising dye, and a binder matrix, which holds all of the components together. These photopolymer components are spatially redistributed during holographic recording due to the polymerization reaction which occurs in the material on exposure to light. This reaction involves three steps: initiation, propagation and termination. When illuminated, dye molecules absorb photons of light, and are promoted to excited singlet states.

\[ XD + hv \rightarrow ^1XD \bullet \]  

(1)

These singlet states can re-emit this energy via fluorescence, or by radiationless energy transfer to another molecule.
Alternatively, the singlet state can be converted to the more stable and longer lived excited triplet state dye molecule, via intersystem crossing.

\[ ^1XD\cdot \rightarrow ^3XD\cdot \] (4)

This triplet state dye molecule then reacts with the electron donor to produce a pair of radicals.

\[ ^3XD\cdot +ED \rightarrow XD + ED\cdot \] (5)

These radicals react with the monomer to produce an initiating species.

\[ ED\cdot +M \rightarrow ED - M\cdot \] (6)

The growing chain continues to add more monomer units via propagation.

\[ ED - M\cdot +M \rightarrow ED(M)\cdot \] (7)

This propagation step will continue until one of two termination reactions occurs, namely combination or disproportionation.

Oxygen quenching is another important process. It causes a reduction in the yield of singlet and triplet state dye molecules.

\[ ^3XD\cdot +^3O_2 \rightarrow XD + ^3O_2\cdot \] (8)

\[ ^1XD\cdot +^3O_2 \rightarrow XD + ^1O_2\cdot \] (9)

Oxygen-quenching can cause an inhibition period at the start of polymerization, and can lead to a reduction in the rate of photo-bleaching of the layer. Most of the oxygen in the layer must be used up during holographic exposure before polymerization can begin\[14\]. Glycerol is a known reducing agent and has been shown to stimulate the level of oxygenation in certain materials\[15-16\]. The effect of glycerol on photosensitive systems has been studied previously. Meyer et al.\[17\] and Galassi\[18\] report that increasing the concentration of glycerol causes up to an order of magnitude drop in the photobleaching rate for two very different photosensitive systems. Slower rates of photobleaching are desirable for holographic photopolymers, as less dye molecules are bleached initially, allowing for longer exposure times before saturation is reached. This allows for the formation of longer polymer chains and hence a higher refractive index modulation. Glycerol is reported to have a pronounced effect the sensitivity of photopolymer systems also\[16\].

After preliminary optimization, the optimum concentration of glycerol of in the stock photopolymer solution\[1\] was found to be 3.85 % vol/vol. The modified photopolymer (DAG) has been characterized in terms of its refractive index modulation dependence on recording intensity, exposure energy and spatial frequency of recording. The modified composition has been compared with the original DA composition (DA0).
3. EXPERIMENT:

3.1 Preparation of photopolymer layers

The two photopolymer compositions tested were prepared as described in Table 1. The photopolymer solution was then deposited on to glass slides (76 x 26mm) and allowed to dry for 12-24 hours in darkness under normal laboratory conditions (20-25°C, 40-60% RH). Layer thickness was measured using a white-light surface profiler (Micro XAM S/N 8038).

3.2 Experimental set-up

A two-beam holographic optical setup (see Figure 1) with an angle of 30º between the beams was used to record unslanted transmission gratings using a 532nm Nd:YVO₄ laser. Gratings were recorded in the layers with exposure energies of 20-100 ml/cm² at a spatial frequency of 1000 ± 10 lines/mm. The absorption of the photopolymer at 633nm is negligible, so a 633nm He-Ne laser was used as the probe beam at the Bragg angle. An optical power meter (Newport 1830-C) was used to record the intensity of the diffracted beam and LabVIEW software was used to plot the data in real-time. In order to measure the diffracted intensity dependence on the incident angle of the probe beam, the grating was placed on a rotational stage (Newport, ESP 300).

Table 1. Composition of DA photopolymer solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photopolymer Compositions</th>
<th>DA0</th>
<th>DAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVA 10% wt/vol (ml)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA (ml)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetone Acrylamide (g)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisacrylamide (g)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrosin B 0.11% wt/vol (ml)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (ml)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Experimental setup: S: shutter, HWP: half wave plate, BS: polarizing beam splitter, SF: spatial filter, C: collimator, VA: variable aperture, M: mirror.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

4.1 Dependence of refractive index modulation on recording intensity

The intensity dependence of the modified photopolymer at 1000 l/mm was investigated. Transmission gratings were recorded in photopolymer layers using a recording intensity which was varied from 1-5 mW/cm², with constant exposure energy of 100 mJ/cm². As can be seen from figure 2, while DA0 (composition containing no glycerol) has an obvious optimum intensity at 2 mW/cm², the intensity dependence for DAG (composition containing glycerol) is approximately uniform for the range of intensities tested. This is probably due to glycerol’s nature as a plasticizer, which allows the un-reacted monomer to diffuse more quickly into the illuminated regions within the layer. For the composition DA0 which contains no glycerol, there is more obvious intensity dependence as the monomer moves slower. The decrease in refractive index modulation for the DAG composition containing glycerol can be explained by the lower percent weight of monomer in the modified composition. Refractive index modulation matching that of the DA composition without glycerol has been obtained by increasing the percent weight of overall monomer from 17.31 % to 23.25 %. However this results in a reduction in the optical quality of the photopolymer layers, and therefore repeatability of results is an issue. The composition will need to be further optimized to improve the optical quality for higher monomer concentrations.

4.2 Dependence of refractive index modulation on exposure energy

As is shown in figure 3, DAG reaches an optimum refractive index modulation at 0.5 mW/cm², for the lower exposure energies (20-60 mJ/cm²). This is not as prominent at the higher exposure energies of 80-100 mJ/cm². This can be explained by considering the key factor that contributes to grating formation; the ratio of the rate of polymerization to the rate of monomer diffusion.

Figure 2. Refractive index modulation vs. recording intensity for the DA0 (no glycerol), DAG (with glycerol) compositions at 1000 l/mm for an exposure energy of 100 mJ/cm².
Figure 3. Refractive index modulation vs. recording intensity for DAG over a range of exposure energies.

At lower recording intensities, the rate of polymerization is slow due to the low number of initiating species. Therefore the increased rate of diffusion due to the addition of glycerol ensures that the maximum refractive index modulation is reached quickly, as the un-reacted monomer molecules can easily diffuse into the illuminated areas and be polymerized. This explains the trend seen in figure 3. There is a more obvious optimum recording intensity of 0.5 mW/cm$^2$ at the lower exposure energies (20-60 mJ/cm$^2$), as the exposure times needed to reach the maximum refractive index modulation are shorter. This is possibly due to the increased rate of diffusion relative to the rate of polymerization, caused by glycerol. Therefore the refractive index modulation is higher at the lower exposure energies of 20-60 mJ/cm$^2$ for a recording intensity of 0.5 mW/cm$^2$. As the intensity is increased beyond 0.5 mW/cm$^2$, the rate of polymerization overtakes the rate of diffusion, and so the maximum refractive index modulation achieved decreases and levels out. Higher recording intensities of 10 and 20 mW/cm$^2$ were then investigated, and the results of this are shown in figure 4. The value for refractive index modulation decreases by a maximum of 8.93% as the recording intensity is increased from 2-20 mW/cm$^2$ over the range of exposure energies tested. The uniform intensity response of the DA material is a desirable feature for holographic applications. The use of high recording intensities allows for much faster recording, which is necessary for hologram production on a large scale.

Figure 4. Refractive index modulation vs. exposure energy for DAG samples tested at low (2 mW/cm$^2$) and high (10 and 20 mW/cm$^2$) intensities.
4.3 Dependence of refractive index modulation on spatial frequency

The maximum refractive index modulation for different recording intensities was investigated for the DAG photopolymer at 3000 l/mm. The result of this is shown in figure 5. At the higher spatial frequency of 3000 l/mm, the maximum refractive index modulation achievable for DAG falls off, as is also the case for DA0. DAG reaches a maximum RIM of $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$, which is lower than that achieved with the DA0 composition. However DAG retains its linear intensity dependence over the range of recording intensities tested.

![Figure 5. Refractive index modulation vs. recording intensity for the DA0 (no glycerol) and DAG (with glycerol) compositions at 3000 l/mm for an exposure energy of 100 mJ/cm$^2$.](image)

5. CONCLUSION:

The effect of the addition of glycerol to a new non-toxic holographic photopolymer material has been studied. Addition of glycerol to the photopolymer composition causes the maximum refractive index modulation at low recording intensities to be reached quickly. This implies that the diffusion rates are increased. Glycerol has also shown to improve the stability and optical quality of the photopolymer layers. Further optimization of the percent weight of monomer will be carried out, in order to optimize the maximum achievable refractive index modulation of the modified composition. Characterization of the new material’s holographic recording capabilities in the reflection mode is currently being carried out.
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