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In the confocal mode, Raman microspectroscopy can profile the biochemical content of biological cells at a subcellular level, and any changes to it by exogenous agents, such as therapeutic drugs or toxicants. As an exploration of the potential of the technique as a high content, label free analysis technique, this report reviews work to monitor the spectroscopic signatures associated with the uptake and response pathways of commercial chemotherapeutic agents and polymeric nanoparticles by human lung cells. It is demonstrated that the signatures are reproducible and characteristic of the cellular event, and can be used, for example, to identify the mode of action of the agent as well as the subsequent cell death pathway, and even mechanisms of cellular resistance. Data mining approaches are discussed and a spectralomics approach is proposed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The application of Raman spectroscopy to biomolecules and even tissues was first demonstrated as early as the 1960s[1-3] and by the mid-1970s biomedical applications were explored[4]. Whole cell and tissue studies have been carried out on a range of pathologies[5-9] and \textit{in vivo} studies[10-12] have demonstrated the prospective for diagnostic applications. The potential of vibrational spectroscopy in conjunction with multivariate analysis techniques as a diagnostic tool has thus been well demonstrated and the concept of Spectral Cytopathology has been coined[13]. However, since the original claims in the 1990s, clinical translation has been slow, potentially due to demands of large scale clinically relevant studies and the rigours of legislative approval, prompting an appraisal of more realistic achievable targets for the field[14]. Importantly, the intrinsic strength of spectroscopy is in materials and process analysis rather than classification, and \textit{in vitro} studies of cells allow a detailed analysis of the fundamental cell biology, or biochemical changes, for example as a result of an external agonist, toxicant or chemotherapeutic agent[15-17]. The technique is label free, and, in the case of Raman microspectroscopy, subcellular analysis can be performed in the confocal microscopic mode, providing high content spectroscopic signatures of biochemical profiles, promising potentially more realisable applications in fundamental cellular cytological) research, medicinal chemistry and pharmacological/toxicalogical screening. Regulatory requirements in both the EU and US (EU Directive-2010/63/EU and US Public Law 106-545, 2010, 106th Congress) have increasingly restricted the use of animal models for development of pharmaceuticals and cosmetics, and Raman microspectroscopy offers a potentially low cost, label free alternative to \textit{in vitro} High Content Analysis for routine screening.[18, 19]

This paper reviews recent work carried out at the Dublin Institute of Technology, to advance Raman microspectroscopy for cellular and subcellular analysis, probing the limitations of the technique in terms of reproducibility and specificity of spectral signatures of subcellular events and pathways. Specifically, it explores the areas of (A) Cellular differentiation (B) Drug screening and (C) Nanotoxicological screening. As models, the responses elicited by established and commercially available chemotherapeutic agents and nanoparticle standards in commercially available \textit{in vitro} cell lines are chosen. The often
subtle spectroscopic changes associated with the cellular perturbations are elucidated using a range of multivariate data mining techniques, and the challenge of identifying consistent reproducible label free spectral markers is addressed.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The details of experimental methods and protocols are described in the original publications[20-23], but are summarised here.

A. Materials

A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cells with the alveolar type II phenotype were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and Calu-1 human lung epithelial carcinoma cells were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures.

Doxorubicin hydrochloride® powder (Sigma Life Sciences, Ireland) was diluted in 1mL sterile water to the required concentration, identified by cytotoxicological assays.

40 nm non-toxic carboxyl-modified polystyrene nanoparticles (PSNPs) were used to determine nanoparticle localisation and trafficking, whereas 100 nm toxic amine-modified polystyrene nanoparticles (PS-NH2) were used to determine spectral markers of the toxicity. Generation 5 PAMAM dendrimers were used as a secondary toxic nanoparticle model for comparison of spectral markers of toxicity. All nanomaterials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Ireland).

B. Cell Culture

A549 cells were cultured in DMEM (with 2 mM L-glutamine) with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and Calu-1 cells in RPMI with 10% FBS, both at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Cells (~ 3 x 10^4/cm²) were seeded and incubated on (20mm diameter) CaF₂ windows (Crystan Ltd, UK) for 24 hrs for both control and exposure to chemotherapeutic drug or nanoparticles. Medium was then removed and samples were rinsed twice with sterile PBS and covered with solutions of the appropriate drug solution or nanoparticle suspension concentration. After each incubation period cells were washed twice with sterile PBS and fixed in formalin (10 %, 15 min).

A Horiba Jobin-Yvon LabRAM HR800 spectrometer with a 785 nm, 300 mW diode laser as source, Peltier cooled 16-bit CCD, 300 lines/mm grating and 100 µm confocal hole, was used for this work. Cells were measured either air dried or in water immersion, and spectra were acquired from the three cell locations: cytoplasm, nuclear and nucleolar in the range from 400 cm⁻¹ to 1800 cm⁻¹ with an x100 objective (LCPlanN, Olympus (dry), or UPlanFl1, Olympus (immersion)) for 30 s two times, to finally produce a data set of 30 points per cell location for each cell line, over a total of 90 different cells.

D. Data Processing and Analysis

Raman spectral pre-processing and analysis were performed in Matlab using algorithms developed in house. Prior to analysis, background was subtracted using a NCLS (non-negatively constrained least squares) algorithm, spectra were smoothed (Savitsky-Golay filter 3th order, 11 points), baseline corrected (fifth order polynomial) and vector normalised.

Principal components analysis (PCA) was employed as an unsupervised multivariate approach to analyse data and the effects of the drug or nanoparticles in each cell localisation. The order of the PCs denotes their importance to the dataset and PC1 describes the highest amount of variation.

Partial least squares regression (PLSR), a statistical regression technique which reduces the dimensionality of the data and correlates information, here represented by the Raman spectra, to values of the drug concentration inside the cells, and the time evolution, was employed to track the temporal and dose dependent evolution of the spectral signatures in the subcellular regions. The percentage of variance explained as a function of the number of components was calculated using 10-fold cross validation and from a plot of the percent of variance explained as a function of number of components, the majority percentage of variance (above 90%) was found to be explained by the first 3 components.

The regression coefficients obtained by PLSR of Raman data regressed separately against drug cellular concentration and time evolutions were plotted and analysed. As a function of frequency, the coefficients illustrate the spectral features which are influenced by the intracellular interaction of the drug and the resulting metabolic changes.

3. Results

A. Cellular differentiation

Raman spectra were taken from the three cellular regions for all cell lines and Figure 1 shows the average spectra corresponding to each cell region, for the example of A549 cells [20]. Visibly, it can be seen that the mean spectra of the nuclear, nuclear and cytoplasmic regions are somewhat different, and discriminating peaks (indicated by highlighted regions in Figure 1) at, for example, 1578, 1095, 830 and 795 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to nucleic acids, corresponding respectively to vibrations of the DNA bases adenine and guanine, DNA PO₄ symmetric stretching, ribose phosphate and DNA backbone O-P-O stretching [24, 25] while others at 1300 (CH deformation) and 717 cm⁻¹ (CN(CH₃) stretching) are associated with lipid components of the cell membrane, which are also observable in the spectra of the nuclear and nucleolar regions, although more prominently in the spectra of the cytoplasmic region. The DNA bands at 1095 cm⁻¹ and 830 cm⁻¹ indicate that the DNA is in the B form, while the peak at 813 cm⁻¹ corresponds to DNA A form [26].

![Fig. 1. Mean spectra of A. Nucleolus, B. Nucleus and C. Cytoplasm of A549 cell line. Highlighted regions correspond to DNA/RNA and lipids features.][20]

For a more detailed understanding of the differences in spectral profiles of the subcellular regions, a multivariate analysis is more informative, and therefore, PCA was employed to analyse and compare the spectral profiles of the subcellular
regions as well as the differences between cancer and normal cell lines. Figure 2 shows the PCA scatter plot of the cytoplasmic, nuclear and nucleolar spectra of the Calu-1 cell line, along with the corresponding loadings of PC1 and PC2. PC1 clearly differentiates between the cytoplasmic and integrated nuclear regions (nuclear and nucleolar) and, according to the corresponding loading (loading 1), the most visible discriminant features derive from DNA, 795 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1095 cm\(^{-1}\), related to B-DNA O-P-O backbone stretching and PO\(^2-\) symmetric stretching and lipids at 717 (CN+(CH\(_3\))\(_2\) stretching), 1300 (CH\(_2\) stretching) and 1440 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH stretching). The differentiation of the subcellular regions according to PC1 is not unexpected, due to the significant biochemical differences between the combined nuclear and cytoplasmic regions which are similar for all cell lines. The nuclear and nucleolar regions can be differentiated by a further, pairwise PCA of the two subcellular regions.[20]

Notably, a similar differentiation between the subcellular regions of A549 cells can be performed, and the differentiating PC loadings are almost identical to those of Calu-1 (Figure 2C). In fact, although they are relatively well distinguished from normal cell lines, differentiation between cancer cell lines is only achievable by comparison of the nucleolar regions.[22] Critically, the similarities of the differentiating subcellular spectral profiles for different cell lines supports the consistency and robustness of the technique for subcellular analysis.

Fig. 2. PCA of nucleus, nucleus and cytoplasm in immersion conditions A. Calu-1 cell line : Cytoplasm - Nucleus - Nucleus - Nucleus - Calu-1 differentiating PC loadings C. A549 differentiating PC loadings [20]

**B. Drug screening**

Raman microspectroscopy has attracted considerable attention over the last few years in the pharmaceutical field to aid in areas such as the drug discovery process, quality control during industrial manufacturing and detection of counterfeit products.[27-29] as well as its possible clinical applications.[30, 31] Its potential as a screening technique either for normal and cancer cell discrimination or in chemotherapeutic and cellular process screening has been demonstrated.[32]

Doxorubicin (DOX), a non cell cycle specific chemotherapeutic agent, is one of the two first anthracycline antibiotics isolated from Streptomyces peucetius[33] and among the most widely used chemotherapeutic agents, despite its numerous side effects,[34, 35] and is highly effective in treating different types of cancers, from leukaemia, thyroid, and lung to many other neoplasia, especially metastatic and invasive ones.[36-38] The accepted mechanisms of action of DOX are complex and not fully understood. They include interactions within the cell nucleus, consisting of DNA intercalation, crosslinking, binding and alkylation, inhibition of topoisomerase I and II and RNA polymerase, all inducing DNA damage, inhibition of DNA replication and protein synthesis (rRNA transcription inhibition), as a consequence, leading to nuclear disruption and cellular apoptosis.[39] DOX also interacts with the cell membrane and mitochondria, resulting in generation of reactive oxygen species leading to direct membrane damage and oxidative stress, responsible for the major DOX side effect, cardiomyopathy.[35, 40-42] DNA remains the main target of cancer therapeutics, whereby induction of DNA damage initiates a cascade of events that determines cellular apoptosis. DNA damage level and repair, by expression of anti-apoptotic proteins such as bcl-2 and resistance to apoptosis, are the main processes involved in carcinogenesis and in the response of cancer cells to cancer chemotherapy.[43]

Fig. 3. A. Structure of Doxorubicin B. Raman spectrum of in aqueous solution indicating strong DOX marker bands.[21]

To demonstrate the potential of Raman microspectroscopy to monitor the uptake of chemotherapeutic agents, to track the drug pathway and monitor cellular responses, Raman profiles from the three subcellular compartments, nucleus, nucleolus and cytoplasm, were analysed as a function of time after exposure of cell cultures to DOX solutions. The anthracycline structure of DOX (Figure 3A), consisting of a conjugated anthraquinone ring structure banded to an aminoglycoside[44],
renders it fluorescent and gives it a strong Raman scattering efficiency even off resonantly, (Figure 3B).

The characteristic spectral profile of DOX can be easily identified superimposed on that of the exposed cell lines, and Figure 4A shows the subcellular uptake of DOX in the A549 and Calu-1 cell lines, as represented by the characteristic band at 465 cm\(^{-1}\) [21] There is a progressive increase of DOX intracellular concentration until a plateau is reached after 6-12 hrs exposure and DOX is seen to accumulate in the nucleolus first, then in the nucleus and after 24-48 hrs appears in the cytoplasm. The behaviour is consistent with saturation of nuclear binding sites after a certain time and any additional drug taken up by cells accumulates in the cytoplasm.[45, 46] Interestingly, the accumulation rates are substantially different for the two cell lines investigated. Simultaneously, the characteristic cellular features of the subcellular regions can be tracked, as shown in Figure 4B, for the example of the nucleolus.

**A.**

![Image 1](Image 50x306 to 329x411)

![Image 2](Image 317x571 to 562x711)

**B.**

![Image 3](Image 50x306 to 329x411)

![Image 4](Image 317x571 to 562x711)

**Fig. 4. A.** Evolution of DOX, represented by the Raman band at 465 cm\(^{-1}\), as a function of time for the A549 and Calu-1 cell line for each cellular compartment, nucleolus, nucleus and cytoplasm. **B.** Evolution of selected DNA and RNA features as function of time. Intensities are expressed as percentage according to the maximum value over the three cellular compartments for each cell line and standard deviation corresponds to the spectral variations of the Raman band over the 30 measurements per location. [49, 50]

Multivariate PLSR can be employed to profile the spectrum of the initial binding interactions of the drug in the subcellular regions, and the subsequent cellular responses of the cells, in the plateau regions. There is a striking similarity between the responses of the different cell-lines to the same drug, and indeed of the cell lines to drugs of similar mode of action, as demonstrated for Actinomycin D (Act), a commonly employed anthracyclic chemotherapeutic agent which is similar in molecular structure and mode of action to DOX.[47] Comparing the spectroscopic signatures with the commonly clinically employed chemotherapeutic agents Cisplatin (Cisp) and Vincristine (Vinc), there is a remarkable consistency of spectroscopic signatures of nucleic acids in the nuclear regions, as shown in Figure 5.(48)

![Fig. 5](Image 50x306 to 329x411)

**Fig. 5.** Regression coefficients obtained by PLSR analysis for the A549 cell line as a function of drug dose for chemotherapeutic drugs DOX, Cisp (nucleus) and Vinc (nucleus). [50]

The study of the intracellular localization of DOX demonstrates that the primary subcellular target is in fact the nucleolus, in which it interacts strongly with the RNA. Using confocal microscopy, upon DOX exposure, the nucleoli of the cell appear dark, however, indicating that the characteristic fluorescence of DOX is quenched in this dense subcellular region. Therefore, Raman microspectroscopy sheds light on the cellular interactions and medicinal chemistry, in situ, which were not previously known. In the case of the structurally similar ACT, the cytotoxicologically relevant dose is so low that neither the fluorescence nor the characteristic Raman signature can be traced at a cellular level. Nevertheless, the initial binding interactions of the drug within the nucleolus and nucleus of the cell can be identified, associating them with the similar mode of action of intercalation as DOX. The timescales of the responses are significantly slower, however, in both cell lines, which can be understood in terms of the different intracellular trafficking processes associated with the bulky polypeptide sidechains of ACT, compared to DOX. Raman microspectroscopy also demonstrates that, although Cisp and Vinc are reported to have different modes of action, as alkylating agents and microtubule binders, respectively, their Raman signatures evolve from low to high doses. At doses above their median inhibitory concentrations, the signatures also exhibit characteristics of intercalation, as shown DOX and ACT, indicating that they can have multiple modes of action.[48]

Monitoring the time evolution of drug distribution within the cell, the spectroscopic signatures of the chemical interaction in the subcellular regions can be identified, and associated with the characteristic modes of action of the drug. The signatures are consistent for drugs of similar modes of action, and thus can be exploited as a rapid in vitro tool for pre-screening of candidate drugs and a guide to design strategies for chemotherapeutics. The subsequent evolution of the spectroscopic signatures can then be associated with the cellular response pathways. Clear differences can be seen in the response pathways of the A549 and Calu-1 cell-lines,[47] as evidenced in the univariate analysis of Figure 4B. PLSR analysis, and correlation with assays to probe the generation of anti-apoptotic proteins and DNA repair, as mechanisms of cellular defense, indicate that the differences in the Raman signatures at the longer time points may be associated with differing degrees of cellular resistance.[47]
C. Nanotoxicological screening

Most of the strategies that have been proposed for the investigation of nanomaterials include the combinational use of toxicity assays, bio-imaging techniques, chemical and biochemical assays, to obtain information regarding to metabolic activity, membrane integrities, cellular viability and cell death mechanisms upon exposure. Cytotoxicity assays have been widely employed to determine cellular viability and also cell death rate in vitro. Electron microscopy (EM) and optical microscopy have been used for the visualisation of nanomaterials in cells. Confocal Microscopy (CLSM) has also been used for the visualisation of nanomaterials in cells with the aid of fluorescent labels.[51-54] High Content Analysis/Screening (HCA/HCS), has opened up new perspectives for toxicological assessment by providing multifaceted information with high sensitivity based on automated imaging of ‘phenotypic assay endpoints’. The technique has been used for in vitro toxicity assessment, animal disease models, drug discovery, neurobiology,[55, 56] cell signalling[57-59] and observation of biochemical changes.[58, 60, 61] However, although conventional techniques have provided invaluable information regarding the interaction of nanomaterials with living systems, they remain limited in various aspects, such as the requirement of multiple labels and assays, interference of nanomaterials with the assay dyes,[62-64] cost and also time required for the investigation. Raman microspectroscopy offers the potential to holistically screen uptake mechanisms of, and cellular responses to, nanoparticles, in vitro.

The correlation between Raman spectral markers of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and commonly used cytotoxicity assays has been studied by Knief et al.[17]. The studies of Dorney et al.[65] and Keating et al.[66] have shown the localisation of nanoparticles in cells and the use of multivariate analysis techniques to obtain more detailed information regarding to subcellular environment. As an extension of these studies, Efeglu et al. demonstrated that the spectroscopic signatures of the nanoparticle environment in the cytoplasm can be tracked, as they are processed through endosomes (4hrs), to lysosomes (12hrs), to the endoplasmic reticulum (24hrs), for the case of 40 nm non-toxic carboxyl-modified PSNPs, as shown in Figure 6.[22] Expressed in terms of the loadings of the principal component which differentiates the nanoparticle local environment from control, the signatures demonstrate clear differences between the biochemical compositions, largely in terms of lipidic and protein content.

For the case of 100 nm toxic PS-NH₃, the biochemical composition of the cytoplasm as a whole is perturbed by the cellular responses to exposure, and can be monitored by Raman microspectroscopy. PS-NH₃ are known to elicit acute toxicity as a result of oxidative stress in the endosomal and lysosomal pathway.[22, 23, 65]. Figure 7 shows the loadings of PCA obtained from pairwise comparison of particle exposed cells (24 hrs) and corresponding controls. Positive and negative features of the loadings relate to exposed and unexposed cells, respectively and the figure summarises spectral markers of acute toxic response in the cytoplasm, identified by Raman microspectroscopy. The predominant spectral marker of the toxic response in the cytoplasm is observed to be the 'doublet band' at 785 and 810 cm⁻¹, which indicates a change in the cytoplasmic RNA as a result of ROS formation and the doublet band is observed in particle exposed cells even at low doses (EC₂₅) and short exposure times (after 4 hrs).[23, 67, 68] The intensity of the band systematically and progressively changed as a function of dose and exposure time [23]. The doublet band was also observed in the biochemical features of Calu-1 cells following PS-NH₃ exposure and progressively changed with extended exposure times [67]. When a second toxic nanoparticle model, PAMAM dendrimers, was introduced to the A549 cells, a similar trend to that for PS-NH₃ is observed for the double peak at 785 and 810 cm⁻¹, which, together with the results obtained from different cell lines and nanoparticle models, validates the changes in cytoplasmic RNA as a spectral marker of toxicity.[68].

![Fig. 6. Comparison of PCA loadings of 4, 12 and 24 hrs nanoparticle exposure data sets. Loadings are offset for clarity. The dotted line represents the zero '0' point for each loading and an intensity scale of 0±0.1 is used for comparison. [22].](image-url)
determination of nanomaterial toxicity by identifying cytoplasmic RNA as a spectral marker, which is not normally identified by conventional toxicity assays. Moreover, the doublet band can be seen as the first strong spectroscopic response of oxidative stress. Although, it does not measure the ROS directly, it provides information about the response, which is a ROS related change in cytoplasmic RNA. The onset of ROS formation upon nanoparticle exposure has been observed to be within 2-6 hrs ([69, 70], and this initial stage of ROS dies away due to the action of intracellular antioxidants with a similar timescale [69]. In contrast, the doublet band is observed only after 8 hrs exposure to PS-NH₂ and increases further with exposure time.

Adopting the terminology of the Adverse Outcome Pathway methodology [71], upon exposure to a toxicant, the response begins with a molecular initiating event (MIE) which induces a cascade of consequent events. In the current context, the MIE is identified as the initial oxidative stress caused by the ingestion of the nanoparticles in exosomes and ultimately results in a change in cytoplasmic RNA, manifest as changes in the doublet peak of 785 cm⁻¹ and 810 cm⁻¹. The study of Maher et al. [72] showed that the MIE shows particle dependency and induces cellular dependant events which can include, for example, changes in mitochondrial function, activation of caspases, and release of inflammatory factors. However, conventional and commonly used cytotoxicity assays use specific markers which can be defined as 'endpoints' and identify the toxicity as a function of half-maximal effective dose 'EC₅₀'. Therefore, these assays remain limited to provide information regarding to multiple events that occur concomitantly or consecutively inside the cell. Raman microspectroscopy shows signatures of this cascade as presence of spectral markers of initiating toxic event at low doses and short exposure times in the low wavenumber region (cytoplasmic RNA, indirect ROS), followed by concomitant changes (Protein and lipid damage as a result of cellular toxic events) in the higher wavenumber region of the fingerprint.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

Technological advances have rendered Raman confocal microspectroscopy an increasingly routine benchtop laboratory technique for materials and process analysis. As an optical technique, it can provide submicron spatial resolution and can image biological cells and functions at a subcellular level, providing a wealth of information about the biochemical makeup, and changes to it due to exogeneous agents. The studies described herein were designed to explore the reproducibility of the characteristic spectroscopic signatures associated with cellular uptake of such agents and the subsequent response pathways.

The results clearly demonstrate that the subcellular regions of the cell can be reproducibly differentiated based on their intrinsic biochemistry, and that the characteristic spectroscopic profiles are consistent across different cell lines. The subcellular localisation of chemotherapeutic agents or nanoparticles can be determined, and characteristic signatures of their initial
chemical interactions can be established. The signatures are characteristic of the mode of action of the chemotherapeutic agent or nanoparticle, and, even in cases where the signatures of the agents themselves cannot be identified (at low doses or for weak Raman scatterers), these characteristic signatures can act as evidence of the action of the agent. These initial spectroscopic signatures can be differentiated from those of the subsequent cellular response pathways, which can specifically indicate the mode of cell death or cellular defence mechanisms.

The studies of the model chemotherapeutic agent DOX demonstrate a clear added value of the technique, as they extend the current understanding of the mode of action by revealing the importance of the accumulation in the cell nucleoli, interacting with the RNA and initiating a cascade of cellular responses. In fluorescence based confocal microscopy, the nucleoli of DOX exposed cells appear dark, compared to the strongly fluorescent, DOX laden surrounding nucleus, and so it is understandable that such nucleolar based mechanisms have been overlooked, to date. Raman microspectroscopy reveals, however, that the nucleolar regions are equally laden with the drug, although it appears that the fluorescence is quenched due to the binding interactions in the dense region of the cell.

The label free nature of the technique can thus be of great benefit for fundamental biochemical and cellular biological research. It enables, for example, the in situ monitoring of candidate drugs, of benefit to medicinal chemistry, and the ability to monitor the processes in live cells can provide a wealth of high content information for modelling approaches to pharmacokinetics and (nano)toxicology at a cellular level. The recent emergence of nonlinear Raman microspectroscopic techniques adds significantly to the potential in the field, as video rate, full spectrum analyses of areas of hundreds of microns have already been reported. Applications in toxicological screening to guide regulatory processes, in vitro pre-clinical candidate drug screening to guide synthetic strategies, and even patient screening for drug sensitivity/resistance as the basis for companion diagnostics, can readily be envisaged.

It should be noted, however, that a critical remaining challenge is that of data-mining the spectral information which is gathered. Label free, “what you see is what you get”, and the characteristic spectral signature of a response pathway contains information of all biomolecules within the sampling focus, whether they are involved or not. Specific signatures may dominate, however, and interestingly, the 785-810 cm⁻¹, nucleic acid doublet is prominent in both the studies highlighted here, albeit respectively in the nuclear regions for the action of drugs, and in the cytoplasmic region for nanoparticle toxicity, most likely due to conformational changes in the macromolecules. Differential signatures compared to control (e.g. PCA loading) provide the best visualisation of the characteristic changes due to an exogenous agent. Regression analyses are appropriate for monitoring systematic evolutions, and although PLSR has largely been used in this work, more sophisticated techniques such as Multiple Component Regression, coupled with Alternating Least Squares approaches [74] may be more appropriate for response cascades. In all representations, the responses are spectrally multivariate, and can have contributions due to conformational changes, increases or decreases in local concentrations of a multitude of different biochemical constituents, including lipids, proteins, carbohydrates and nucleic acids. It is not possible, at present at least, to quantitatively analyse all these changes with molecular specificity. Nevertheless, this study indicates that the spectral signature of combined responses, the "spectralome", is reproducible, consistent and translatable from different cell types and exogenous agents of similar modes of action.
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