



2005-01-01

Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry for Nondestructive Testing of Thermal Sprayed Alloy Coatings

Qiang Xue

Dublin Institute of Technology, Qiang.xue@dit.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <http://arrow.dit.ie/engschmecon>

 Part of the [Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Xue, Q.: Electronic speckle pattern shearing interferometry for nondestructive testing of thermal sprayed alloy coatings. Proceedings of SPIE vol.IRE06, surface engineering and nanotechnology.

This Conference Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mechanical and Design Engineering at ARROW@DIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in Conference Papers by an authorized administrator of ARROW@DIT. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@dit.ie, arrow.admin@dit.ie, brian.widdis@dit.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)



Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry for Nondestructive Testing of Thermal Sprayed Alloy Coatings

Yueqiang Xue , David Kennedy , Emilia Mihaylova

Faculty of Engineering,
Dublin Institute of Technology, Bolton Street, Dublin 1, Ireland

ABSTRACT

Thermal sprayed coatings have wide engineering applications. There now exists a wide range of destructive and nondestructive testing (NDT) methods for surface coating inspections. This paper describes an application of Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry (ESPSI) for NDT of thermal sprayed surface coatings. In contrast to other conventional methods such as eddy current, ultrasonic or X-ray, ESPSI allows fast and large survey area inspection. Experimental results of shearographic measurements are presented. Thermal sprayed coatings were tested using ESPSI. Delaminations of the coatings were detected and the fringe patterns were captured using this method. It is shown that the shearography technique can be applied successfully to surface coating quality inspection and it is very effective for delamination detection.

Keywords: Coatings; Nondestructive testing; Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry

1. INTRODUCTION

Thermal spraying is regarded as one of the key enabling surface engineering technologies. Since the thermal spraying technique was invented in the early part of the twentieth century, the use of thermal sprayed coatings has grown enormously and they are extensively used across the whole spectrum of engineering industries. In the thermal spraying processes, the consumable coating materials are fed to the spray gun and the temperature is raised, and then the materials are projected in a particulate form to strike the workpiece forming a coating of desired thickness. Thermal spraying techniques include flame spraying, arc spraying, plasma spraying High Velocity Oxyfuel spraying (HVOF) and detonation flame spraying¹.

The principle of thermal spraying is that the coating materials are deposited on the substrate surface of a component to form a layer with a different material composition. Thus the combination of the coating and the substrate might be considered as a composite structure. Composite structure normally has a high possibility of having flaws due to the rules of formation and processing methods. It is important to have an access to NDT techniques to evaluate the structural integrity of the reinforced layer and the component substrate. Consequently, there is a need of inspection for surface coating to assess its deposition quality, to ensure its performance during the service life. Optical methods such as holography, Electronic Speckle Pattern Interferometry (ESPI), Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry (ESPSI), moiré techniques and thermography are emerging as strong candidates for industrial inspections. ESPSI also called shearography, has been developed during the last two decades and it was proved to be a very useful and powerful tool in non-destructive evaluation of structures and materials². Shearography has been accepted by the rubber industry for non-destructive evaluation of tyres³. Also, it has increasing applications in automotive and aerospace industries in the field of NDT of composite materials⁴. Compared with ESPI, shearography has great advantages of revealing defects in materials by finding strain anomalies which are induced by delaminations. Also unlike ESPI, shearography depicts defects differently, as shown in Figure 1. This is because ESPI can only directly measure displacement while ESPSI enables direct measurements of displacement derivatives to be made.




Figure 1. Schematic representation of ESPI and Shearography measurements of a defect. there is a problem with this diagram . in the first (ESPI) one the displacement as it changes across X is represented above the X axis , in the right hand picture it's on the axis itself. The right hand picture should be altered to be like the left. Also it might be good to have 'displacement' and 'derivative of displacement' on the Y axes.

2. THEORY

In ESPSI one laser beam is used to illuminate the surface of the object under test and an image-shearing device is employed to form two overlapped speckle images of the object on the image plane of a CCD video camera⁵. These two speckled images interfere with each other to generate a speckle pattern. When the object has been deformed or displaced, the resultant speckle pattern changes. The second resultant speckle pattern is transferred to the computer and subtracted from the stored pattern and the result rectified. The resulting interferogram is displayed on the monitor as a pattern of dark and bright fringes. In real time it is possible to grab frames continuously while a deformation is occurring and then subtract them in succession from the first speckle pattern. This process makes it possible to observe the real-time formation and the progressive changes of the

Deleted: tested

Deleted: tested

fringe pattern related to the deformation of the surface. Electronic Speckle Pattern Shearing Interferometry enables direct measurements of displacement derivatives to be made with variable sensitivity.

When two light waves interfere, the following equation⁶ relates their relative phase ϕ at a location to their relative geometrical path length L .

$$\phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} nL - \beta \quad (1)$$

Where λ is the wavelength of the laser light, n is the refractive index of the media through which the laser light is transmitted, and β is a constant phase. The change in the relative phase $\Delta = \delta\phi$ or phase change, which manifests as visible fringes, can be effected by an incremental change in any one of the three parameters λ , n , and L . Thus,

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\lambda} \delta\lambda + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} \delta n + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial L} \delta L = -\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda^2} \delta\lambda + \frac{2\pi L}{\lambda} \delta n + \frac{2\pi n}{\lambda} \delta L \quad (2)$$

Where $\delta\lambda$, δn and δL respectively denote to the incremental change in wavelength, in refractive index, and in relative geometrical path length of the interfering waves.

If the same wavelength is used and the test environment is still air ($n = 1$), only the δL term in Eq. (2) is nonzero, resulting in the following equation for phase change:

$$\Delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (A\delta u + B\delta v + C\delta w) \quad (3)$$

Where u , v , and w are the displacement components of the neighboring point Q ($x + \Delta x$, y , $z + \Delta z$) relative to point P (x , y , z) on the test surface; and A , B , and C are sensitivity factors that are related to the optical arrangement.

For small image shearing δx , the displacement terms in Eq. (3) can be expressed in terms of partial derivatives:

$$\Delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}) \delta x \quad (4)$$

In the experiment (Figure 4), it is assumed that the illuminating beam is perfectly collimated, and it only lies in the $x - z$ plane. Therefore, there is no sensitivity to the displacement along y axis. In this case, Eq. (4) can be simplified as:

$$\Delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}) \delta x \quad (5)$$

3. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

3.1 Thermal spraying coating process

Two thermal sprayed coating samples were prepared in Dublin Institute of Technology by using the Castolin's Superjet Eutalloy spray gun. The steps in the Superjet Eutalloy process are surface preparation, pre-heating, spraying and fusing process. The process of thermal sprayed coating deposition is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Thermal spraying coating process: (a) Pre-heating; (b) Spraying; (c) Fusing
The finish and integrity of the substrate surface are crucial for the application of thermal sprayed coatings. In this experiment, samples were carefully prepared and the cleaning of the substrate surfaces was carried out by sand blasting, which is a process of removing the rust, grease, oxides and impurities from the surface using a jet of sand. Preheating was carried out by igniting the gun and heating the substrate with the gun flame up to 150°C approximately. This is to take the chill out of the base metal and prevent the hot powder from contracting and lifting off.

Parameters	Values
Distance of spraying (mm)	25 ~ 30
Distance of fusing (mm)	6 ~ 20
Temperature of spraying (°C)	2000
Temperature of fusing (°C)	3000
Oxygen Pressure (bar)	2
Acetylene Pressure (bar)	0.5

Table 1. Spray parameters recommended by Castolin Eutectic for Superjet Eutalloy Process



Figure 3. Picture of a coated sample

In the Superjet spraying process, oxygen flows through the injector which then draws the powder into the system by aspiration. At the same time, the acetylene gas is carried separately until it reaches the mixer assembly. At this point both gases are mixed and the powders are carried through the system until they reach the spray tip and enter into the flame. After the spraying, a wet-out process which is called fusing was carried out in succession. The fusing process was to promote the bonding between the coating and the base metal, and also to eliminate the oxide formed during spraying. Table 1 outlines the standard spraying parameters for the Superjet Eutalloy process which is recommended by Castolin Eutectic Group⁷. Figure 3 shows the sample coated with NiBSi based alloy powder. Table 2 introduces the alloy powders which were used for the coating process. 10112 and 10185 are the company product numbers.

Powders	Si + B	Cr	Ni	Comments
10112 (TungTec)	5	5	Balance	60% WC particle weight, excellent resistance to erosion and abrasion
10185 (BronzoChrom)	6	0.5	Balance	Highly resistant to corrosion and impact stress

Table 2. Descriptions of the coating powder materials used in the experiment

3.2 Optical setup The optical setup used for the ESPSI testing is shown in Figure 4. A He-Ne laser with wavelength of 633 nm and maximum power of 20 mW is used as the light source in the experiment. The test object is illuminated by the laser beam at an angle to the normal of the object surface. The two images of the tested object are formed by

Deleted: ¶

Glass plates with partly reflective coatings (shearing element)




Figure 4. Schematic arrangement of the ESPSI

reflection from the shearing device and they are imaged by a CCD camera. The shearing device used in this system consists of two glass plates (Figure 4) with reflection coefficients of the coatings 0.3 and 0.7 respectively⁸. One of the mirrors is slightly tilted to produce a lateral shear. The resultant speckle patterns are produced by digital subtraction of the speckled images of the object before and after deformation.

In order to detect the presence of the surface coating defects, a localised displacement field was induced. Various stressing methods can be used depending on the type of material and the defects being investigated, as described by Hung³. In this experiment, two stressing methods were employed – three-point bending and thermal stressing. A three-point bending test rig for deformation of metal samples in the sub-micrometer range was designed and constructed, as shown in Figure 5. This test rig satisfied the requirement of controlling the bending deformation on the coated sample surface precisely (up to 20nm) for shearography. The purpose of the arrangement is to compare the changes in shearographic fringe patterns on the sample surface with predetermined displacements⁹. The thermal stressing method was used in the investigation and it was carried out by using a tungsten-halogen lamp. The field of view is 30mm×20mm, as shown in Figure 6. It was assumed here that the lateral shearing was parallel to the y axis only, and there will be no shearing in the x direction.




Figure 5. A scheme of three-point bending test rig






Figure 6. Viewing area on the coated sample

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


Figure 7 shows the shearographic fringe patterns depicting the derivatives of the deflections on sample 10112. These fringe patterns are obtained in real time. Respectively, Figure 7 (a), (b), (c) and (d) represent deflections of 40nm, 80nm, 120nm and 160nm produced by the three-point bending test rig. It is observed that these fringe patterns have a very good consistency, which proves that this three-point bending test rig is able to produce predetermined displacement precisely, has this proved that ? there are no calculations to check, I think you should just say 'repeatable produces the required displacement' and suitable for ESPSI quantitative measurement.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 7. ESPSI fringe patterns of coated sample 10112 during three-point bending under deflection of: (a) 40 nm; (b) 80 nm; (c) 120 nm; (d) 160 nm. The shear is $\Delta x = 8\text{mm}$. The field of view is 30 mm x 20 mm.

On sample 10185, a large delamination on the coating was found, as shown in Figure 8. In this area, a part of the coating was lifted off due to the poor coating adhesion. If we can't include fringe patterns for 10185 under the above mechanical stress we should say why..... Poor surface?? Instability??? We need to give some reason why we don't show them.

Thermal stressing:


Insert results for 10112 here

Figure 9 shows the ESPSI results for this sample 10185 which obtained at the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th second of the recording. In this case, the sample was again thermally loaded by using a tungsten-halogen lamp illuminating near the sample surface for approximately 30 seconds. This time, in addition to the rapidly moving fringes observed above, fringes were consistently observed in the area of the delamination. This is probably due to the very different cooling rate there, and indicates the presence of large disbond at the suspect area in the sample 10185.

Deleted: ¶
On sample 10185, a large size of delamination on the coating was found, as shown in Figure 8. In this area, a part of the coating was lifted off due to the poor coating adhesion.

Deleted: was

Deleted: The results that were obtained have indicated



Delamination area

Figure 8. Delamination area of the sample 10185




Figure 9. ESPSI fringes of coated sample 10185 under thermal stressing by using a tungsten-halogen lamp during cooling: (a) 1st second; (b) 2nd second; (c) 3rd second; (d) 4th second. The shear is $\Delta x = 10$ mm. The field of view is 30 mm x 20 mm. What portion of this corresponds to the photo above?

I would suggest presenting these before the fringes for 10185. Figure 10 presents some examples for the ESPSI results for non-defective sample 10112. The sample was stressed by heating it, with a tungsten-halogen lamp. During experiments we observed irregular dark fringes, moving rapidly all over the area under investigation. They disappear quickly, and there is no repeatability and consistency. They are clearly due only to the random variations in the rate at which the surface cools and contracts. The area under investigation is shown in Figure 11.




Figure 10. ESPSI fringe patterns of coated sample 10112 under thermal stressing by using a tungsten-halogen lamp during cooling: (a) 2nd second; (b) 4th second; (c) 6th second. The shear is $\Delta x = 8$ mm. The field of view is 30 mm x 20 mm.

- Deleted:** Finally
- Deleted:** Again we use
- Deleted:** thermal
- Deleted:** stressing by heating the sample
- Deleted:** can
- Deleted:** which are
- Deleted:** fast
- Deleted:** are
- Deleted:** ng
- Deleted:** .
- Deleted:** T



Figure 11. The area of the sample 10112 under investigation

The results show that ESPSI is suitable for detection of delaminations and disbonds in thermal sprayed alloy coatings. The type and the magnitude of the loading affect significantly the success of defect detection. Thermal stressing is superior to mechanical stressing as a loading method for shearographic NDT of metal coatings. (if this is to be a conclusion, inclusion of a fringe pattern showing no (or little) evidence of a delamination in 10185 when stressed mechanically is essential). ESPSI is suitable for NDT of coatings on thin metal plates. I think we should remove this as there is no real basis for this conclusion. There is no mention of comparison of different thickness and strengths in this paper.

(again, I have suggested removing the following sentence because in this paper we don't establish any limitations as we don't test other defects – you may know this from your own experience, but the results are not here). Shearography would seem to have great potential for NDT and quality inspection of metal coatings, based on its advantages: simple optical set-up, easy to detect defects which create strain concentrations, fast full-field technique, insensitive to small rigid body motions and thus suited for industrial applications.

5. CONCLUSIONS

the paragraph above, once edited, would make a good conclusion paragraph.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank to Dr. Vincent Toal and Dr. Suzanne Martin for the valuable discussions. Emilia Mihaylova would like to thank the Arnold F. Graves Postdoctoral programme at Dublin Institute of Technology.

REFERENCES

1. Frainger, S., Blunt, J., *Engineering Coatings – Design and Application*, 2nd edition, Abington Publishing, England, 1998.
2. Hung, Y. Y., "Shearography: a novel and practical approach for nondestructive testing". *J. Nondestructive Testing*, **8(2)** 55-67, 1989.

Deleted: but difficult to apply for evaluation of metal coatings on thick materials with high strength such as steel for example.

Deleted: It is of great importance to know the limitation of a technique in order to widen its applications. Despite of the above mentioned limitations,

Deleted: has

Deleted: a

Deleted: This paper demonstrated the application of shearography for NDT of thermal sprayed metal alloy coatings. The results revealed that shearography technique is a very reliable tool for detecting delaminations in surface coatings. The feasibility of the shearographic NDT of a specific structure relies primarily on the stressing technique. In this study, the investigations revealed that the thermal stressing of coated sample is very effective for debond detection. Three-point bending test rig has been proved to be a useful device for quantitative measurement (e.g. stress and strain analysis) of thermal sprayed metal coatings. However, in this case, it is not a suitable stressing method that can be used for defect detection in coated metal samples. Shearography is rapidly gaining acceptance by industries, and becoming one of the most popular defect detection methods because of its attractive characteristics: non-contact, real-time, full-field, and relatively insensitive to external vibrations.

3. Hung, Y. Y., "Shearography for non-destructive evaluation of composite structures". *Opt. Lasers Eng.* **24**, **161-182**, 1996.
4. Yang, L. X., Hung, Y. Y., "Digital shearography for nondestructive evaluation and application in automotive and aerospace industries". *Proceedings of 16th World Conference on NDT*, Montreal, 2004.
5. Chen, F., "Digital shearography: state of the art and some applications", *Journal of Electronic Imaging.* **10 (1)**, **240-251**, January 2001.
6. Vest, C. M., *Holographic Interferometry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979.
7. Information Documents and Technical Specifications, Castolin Eutectic Company Ltd.
8. Mihaylova, E. M., Whelan, M. P., Toal, V., "A simple phase-shifting lateral shearing interferometer", *Optics Letters.* **29 (11)**, **1264**, June 2004.
9. Mihaylova, E., Murphy, N., Xue, Y., Kennedy, D., Toal, V., "Test rig design for optical inspection of metal samples by electronic speckle pattern shearing interferometry". *Proceedings of MATRIB'04*, p. 33, Vela Luka, 2004.