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Abstract - In this paper a technical review of the integration of a Unified Power Quality Conditioner (UPQC) in a distributed generation network is presented. Although the primary task of UPQC is to minimize grid voltage and load current disturbances along with reactive and harmonic power compensation, additional functionalities such as compensation of voltage interruption and active power transfer to the load and grid have also been identified. Connection methodologies with their advantages and disadvantages are also described. Recent improvements in capacity expansion techniques and future trends for the application of UPQC in distributed modes are also identified.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand of Distributed Generation (DG) in recent years, to minimize the gap between the supply and load demand, is introducing some voltage and current disturbance and harmonics due to the generator types and the interfacing power electronics converters. Therefore, quality of power supply has become an important issue with the increasing demand of DG systems either connected to the grid through grid-tie inverters or work in isolated (microgrid) mode. The need for monitoring of power quality in low voltage distribution levels and ways to mitigate the problems are also increasing due to better customer service, reasonably priced meters, telecommunication development, network planning, operation and regulation requirements [1].

Implementation of Custom Power Devices (CPD) like UPQC in DG or microgrid systems to improve the power quality is gaining greater importance [2-6]. UPQC is the integration of series and shunt active filters, connected back-to-back on the dc side, sharing a common DC capacitor [3] as shown in Figure 1. The series component of the UPQC is responsible for mitigation of the supply side disturbances: voltage sags/swells, flicker, voltage unbalance and harmonics. It inserts voltages so as to maintain the load voltages at a desired level; balanced and distortion free. The shunt component is responsible for mitigating the current quality problems caused by the consumer: poor power factor, load harmonic currents, load unbalance etc. It injects currents in the ac system such that the source currents become balanced sinusoids and in phase with the source voltages.

This paper deals with the review of research work that has been completed so far on this issue. Emphasis has been given on incorporation techniques of UPQC in DG or microgrid system along with their advantages and disadvantages. More DGs such as Photovoltaic or Wind Energy Systems are now penetrating into the grid or microgrid. Again, numbers of non-linear loads are also increasing. Therefore, current research on capacity enhancement techniques of UPQC to cope up with the expanding DG or microgrid system is also reviewed.

II. INTEGRATION OF UPQC

Recent reports [2-9] show that significant research and development has been carried out on the application of UPQC to DG integrated network. As the UPQC can compensate for almost all existing PQ problems in the transmission and distribution grid, placement of a UPQC in the distributed generation network can be multipurpose. As a part of integration of UPQC in DG systems, research has been done on the following two techniques: DC-Linked and Separated DG-UPQC systems.
A. (DG – UPQC) DC-linked

A structure has been proposed in [2, 4-7], as shown in Figure 2, where DG sources are connected to a DC link in the UPQC as an energy source. This configuration works both in interconnected and islanded mode (shown in Figure 3). In Interconnected mode, DG provides power to the source and loads whereas in islanded mode DG (within its power rating) supplies the power to the load only. In addition, UPQC has the ability to inject power using DG to sensitive loads during source voltage interruption. The advantage of this system is voltage interruption compensation and active power injection to the grid in addition to the other normal UPQC abilities. The system’s functionality may be compromised if the DG resources are not sufficient during the voltage interruption conditions. Economical operation of the system can also be achieved by proper controlling of the active power transfer between the supply and DG source through a series APF [7]. The proposed system can also reduce the investment cost by nearly one fifth if the UPQC and DG are used separately [5].

B. (UPQC – DG) Separated

A typical application of a UPQC might be to overcome the grid integration problems of the DG, such as the fixed-speed induction generator (FSIG) as investigated in [8] and shown in Figure 4. The FSIG fails to remain connected to the grid in the event of a grid voltage dip or line fault due to excessive reactive power requirement. The drop in voltage creates over-speeding of the turbine, which causes a protection trip. With the aid of the UPQC, this fault-ride-through capability is achieved, which greatly enhances system stability. Results show (Figure 5) that the UPQC is one of the best devices for the integration of wind energy system to the grid. In the case of a wind farm connected to a weak grid, UPQC can also be placed at the PCC to overcome voltage regulation problems [9]. In these separated systems, the series APF of the UPQC is placed near the DG side to conduct the voltage regulation by injecting the voltage in phase with PCC voltage. This type of UPQC is referred to as left shunt UPQC [3]. Based on the research study, in addition to the normal functionality of UPQC, some of the other advantages and disadvantages have been identified for the techniques which are given in Table 1.
Fig 5 – (b) Generator response to a three-phase fault with UPQC [9]

### TABLE 1
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INTEGRATION TECHNIQUES OF UPQC IN DG SYSTEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (DG-UPQC)DC linked | i. Compensate the voltage interruption  
ii. Operation in islanding mode – possible  
iii. Active power transfer during grid connected or microgrid mode – possible  
iv. System cost for PQ improvement - reduced (remove DG Inverter) | i. Control complexity – high  
ii. Capacity enhancement in multi-level or multi-module mode – difficult |
| (UPQC-DG)Separated | i. Capacity enhancement in multi-level or multi-module mode - easy  
ii. Control – easy  
iii. Active power transfer during grid connected mode - possible | i. Voltage interruption – may not be possible  
ii. Operation in islanding mode – may not be possible  
iii. System cost - high |

### C. Cost Analysis

In terms of required components, it is clear that the combined system does not require the grid connecting interfacing converter and thus the cost will be less. The purpose of the converter is already carried out by the shunt APF in the UPQC system. One the other hand in the separate system two complete units of UPQC and DG unit are required along with the interfacing converter. Therefore, there is no way to reduce the cost. A comparative analysis of investment cost and economical saving of separated and combined UPQC – DG (Wind Energy System) has been done in [5] based on the component cost found in [10]. It is found that, depending on the ratings, the combined system can reduce the cost up to one fifth of the separate system. Table 2 shows the details of this comparison.

### TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF INVESTMENT COST AND ECONOMIC SAVING OF SEPARATE AND COMBINED UPQC AND DG (WIND SYSTEM) [2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Separate</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine</td>
<td>100 kW</td>
<td>100 kW</td>
<td>105 kW</td>
<td>105 kW</td>
<td>150 kW</td>
<td>150 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWM modulator</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid side inverter</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunt inverter</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series inverter</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
<td>4.5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>5.1 MW</td>
<td>5.1 MW</td>
<td>7.5 MW</td>
<td>7.5 MW</td>
<td>7.5 MW</td>
<td>7.5 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic saving(%)</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. UPQC IN DOUBLE FEEDER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

A new connection proposal for UPQC, as shown in Figure 6, to improve the power quality of two feeders in a distribution system has been made in [11] which is termed Interline UPQC (IUPQC). The purpose of the IUPQC is to hold the feeder voltages constant against voltage sag/swell and temporary interruption in either of the two feeders. It has been demonstrated that the IUPQC can absorb power from one feeder to hold the other feeder voltage constant in case of a voltage sag in the other source voltage. This UPQC can also be implemented in a Custom Power Park or Microgrid system. This can further be improved as a multi-converter based UPQC [12] where multiple VSI are connected back to back on the dc side.

---

Fig 6 – (a) Typical IUPQC connected in a distribution system

Fig 6 – (b) Internal Structure of IUPQC [11]
IV. CAPACITY ENHANCEMENT OF UPQC

In high power applications, the filtering task cannot be performed for the whole spectrum of harmonics by using a single converter due to the limitations on switching frequency and power rating of the semiconductor devices. Therefore, compensating the reactive harmonic components to improve the power quality of the DG integrated system as well as to avoid the large capacity centralised APF, parallel operation of multiple low power APF units are increasing. Like APF, UPQC can also be placed at the PCC or at a high voltage distribution line as a part of DG integrated network or in microgrid system to work both in interconnected or islanded mode. At this place, capacity enhancement is achieved by using Multi-level topologies to reach the higher power levels. These options are as follows:

i. Multi-level converter based UPQC
ii. Multi-module converter based UPQC
iii. Multi-module (power cell) unit based UPQC

A multi-level converter is proposed to increase the converter operation voltage, avoiding the series connection of switching elements. However, the multilevel converter is complex to form the output voltage and requires an excessive number of back-connection diodes or flying capacitors [13] or cascade converters [14]. A basic form of multi-level UPQC is shown in Figure 7.

A multi-module H-bridge UPQC can also be connected to the distribution system without series injection transformers. It has the flexibility in expanding the operation voltage by increasing the number of H-bridge modules [15], as shown in Figure 8. Here each phase consists of several pairs of H-bridge modules isolated through a single-phase multiwinding transformer.

These Multi-module techniques [1318] allow the symmetrical distribution of the load power among the components of the topology, but the classical design procedure must be modified or refined to ensure the power cell components should be within its maximum ratings. Therefore, a new design procedure of UPQC with a feature of extending capacity based on a modular approach is presented in [19-20], shown in Figure 9, where H-bridge power cells are added in each single phase arrangement depending on the required compensating power. Some advantages and disadvantages are also outlined in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of UPQC</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-level Converter based</td>
<td>i. High voltage and current can be achieved</td>
<td>i. Voltage unbalance could occur between the different levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Can be developed in different ways – diode / flying capacitor / cascade</td>
<td>ii. Requires excessive number of diode / flying capacity / inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inverter based</td>
<td>iii. Central control is required and it is complicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iv. Conduction loss is high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>v. Capacity expansion is difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vi. Centralized Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-modular transformer-less</td>
<td>i. No series transformer is required, thus reduces the cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Capacity expansion is easier than multi-level converter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Redundancy is possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-modular (power cell)</td>
<td>i. Single phase power cell topology helps the unit to work at its maximum</td>
<td>i. Number of H-bridge switching device increases, thus increase the switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rating</td>
<td>loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Capacity expansion is easier</td>
<td>ii. Transformer for each shunt part of the power cell could increase the loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Redundancy is possible</td>
<td>as well as make the system bulky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iv. Both Central and Distributed controls are possible</td>
<td>iii. Additional single shunt unit may not be included if only the required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v. Due to parallel mode of operation, conduction loss can be reduced</td>
<td>compensating current increases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig 7 – Multi level Converter based UPQC](image1)

![Fig 8 – Series transformer-less Multi-module H-bridge](image2)
V. CONCLUSION

- It is found that research in recent years has placed more emphasis on CPDs, especially on UPQC, and its application in DG or microgrid system.
- Single or modular type UPQC has been proposed to deal with power quality issues, with an addition to voltage interruption compensation, active power transfer, related to DG with integrated or microgrid mode.
- Capacity enhancement has been achieved using multi-level or multi-module and central control mode, however, the flexibility of UPQC to increase its capacity in future and to cope up with the increase load demand in low voltage distribution level has not been achieved.
- The economics for the capacity enhancement of UPQC should also be analysed.
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