



2014

## Community Education and the Labour Activation Challenge

Liam McGlynn

*Department of Humanities, Institute of Technology Blanchardstown Dublin*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://arrow.dit.ie/itbj>



Part of the [Education Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

McGlynn, Liam (2014) "Community Education and the Labour Activation Challenge," *The ITB Journal*: Vol. 15: Iss. 1, Article 10.  
Available at: <http://arrow.dit.ie/itbj/vol15/iss1/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals Published Through Arrow at ARROW@DIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in The ITB Journal by an authorized administrator of ARROW@DIT. For more information, please contact [yvonne.desmond@dit.ie](mailto:yvonne.desmond@dit.ie), [arrow.admin@dit.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@dit.ie), [brian.widdis@dit.ie](mailto:brian.widdis@dit.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)



# Community Education and the Labour Activation Challenge

Liam McGlynn

Department of Humanities. Institute of Technology Blanchardstown Dublin

## Abstract<sup>5</sup>

*In the aftermath of the global economic crisis of 2008 and the subsequent recession in Ireland and indeed across Europe, public discourse in politics, society, academia and media has focused on explaining the crisis as well as looking for solutions to the crisis. In the context of the Irish recession now in its fifth year, with unemployment at 13.6% or 435,357 (Central Statistics Office, 2013) there is understandable urgency across all sectors to find ways out of the crisis. It is against this backdrop of Ireland's fall from the dizzying heights of the celtic tiger years to the depths of the celtic crash, that all sectors of the state are being mobilized 'to mending the pieces of a fractured society, (and to fix) a broken economy' (Department of the Taoiseach, 2011). The further education and training sector is at the forefront of efforts to support individuals and communities devastated by the loss of jobs, unemployment, and fear for the future. Further education and training (FET) is one of the options to which people turn following life altering events such as job loss. It has also been the port of call for many who are starting out on job search. Community education located as part of FET includes both formal and informal provision delivered in community centres and education centres predominantly through Education and Training Boards (formerly VECs). If the government are seeking solutions to the crisis, they might consider the approach and positive outcomes happening in community education involving community education facilitators, tutors, facilitators, participant learners, volunteers, community workers and activists. This literature review presents evidence of the contribution community education is making and can continue to make toward employability and labour market activation in Ireland. Community education is considered here within lifelong learning policy and research and considers a number of practice examples from the field. The literature is examined here under three headings; policy, research and practice. The review covers EU, Irish and UK policy, research and academic studies across all three headings. The literature considered here reveals the role and space occupied by community education. It points to the contribution it makes in empowering people to grow in confidence in their own employability and engage with the labour market whilst contributing to politicized and collective active citizenship. The research question which this literature review will seek to address is: In what ways does community education meet the labour activation and employability challenge of the current unemployment crisis in Ireland?*

## 1. Introduction

In the aftermath of the global economic crisis of 2008 and the subsequent recession in Ireland and indeed across Europe, public discourse in politics, society, academia and media has focused on explaining the crisis as well as looking for solutions to the crisis. In the context of the Irish recession now in its fifth year, with unemployment at 13.6% or 435,357 (Central Statistics Office, 2013) of which long term unemployment is alarmingly high at 8.4% or 180,500 (Irish National Organisation of the Unemployed, 2013), there is understandable urgency across all sectors to find ways out of the crisis.

---

<sup>5</sup> This is an edited version of the full article which was published by the Community Education Facilitators Association in 2013 and is available on the CEFA website ([www.cefa.ie](http://www.cefa.ie)).

The impact of Ireland's bailout and adjustment programme with the troika (IMF, ECB and EU) is widely documented elsewhere in the print media. Public spending on areas such as health and education have not been spared the cutbacks in public expenditure, however there is a broad commitment to protect programmes which work with unemployed people. Whilst there has been a general commitment by government to protect the most vulnerable in society, at the same time, there is evidence that some programmes have been disproportionately affected by cutbacks (Harvey, 2013, 2012, NESC, 2013).

It is against this backdrop of Ireland's fall from the dizzying heights of the celtic tiger years to the depths of the celtic crash, that all sectors of the state are being mobilized 'to mending the pieces of a fractured society, (and to fix) a broken economy' (Department of the Taoiseach, 2011). The further education and training sector is at the forefront of efforts to support individuals and communities devastated by the loss of jobs, unemployment, and fear for the future.

Further education and training is one of the options to which people turn following life altering events such as job loss. It has also been the port of call for many who are starting out on job search. In the context of achieving savings in the state's public finances, the Irish further education and training sector is currently undergoing a major reconfiguration. As community education is located within the further education and training sector these changes are likely to have some bearing on the work. That said, community education has stayed close to the grassroots communities irrespective of the institutional configuration under which it receives its funding. What is important is that its role be recognised, its contribution valued and maintained in the midst of the crisis. If the government are seeking solutions to the crisis, they might consider the approach and positive outcomes happening in community education involving community education facilitators, tutors, facilitators, participant learners, volunteers, community workers and activists.

This literature review presents evidence of the contribution community education is making and can continue to make toward employability and labour market activation in Ireland. The literature is examined here under three headings; policy, research and practice, reflecting the range and type of literature available. The review covers Irish, UK and European policy, research, reports and academic studies across all three headings. It must be said at the outset, that adult and community educators across the sector from academics to practitioners have railed against a narrow focus on adult and community education which sees its role as meeting the needs of the economy and employers or at the service of the state in providing skills training. Such a narrow focus is rightly rejected by the sector. Several commentators in the UK and Ireland have cautioned against such a narrow instrumentalist view of adult, community and further education in general (Crowther, 2013; Finnegan, 2008; Connolly, 2007; Brady, 2006; Thompson, 1996). Those working in the sector assert the social purpose origins and meaning of adult and community education which is about empowerment of marginalized individuals and communities for collective social and economic transformation. It is political and asserts democratic participation and focuses on social justice outcomes. Commenting on Scottish government policy on further education for over-16 year olds, Crowther exemplifies this broader vision of education:

*What is needed is a vision of education which makes a vital contribution to a humane, democratic and socially just society as well as a thriving and sustainable economic life. (Crowther, 2011, p. 15)*

Therefore a literature review exploring the connection between community education and employability and the labour market agenda may appear to implicitly accept an economic agenda. However, what is presented here demonstrates that community education is neither rejectionist or disengaged from economic reality, but rather it is fully engaged whilst warning of the dangers of narrow policy making which would discard the social purpose dimensions of community education.

The literature considered here under policy, research and practice headings reveals the role and space occupied by community education. It points to the contribution it makes in empowering people to grow in confidence in their own employability and engage with the labour market whilst contributing to politicized and collective active citizenship. The research question which this literature review will seek to address is:

*In what ways does community education meet the labour activation and employability challenge of the current unemployment crisis in Ireland?*

The reader may find it useful to treat this literature review as a repository where they can dip in and out at different sections or places without the need to read the entire document.

## **2. Policy**

### **Introduction**

In general terms, lifelong learning, as a concept and set of actions received an important boost through the focus placed on it by the European Union institutions. In the first part, we consider these policies. From the *Lisbon Strategy 2000* to *Europe 2020*, the EU has produced a number of significant policy platforms in the area of lifelong learning, adult education, vocational education and training, and recognition of informal and non-formal learning, to name a few. In this section, these policies will be considered with a view to locating the space which community education occupies in European policy.

The second part will consider the development of lifelong learning policy in Ireland against the backdrop of the current economic, unemployment and jobs crisis. Education and training is one of the sectors tasked with addressing the crisis. The dimensions of the crisis itself and the key labour activation and jobs policies pursued by government necessarily impact on how programmes such as community education working with those who are unemployed and vulnerable groups respond to the current challenge. These policies; labour activation, action plan for jobs, lifelong learning and active citizenship will be considered in this part.

### **Europe: Growth, Jobs and Social Cohesion**

The place of lifelong learning is well secured in European Union policy making. Whilst there is debate about the orientation of the policy in terms of the balance between an economic purpose orientation and a social purpose orientation, it is clear that lifelong learning is gaining greater recognition as a crucial concept and set of actions with potential to bring about a sustainable economy and cohesive society across Europe. There is a slightly different emphasis between EU and Irish understandings of lifelong learning. In the EU context lifelong learning encompasses all learning, formal, non-formal and informal from the cradle to the grave, whereas the Irish understanding tends to focus on adult learning.

### **Lisbon Strategy 2000**

The goal of the Lisbon Strategy agreed in 2000 was ‘to make the EU the most competitive and dynamic knowledge based economy in the world capable of sustaining more and better jobs and with greater social cohesion’ (Council of the European Union, 2000). The strategy was revised in 2005 with a greater focus on growth and jobs (Council of the European Union, 2005). Framed at a time of prosperity with falling unemployment across the EU, the Lisbon Strategy set out to meet the challenges of globalisation, an explosion of information and communication technologies, and the continuing challenges of racism, xenophobia and gender inequality (Europa, 2013a). Yet, when reviewed in 2005, there was still a problem of long term unemployment across the EU and the EU Commission assessed that the strategy was not delivering on its promise. One aspect of the revised strategy was to ‘invest more in human capital by improving education and skills’, and an intention to adopt ‘a community(EU) lifelong learning programme’ committing member states to ‘submit national strategies in this area in 2006’ (Europa, 2013b).

### **Europe 2020**

Europe 2020 (European Commission, 2010) setting out a strategy for ‘smart, sustainable and inclusive growth’ commenced in 2010 against the backdrop of the collapse of many of the economies of European member states, mass unemployment and negative growth across the EU. From an education and training perspective, the strategy includes three initiatives of relevance; ‘youth on the move’ education focusing on youth preparedness for the labour market, ‘an agenda for new skills and jobs’ to empower people to develop skills throughout the lifecycle, and ‘European platform against poverty’ (EC, 2010, pp. 3-4). Identifying targets for reductions in early school leaving and increasing tertiary participation, Europe 2020 makes generalised headline statements on lifelong learning, for example, ‘80 million people have low or basic skills, but lifelong learning benefits mostly the more educated’ (EC, 2010, p. 16). An increasing demand for high skills will replace the demand for low skills, therefore people in the EU will need to upskill and reskill for a probable longer working life.

### **ET 2020**

Whilst Europe 2020 sets the overall agenda, there is greater detail relevant to community education within the *ET 2020 Strategic framework for European cooperation in education and training* (Council of the European Union, 2009). The aims of this policy are to promote; lifelong learning and mobility, quality, efficiency, equity, social cohesion, active citizenship and entrepreneurship in education and training. There is an assumed compatibility between these aspects, something critical commentators would question. However, the focus on lifelong learning is welcome and for community educators, this policy is consistent with much of the work happening in this field. The most relevant target for lifelong learning stated is that ‘by 2020 an average of at least 15% of adults (age group 25-64) should participate in lifelong learning’ (Council, 2009, p. 7). The figure for the EU 27 member states in 2011 was 8.9%, with Ireland’s rate at 7.1% (Eurostat, 2011).

Crucially, for community educators, ET 2020 states that the further development of education and training systems across the EU should ensure ‘sustainable economic prosperity and employability, whilst promoting democratic values, social cohesion, active citizenship and intercultural dialogue’ (p. 3). Notice how these are to happen in tandem, an indication that the EU places significant importance on the social Europe as much as the economic Europe. Furthermore, lifelong learning is viewed as a ‘fundamental principle’ and ‘covers learning in all contexts – formal, non-formal and informal’ (Council, 2009, p. 3). In the otherwise crowded space of formal accredited learning in schools, colleges and institutions, community

education provides that haven of non-formal and informal learning in a community-based context. One of the strategic objectives of ET 2020 is to promote equity, social cohesion and active citizenship.

*'(to) enable all citizens irrespective of their personal, social or economic circumstances, to acquire, update and develop over a lifetime both job-specific skills and the key competences needed for their employability and to foster further learning, active citizenship and intercultural dialogue'*

(Council of the European Union, 2009, p. 4)

Community education in Ireland has traditionally occupied this space in providing a first step or re-entry into education for individuals and groups affected by multiple disadvantages. The courses are based on the needs expressed by the group or community and are provided using a 'personalised learning' approach (p. 4). These courses all contribute to employability and increased social capital and networking in the community.

### **European policy on lifelong learning**

Adult learning defined as learning which takes place following completion of initial education received a fresh impetus when the European Union agreed the *council resolution on a renewed European agenda for adult learning* (Council of the European Union, 2011). This policy is entirely consistent with Europe 2020 and ET 2020, therefore it is not intended to repeat this content here. Suffice it to say that the renewed agenda seeks to 'promote personal and professional development, empowerment, adaptability, employability and active participation in society' (2011, p. 3). It is worth noting that the council communiqué sounds a word of concern at the gap between the rate of adult learning participation 8.9% in 2011 to the target of 15% in 2020. As a starting point toward further education and vocational training for many adults, community education approaches will contribute to meeting this target.

### **European policy on non-formal and informal learning**

At a time when the currency of educational credentials is very much prized and to a large extent determines future employment, how is non-accredited informal and non-formal learning to be valued? The recognition of informal and non-formal learning is something which the European Union takes seriously. In 2012, the Council of the European Union adopted a '*council recommendation on the validation of non-formal and informal learning*' (Council, 2012). Again, this is part of the Europe wide co-operation on education and training under ET2020. As the returns<sup>6</sup> for community education in Ireland make clear, 5% of the 55,000 participants gain a full accredited award, therefore the majority of programmes are non-accredited informal and non-formal courses.

*'knowledge, skills and competences acquired through non-formal and informal learning can play an important role in enhancing employability and mobility as well as increasing motivation for lifelong learning, particularly in the case of the socio-economically disadvantaged or the low qualified'*.

(Council of the European Union, 2012, p. 1)

---

<sup>6</sup> Data obtained on request from the Department of Education & Skills (unpublished) and provided to the Community Education Facilitators Association based on the returns provided by CEFs in Ireland (CEFA, July, 2013)

The EU recommendation defines formal learning as that which ‘takes place in an organised and structured environment’, leading to ‘the award of a qualification’ (Council, 2012, p. 5). Non-formal learning is defined as taking place ‘through planned activities (in terms of learning objectives and learning time)’, involving a student teacher support, for example, ‘in-company training’ such as ICT (p. 5). Informal learning refers to ‘learning resulting from daily activities related to work, family or leisure and is not organised or structured in terms of objectives, time or learning support’ (p. 5). Examples of the latter offered include. ‘skills acquired through volunteering, cultural activities, sports, youth work’ (p. 5) or home management.

Backing up the recommendation, ‘*common European principles for the identification and validation of non-formal and informal learning*’ had been agreed already among member states (Council, 2004). Six principles were identified; the purpose of validation, individual entitlement, responsibilities of institutions and stakeholders, confidence and trust, impartiality, and credibility and legitimacy. These common principles have provided a framework for individual countries in the EU to organise their recognition systems. The latest recommendation expands on these common principles and are listed below (Council, 2012, p. 3). Validation systems for non-formal and informal learning must include the following elements; (i) identification of learning outcomes, (ii) documentation of learning outcomes, (iii) assessment of learning outcomes, and (iv) certification of the results of assessment in the form of a qualification and/or credits. Research and practice aspects of non-formal and informal learning will be considered in those sections later in this review.

### **Ireland: Unemployment, Activation and Education**

In Ireland, two key policy drivers, one on the welfare to work side and one on the job creation side of public policy have a significant influence in shaping the response to unemployment and the jobs crisis across all areas including education. *Pathways to Work* (Department of Social Protection, 2012) sets out government policy on labour market activation. The focus here is on returning unemployed people to the workforce as soon as possible. The *Action Plan for Jobs* (Department of Jobs, Enterprise and Innovation, 2012) details specific actions to meet the government’s target of ‘100,000 more people in work by 2016’ (p. 7). The requirement that 40% of new jobs be filled by unemployed people on the live register is evidence of a level of joined up thinking between both policies and their respective departments. The Expert Group on Future Skills Needs is also a key policy shaper in this co-ordinated response to unemployment and the jobs crisis. These policies are outlined below.

### **Pathways to Work 2012**

*Pathways to Work* (DSP, 2012) commits the employment services of the state to greater ‘engagement with and support to the unemployed’ (p. 5). Making reference to hitherto ‘passive’ engagement between state employment services and people on the live register, resulting in long-term unemployment and deskilling (p. 10), this new strategy is more active. Employment services are responsible to provide supports to the unemployed person and in return the unemployed person is responsible for engaging with the supports on offer. There is a particular focus on the long term unemployed and supporting their return to the labour market through a job, education or training. Three targets are set out to 2015; 75,000 of the currently 180,000 long term unemployed to be employed again by 2015, the average time on the live register to reduce from 21 to 14 months, and, by 2015, 40% of jobs filled through the state employment services to be filled by people on the live register (pp. 8-9).

The key aspect of *Pathways to Work* policy from an education and training perspective is in relation to the second strand ‘greater targeting of activation places and opportunities’ (p. 14). 75,000 FAS/SOLAS training places and 180,000 further education places (delivered by VECs / ETBs) are provided for in 2012 (p. 16). The government is ‘committed to maintaining the resourcing of these programmes’ (p. 16) despite the need to reduce overall public spending. However, the objective for this spending is clearly spelt out:

*‘we will target these resources to maximise the efficiency and effectiveness of the programmes with a view to delivering a greater focus on keeping the unemployed close to the labour market. We are reviewing training and further education options so that employment prospects for participants are improved.’* (DSP, 2012, p. 16)

An integrated approach underpins the call for ‘structured consultation’ between the reconfigured National Employment and Entitlement Service within the Department of Social Protection and SOLAS (the new training body to replace FAS) and the Expert Group on Future Skills Needs in relation to ‘the development and delivery of education and training programmes to meet the needs of those on the Live Register and national skills needs’ (DSP, 2012, p. 21). More specifically, and directly relevant for further education and community education is the plan to implement protocols between NEES, SOLAS and the VECs (now Education and Training Boards), to ensure unemployed people can be referred to ‘suitable education and training opportunities’ (p. 21).

As indicated above, reconfiguration of employment services features in *Pathways to Work*. Based on an OECD recommendation in its 2009 review of activation policies in Ireland (Grubb, Singh & Tergeist, 2009, p. 137) which highlighted a perceived weakness in the separation of activation and welfare functions between two to three departments, FAS employment services have now been integrated within the NEES in the Department of Social Protection. The changes are also welcomed by the National Economic and Social Council (NESC, 2011, p. 4).

In summary, *Pathways to Work* is a succinct and clear statement of strategy to address unemployment by moving from a passive approach to an activation approach. It sets clear targets and broad approaches to achieving these targets.

### **Action Plan for Jobs 2013**

The National Action Plan for Jobs was first published in 2012 (DJEI, 2012) and will be published annually in the lifetime of the current government. It is a much more expansive document than *Pathways to Work*. For example, a detailed table for the 2013 action plan sets out 333 separate actions, a timeline for each action and a named body responsible for its delivery. However, the document does not give any breakdown of the 100,000 jobs to be created, and how many jobs are expected to be created for each action. Furthermore, there is no apparent monitoring system to either count the jobs or measure progress toward the target, apart from high level quarterly meetings of the relevant ministers. That said, the plan does include some actions which are relevant to the FE sector and fit neatly with the function areas of community education.

Before focusing on these, the plan for 2013 (DJEI, 2013), as was the case in 2012, seeks to create conditions for the generation of jobs through seven themes; competitiveness, indigenous business, entrepreneurship, community and local level employment, attracting foreign direct investment and specific sectors (p. 9). The plan also outlines seven, what are



termed ‘disruptive’ or ‘cross cutting reforms’, namely; data analysis, ICT skills, business licence simplification, trading on-line, Jobs plus incentive to employers to recruit a long-term unemployed person, energy efficiency and life sciences / health innovation.

Returning to community education’s role within this plan, the most relevant section ‘supporting employment at community and local level’ (DJEI, 2013, pp. 102-107) identifies the establishment of ‘socio-economic committees’, the ‘local and community development programme’, ‘rural development programme’, ‘youth unemployment’ and ‘volunteering’ (p. 103) as fields of employment creation. These are all part of the repertoire of community educators. Community Education Facilitators have already built strong links with the Local and Community Development Programme and bring education expertise to support young people and adults along the education pathway in these areas of disadvantage. Specifically, the *2013 Action Plan for Jobs* describes action 227, that ‘through the LCDP, we will continue to work towards increasing access to formal and informal educational, recreational and cultural activities and resources’ (p. 105). The community education sections of the VECs have a record in delivering such actions and will continue to do so under the new Education and Training Boards.

### **Expert Group on Future Skills Needs**

The role of the Expert Group on Future Skills Needs is to advise government on future skills needs and labour market trends in the economy. Based on this, the group also advises government on ‘priority education and training requirements’ (EGFSN, 2012a, p. 5).

Replacement jobs in manufacturing are up to 5,000 annually and the future skills requirements are in the areas of medical devices, bio-pharma, food and beverages, ICT hardware, engineering and consumer products sector. Engineering skills needs are in areas such as validation, polymer, quality, automation and supply chain engineering (p. 6). A more useful analysis provided by EGFSN is their annual vacancy overview. The data is drawn from adverts in the Jobs Ireland (FAS) and irishjobs.ie websites. Most of the vacancies were not new jobs but due to turnover and replacement. The sectors featuring most frequently were industry, IT and financial services, and demand for language proficiency, German and French (p. 7). The national skills database and the national skills bulletin also provide useful data on trends in skills needs. There are skills shortages in ‘sales and related occupations, including marketing (especially digital marketing) and customer services roles, IT professionals, science and engineering professionals, business professionals, administrative occupations, IT associate professionals, and personal care occupations’ (p. 9). The relevance of community education as the first step in supporting participants to develop generic employability skills which may lead to future work will be considered in the research and practice sections of this review. The expert group also provides a profile of the characteristics associated with being at high risk of unemployment, ‘male, non-Irish national, younger than 25, holding at or below lower secondary level qualification, and having formerly worked in construction’ (p. 9).

### **Participation rates in community education**

According to statistics provided by the Department of Education and Skills and compiled from returns submitted by Community Education Facilitators (CEFA, 2013), 55,415 mainly adults participated in community education in 2012 (75% Women, 25% Men). Whilst the majority of community education was non-accredited, non-formal and informal, approximately 2,600 FETAC awards were achieved in the year. 11,529 (20%) of participants declared as unemployed, and a further 27,151 declared as ‘not in the labour market’. The number of participants who were unemployed increased from 11% in 2009 to 20% in 2012.

The CSO special survey on lifelong learning undertaken in 2008 (CSO, 2010) includes data on participation for non-formal and informal learning as well as formal learning. The CSO report on lifelong learning in which people participated the previous year; 5% formal, 20% non-formal, 23% formal and/or non-formal and 55% informal (p. 1). Participation in lifelong learning is highest for the employed (31%) followed by the unemployed (25%). Crucially, the higher the level of educational attainment the higher the participation rates in informal education. The significance of these statistics is that they demonstrate that community education is particularly adept at engaging unemployed people and those outside the labour market in non-accredited, non-formal and informal education.

### **Irish policy on lifelong learning**

Building on the emergence of community education as a distinct sector within Irish education since the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, the White Paper on Adult Education, *Learning for Life* (Department of Education and Science, 2000) was the first official policy document which recognized community education within the Irish education system. The White Paper presents two definitions of community education (DES, 2000, p. 110). Community education is defined in two ways in the white paper, firstly, as ‘an extension of the service provided by second and third-level education institutions into the wider community’ (p. 110), and secondly, as ‘a process of communal education towards empowerment, both at an individual and collective level’ (p. 110). It is both in and of the community and has a ‘collective social purpose and inherently political agenda – to promote critical reflection, challenge existing structures, and promote empowerment’ (p. 113). Whilst some aspects of the policy outlined in the white paper were delivered, namely the appointment of community education facilitators, allocation of a dedicated fund, other aspects remain to be delivered, such as governance and committee arrangements as well as a technical unit.

### **Irish policy on community education**

In 2012 the Department of Education and Skills issued *Community Education Programme: Operational Guidelines for Providers* (DES, 2012) to the Vocational Education Committees. The guidelines set out the definition of community education located ‘outside the formal sector’, ‘fostering empowerment’ and ‘contributing to civic society’ (p. 3). The programme is explicitly targeted at local groups, both area-based and issue-based with a ‘particular emphasis on reducing educational and social disadvantage’ (p. 4). Adults with low or no formal educational qualifications, the unemployed, one parent families, Travellers, older people and homeless people and many other groups are the focus of the community education programme. The programme provides them with a step ‘to more active community involvement or certified learning’ (p. 4). ‘Intensive outreach work is a key method’ employed in the programme. The guidelines have regard to the current high levels of unemployment, and target particularly ‘the low skilled, the long term unemployed, under 35s and those formerly employed in construction, retail and manufacturing’ (p. 5). These sectors have experienced significant job losses since 2008.

### **Community Education Facilitators Association: position paper**

The Community Education Facilitators Association launched their position paper *Community Education: Enhancing Learning, Fostering Empowerment and Contributing to Civic Society* in November 2011 (CEFA, 2011). The paper sets out a collective view on the core principles and ethos of community education and a shared focus on the future direction of the work in Ireland. The paper is inspired by the ‘transformation in individuals and communities’ which community education facilitators have experienced in their work over the past decade. The paper outlines a clear vision of community education; the philosophy and ethos, the

objectives, the methodology of the work, the role of the facilitator and tutor, the pedagogical approach, and the target groups.

The unique feature of this way of working is the possibility of engaging people at four levels in the one course, personal development, community development, social analysis and political participation (p. 4). An example is illustrative. An art course will contribute to personal development, enabling expression, a way of relaxing, and develop creative skills. The images painted or etched may raise themes in the community enabling dialogue about life in the community. These can become the spark for deeper analysis of issues in the locality and contribute to confidence in taking action at a political level.

CEFA identify four key issues arising for community education in Ireland (i) the need to value and reassert social purpose as well as economic or labour market purpose in line with EU policy, (ii) the need to improve knowledge, research capacity and measurement systems to further capture the value of community education, (iii) to improve the targeting of community education for particular marginalized groups, and (iv) to strengthen partnership with local and community development and ensure its support at inter-departmental level also (CEFA, 2011, pp. 9-13).

The paper concludes with seven specific proposals on the path forward, only one of which has been delivered namely, the Department of Education operational guidelines for community education. The remaining proposals acknowledge resource constraints at this time, nevertheless, a ring-fenced budget and a dedicated community educational technical unit is called for as well as a working group tasked with agreeing indicators for measuring outcomes and tracking progression. Given that other sectors have dedicated inspectorates and curriculum development units, the scale of numbers now participating in adult and community education merit similar supports at Department of Education level.

### **Infrastructural change in further education and training**

In 2013, the government has reconfigured the infrastructure supporting further education and training. FAS, the state training and employment agency, is being disbanded. Its job placement services have been moved to the Department of Social Protection as stated earlier. Its training function will be moved to 16 Education and Training Boards (formerly 33 VECs) in 2014. SOLAS a new Further Education and Training Authority will be established to coordinate and fund the wide range of training and further education in Ireland with the Education and Training Boards being responsible for delivery of the programmes in local areas. These changes arise from a reconfiguration mooted by the OECD (Grubb, Singh & Tergeist, 2009, p. 137) and other commentators for the purpose of enabling a more coordinated approach.

### **The Irish National Organisation of the Unemployed**

The Irish National Organisation of the Unemployed (INOU) has a vital role in informing policymakers about the impact of budgetary, welfare and employment policies on the unemployed. The INOU marked their twenty fifth anniversary in 2012 in the midst of yet another unemployment crisis. The hope back in 1987 was that the INOU 'wouldn't have a 25<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the organisation' (INOU, 2012, p. 31).

The twin objectives of the INOU namely 'decent jobs for all' and 'proper living standards for jobseekers' (p. 7) were recalled at the twenty fifth anniversary conference. The conference report sets out the role proposed for education and training in tackling the unemployment crisis. The conference called for 'quality training to be at the heart of every labour market

initiative' (p. 3). This is relevant in the roll out of Intreo offices where unemployed people may be assisted in finding jobs and the establishment of the new Education and Training Boards.

*Prioritise training with strong links to the labour market as this tends to enhance employment prospects. However, for some participants this may not be an accessible option so training should be provided based on the person's needs.* (INOUE, 2012, p. 4)

Putting people on courses which are not appropriate to their needs is counterproductive. The conference heard that quality adult education should include a good matching of courses to the needs of individual learners and provide 'good programme supports', as well as 'good quality tutors' and 'flexibility to take into account the life circumstances of the adult participants' (p. 4). Finally, it should pave the way for progression to a job or further education and training.

Considering that 80% of those who are unemployed are without a qualification (p. 4), the implicit message community educators can take from this conference report is that community education can provide a vital link for people on the pathway to future work.

### **Conclusion**

What is clear from this review of EU and Irish policy on the role of lifelong learning in relation to labour activation and employability is the status which non-formal and informal learning occupies in EU policy. It clearly has a key role in the future development of Europe both in economic and social terms. Ireland is some way off the target participation rates set out by the EU for the adult population. The development of the new Europe is not solely the business of accredited formal education but also requires non-formal and informal learning. Clearly, this orientation in EU policy should have a positive impact on Irish policymaking in lifelong learning and further education and training in general. Labour activation policies which are integrated and focused on the best interests of people who are unemployed depend on a co-ordinated approach involving a number of partners including the further education and training system of which community education is an important part.

## **3. Research**

### **Introduction**

In this section, research on the most relevant aspects of community education and its impact on employability on labour market activation will be considered at European, UK and Irish levels. There are a number of strands in the literature which have a bearing on the question posed at the outset of this literature review, *in what ways does community education meet the labour activation and employability challenge of the current unemployment crisis in Ireland?* These include the wider benefits of lifelong learning, recognition of non-formal and informal learning and the employability outcomes of lifelong learning. The research and academic studies assembled in this part are drawn from Europe, UK and Ireland. The purpose here is to identify those studies which point to the contribution which community education as non-formal and informal learning does make to enhancing learners's employability and labour market readiness.

## Europe

### **Cedefop: Researching the wider benefits of lifelong learning**

The European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) is an agency of the European Commission which provides information, analysis and research on education and training systems across EU member states. Though the focus may be on vocational education and training, the work of Cedefop informs further education and training and is relevant for our purposes in community education. In recent years, Cedefop have pursued a useful theme in researching the social and non-market benefits of vocational education and training. This review considers the conclusions of two of their studies in this area.

Cedefop's 2013 publication *Benefits of vocational education and training in Europe* provides a summary of Cedefop's research into the market and non-market benefits of VET since it commenced its enquiry in 2008. The report recognises the comparative lack of research into the non-market or social benefits of education compared to the market or economic benefits.

The Cedefop study argues that there has been too much focus on the potential of education and training to develop human capital to the exclusion of social, cultural and identity capital. These forms of capital make an important contribution to the economy and society also. However, it is solely human capital defined as the 'stock of knowledge, skills and competence' acquired by individuals which has been fully recognised in measurement terms. Human capital in market terms is measured in 'higher wages' and 'improved job and career prospects' (p. 20). In other words, only the economic or market benefits in terms of human capital are worthy as outcomes of an education process. This misses the important contribution which social capital, defined as social networks between groups of people, cultural capital, defined as educational, linguistic and functional competence in human groups can make to the economy. These have tended to be overlooked as outcomes of education. The Cedefop review makes several conclusions and those which are most directly relevant for this literature review are the 'non-market benefits' (p. 26) of continuing vocational education and training (VET). These benefits are variously described as 'better health' (p. 6), 'longer lives', 'more satisfying leisure time' (p. 17), positive effects on children in the learner's family 'which can lead to higher levels of education for children' (p. 17) and 'greater civic responsibility which can lead to stronger and more stable democracies' (p. 18). Cedefop research has found the non-market benefits accruing to individuals include 'civic competences' and 'improved health-related behaviour' and 'political interest and interpersonal trust' (p. 26).

*For individuals, non-market benefits are commonly measured by positive psychological effects on individuals' motivation or attitudes, such as increasing self-esteem and self-confidence, especially among unemployed people.*

(Cedefop, 2013a, p. 26)

In the European context, continuing VET equates to non-formal and informal lifelong learning and is therefore most closely aligned with community education in the Irish context. The conclusion of chapter three in the Cedefop study outlining the benefits of VET makes an important final point.

*This argues for investment in VET being directed not only by economic considerations but also by social (market and non-market) returns. The problem lies in expressing the value of social (market and non-market) returns in money terms to make their scale visible. (Cedefop, 2013a, p. 31)*

Clearly, the economy and monetary return from VET is a dominant paradigm of our time. Yet this ignores the social return from VET. There is a danger that if no direct monetary gain in terms of a wage can be demonstrated from participating in VET or non-formal and informal learning including community education, then these courses will be considered less a priority by cash-strapped governments. It is for this reason that VET, particularly non-formal and informal lifelong learning including community education are forced to put a monetary value on outcomes which are hard to quantify in monetary terms, i.e. empowerment, confidence, self-esteem.

An earlier study *Vocational education and training is good for you* (Cedefop, 2011) describes the direct benefits to the labour market associated with the social benefits of vocational education and training (VET), quite apart from the economic benefits. This report examines the premise that:

*'positive VET experience, promoting individual's self esteem, confidence and agency (self-directedness), skills which are becoming extremely valuable in the labour market, should enable labour market success. It should lead to further learning.'*

(Cedefop, 2011, p. 9)

### **EU perspective on recognising non-formal and informal learning**

Colardyn and Bjornavold (2004) document much of the thinking and rationale underpinning the EU's policy on recognising informal and non-formal learning. The validation of this kind of learning is 'very much related' to the Lisbon agenda of a 'knowledge-based economy' which places lifelong learning at the centre of 'competitiveness, employability, individual fulfillment and self-development' (p. 69). An overarching vision informs this recognition:

*The purpose is to make visible the entire scope of knowledge and experience held by an individual, irrespective of the context where the learning originally took place. For an employer it is a question of human resource management, for individuals a question of having the full range of skills and competences valued and for society a question of making full use of existing knowledge and experience.*

(Colardyn & Bjornavold, 2004, p. 69)

Colardyn and Bjornavold document the efforts undertaken by Cedefop (European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training) to undertake an inventory of recognition practices across member states as well as the quest for agreement on common principles discussed earlier. The Council recommendation on the validation of non-formal and informal learning (Council, 2012) discussed earlier is the culmination of this work.

## **Britain**

### **National Institute of Adult and Continuing Education**

Over the past two years quite a number of articles published in *Adults Learning*, the periodical of the National Institute of Adult Continuing Education, make the case for continuing public investment in adult and community education in all its forms, formal, non-formal and informal (Thomson, 2013; Spear, 2012, Sedgmore et al, 2010). This is seen as especially important in a time of economic and social crisis. The articles have been in response to the UK government's spending reviews since 2010.

### **More benefit than cost**

Thomson (2013) argues for a rebalancing of the education budget to allocate more to the post 25 year age category, i.e. adult education. The raising of retirement age and increasing older population are proffered as reasons. He refers to the UK Department for Business, Innovation and Skills report *Measuring the economic impact of further education* (DBIS, 2011) in making his case:

*vocational qualifications delivered in the workplace, and apprenticeships delivered a return of £35 for every pound spent. Similarly, if spending on offender learning reduces recidivism there will be a saving in the criminal justice system.*

(Thomson, 2013, p. 5)

He also acknowledges the difficulties in measuring the ‘full value of adult learning’ (p. 5) and mentions the New Economics Foundation model, *social return on investment*, as well as NIACE’s own econometric approaches, and the Centre for Research on the Wider Benefits of Learning (p. 5). These are discussed further in this literature review. He concludes with a warning to government that cutting public expenditure on adult learning would be ‘counterproductive’, leading to ‘higher costs in other areas of public expenditure such as health, welfare benefits, criminal justice, and social cohesion’ (p. 5).

### **Adult learners’ week and employability**

Spear (2012) reflects on the difference which the annual Adult Learners’ Week in Wales is making in terms of value and impact in public spending terms. Spear poses the question ‘how does adult learners’ week get people back into work?’ (pp. 4-5). The focus of the campaign is on those most ‘hard to reach groups’ (p. 5) and half of the 25,000 participants have ‘little or no (prior) learning’ (p. 5). Acknowledging that ‘the post-16 policy arena is dominated by the skills agenda, with preparation for work being the main show in town’ (p. 5), he argues that it is important to provide a wide variety of ‘appealing activities’ to encourage people to take up learning again. Taster courses such as ‘First Aid for Parents’ or ‘Healthy Cooking on a Budget’ (p. 5) are not to be dismissed as they are vital to someone taking the first step back into learning toward employment, ‘particularly those who may lack confidence’ (p. 5). Developing confidence he asserts is ‘a critical employability skill’ (p.5).

### **Safeguarding adult learning from cuts**

Following the 2010 comprehensive spending review (UK Government) which safeguarded or ring-fenced the adult and community learning budget from the overall 25% cut in further education, Sedgmore (2010) welcomed this protection, but expressed some concern at a decision to withdraw free tuition to entry level awards ‘a first step on the ladder of employability for many people’ (p. 9). Bolshin (cited in Sedgmore et al, 2010) similarly welcomes the protection, but feels the devil may be in the detail of the safeguard. He refers to cuts in adult learning emanating from other government departments, for example projects such as ‘Take Part’, ‘Learning for Community Involvement’ or ‘Tackling Racial Inequality’ (p. 11). Furthermore, cuts in local council budgets could result in closure of local services ‘including those for adults, as well as libraries, theatres, museums, village halls and community centres’ (p. 11), precisely the venues where adult learning needs to take place. Jarvis, Berkeley and Broughton (2012) point to the importance of locating provision in the community, and this is also a central tenet of community education.

### **Adult learning and dealing with unemployment**

Uden (2009) reflects on the cycles of growth and recession and the impact on unemployment. He argues that in the face of this recession, it is important to know 'who the unemployed are going to be this time' (p. 24). The assumption that the unemployed are 'predominantly unskilled' needs to be debunked. Having previously contributed to a REPLAN programme from 1984 to 1991, Uden has some experience of previous unemployment crises. Some aspects have not changed, 'unemployment is a debilitating experience and without help, work habits, self-confidence, even motivation, can ebb away if it persists over time' (p. 24). Key employability skills may be lost and Uden names these as generally agreed by employers as 'personal and interpersonal skills and basic IT skills' (p. 24). His observations are worth emphasizing:

*Learner-centred adult learning is a key way of instilling and maintaining these skills. Employability skills cannot in themselves easily be turned into a curriculum and 'taught'. Adult learning, if allowed to be flexible and learner-centred, can help people gain or maintain these 'softer' skills, which are key to employability.*

(Uden, 2010, p. 24)

### **Measuring the social benefits of adult and community education**

Translating the positive impacts of adult and community education into an econometric format which appeals to decision makers is relatively new and not easily done. Whilst community educators are more convinced by narrative and qualitative testimony from learners themselves about the benefits of community education, much of this new quantitative language will seem unfamiliar and barren. Yet, at a purely pragmatic level, if it contributes to maintaining the level of resources for the most vulnerable groups during hard times, then it is more likely to be embraced, albeit with some reluctance. Three such measurements are considered below.

The first measure outlined in the UK Department for Business, Innovation and Skill's research paper on *measuring the economic impact of further education* (DBIS, 2011) was to assess precisely that by developing a 'model' to assess the impact of further education on 'providing people with the skills they need in the labour market' (p. 2). The calculation in the BIS paper is based on a 'net present value' NPV (p. 5) of the difference between benefits and costs of participating in further education calculated over the person's working life. Benefits are expected to accrue in terms of increased wages and increased employment for those participating in further education compared to those not participating. Costs to be deducted in the calculation include the public funded costs e.g. tuition, a portion of centre overheads, state support for fees and foregone costs e.g. the productivity value of employment time is foregone where time is spent on a course.

Taking the most basic courses 'Basic Skills' and 'Developmental Learning' in a range of FE courses provided in the UK, the NPV for someone who completes this level is £47,000, and the NPV for every one pound of state funding spent providing the course gives a return of £25 (p. 9). The research findings conclude that 'the NPV of qualifications started in 2008/09 is estimated to be £75bn over the years in which successful learners remain in the workforce' and represents a return of around '£35-£40 per pound of funding' invested by the state in further education (p. 5).

The second measure comes from the National Institute of Adult Continuing Education in the UK who also embarked on quantifying the value of adult learning in recent years. Fujiwara (2012) presents an analysis of the effect of adult learning on different domains in life. The



four domains are; health, employment, social relationships and volunteering (p. 1). He uses a *well-being valuation approach (WV)*. Not only does this study present the model which is similar to the NPV model above, but it also presents the results ‘proving’ the impact of adult learning.

*The Well-being Valuation (WV) approach estimates monetary values by looking at how a good or service impacts on a person’s well-being and finding the monetary equivalent of this impact. (Fujiwara, 2012, p. 1)*

In this research, Fujiwara analysed standardised data collected as part of the British Household Panel Survey (equivalent to the Quarterly National Household Survey in Ireland). The WV methodology relies on statistical formulae and regression analysis and therefore is unlikely to be useful for community educators who are by and large not statisticians. Fujiwara reports that ‘adult learning, as measured by people’s participation in part-time courses, has positive effects on all four domains’ (p. 12). Results are reported in terms of statistically significant positive benefits. Focusing on employment, for our purposes in this literature review, ‘taking a part-time course in the previous year has a statistically significant positive effect on the likelihood of someone being employed in the current year’ (p. 12). Similarly, taking part in a course increases the likelihood of volunteering by 4% which is also statistically significant. These positive benefits are then converted into monetary values which indicate the gains in monetary terms.

The third econometric worth mentioning in this section is the New Economics Foundation’s *social return on investment* (Cabinet Office & Office of the Third Sector (UK), 2009). In the UK government’s guide on *sroi*, the aim is to provide a standardised method of value that goes beyond financial value to include social and environmental value. Social return on investment is based on social accounting and cost-benefit analysis. While *sroi* is about value rather than money’ it nevertheless ‘uses monetary values’ (p. 8) to represent the value of social, economic and environmental outcomes resulting from activities. It is based on seven principles (CO & OTS, 2009, p. 9):

- involving stakeholders
- understand what changes
- value the things that matter
- only include what is material
- do not over-claim
- be transparent
- verify the result

In assessing the impact of an activity such as a community education intervention, the steps in the process will involve establishing inputs, outputs and outcomes and monetising these. The impact can be identified when factors are eliminated which would have occurred anyway had the intervention not been implemented (p. 10). Westerman, Schifferes and Maguire’s (2013) case study of *sroi* involving four adult residential colleges in England shows that ‘quantifying the unquantifiable’ (p. 37) is not impossible.

The significance of these three measures of the social benefits of adult and community education is that they exist at all. Adult and community educators would challenge a culture in education which is fixated with numbers, measurement, measureable outcomes etc. Too much of the lifechanging impact of community education is not easily measured in quantitative ways. In a sector which values the qualitative it is significant that the sector is

now proving itself equally innovative in measuring the impacts in terms of market and monetary equivalents as other sectors of the economy.

### **Employability research: A clear line of sight to work**

The adult and community education sector in the UK has been confronted by similar challenges to that of the Irish sector over the past two decades. The global economic crisis and its specific impact on the UK has had similar though less devastating effects than those experienced in Ireland. The change from a new labour to a conservative-led government has seen some shift of emphasis in social and economic discourse, though critical adult education commentators (Crowther, 2011; Tett, 2010) trace the continuing dominance of an economic purpose paradigm at the heart of education policy and provision. They argue this paradigm narrowly positions education at the service of a ‘skills’ and ‘employability’ agenda set by the captains of industry, meeting the needs of the neoliberal market economy.

It is against this backdrop that Williams (2013) reviews the new report of the Commission on Adult Vocational Teaching and Learning, aptly entitled ‘*It’s about work: Excellent adult vocational teaching and learning*’ launched in March 2013 (CAVTL, 2013a). Williams, who is head of the commission, calls on all involved to ‘raise their game’ so as to ‘respond to and prepare us all for changes in work, advances in knowledge and technology, and the increasing demand for people with higher levels of skills’ (Williams, 2013, p. 12). The report points to two key factors in achieving the above goals, firstly ‘*a clear line of sight to work*’ (p. 12) and secondly, employer-provider collaboration. The latter will pose challenges for non-formal, non-accredited provision such as community education, as clear lines of sight to employment are not easily predicted as an outcome of community education. However, a clear line of sight in the other direction from the workplace often points back to the first step involving a community education course.

## **Ireland**

### **AONTAS The National Adult Learning Organisation**

AONTAS commissioned research in 2009 as part of an extensive enquiry into community education practice in Ireland. The research covered both statutory or governmental provision of community education through VECs and non-statutory or non-governmental provision in community education delivered by independently managed groups. The latter includes community development projects and voluntary organizational member groups of AONTAS. In this section the outcomes of both research studies will be examined with particular reference to what the findings say about employability and labour activation.

The first research study (Bailey, Breen and Ward, 2011) examined Department of Education and Skills funded community education through the 33 VECs. This funding came through the *Adult Literacy and Community Education Scheme (ALCES)*. Whilst this research focused on statutory provision delivered through VEC community education facilitators, a non-statutory element, *the Community Strand of the Back to Education Initiative (BTEI)* was also included in the enquiry. The report does draw some comparisons between both, but these are less relevant to the specific purpose of this literature review.

This research was extensive and involved a survey of 683 learners, eleven case studies, surveys of VEC personnel and interviews with key informants (p. 9). In relation to the target groups catered for by DES funded community education (approx. 35,000 reported by VECs for this research), between 33% (ALCES) and 39% (BTEI) were ‘unemployed’ (pp. 47-48). 45% were ‘not in the labour market’ (p. 48). The respondents to the research indicate their

experiences and views concerning a range of community education outcomes considered under the following headings; access persistence and retention, civic and social engagement, health outcomes, progression outcomes, contribution to a fair, just and prosperous Ireland. Whilst employability and labour market outcomes feature across the headings, the most relevant are those concerning progression in chapter nine of the study (pp. 159-173). 45% of respondents reported that the reason they took part in community education courses was 'to improve my employment prospects and get a job' (p. 108). Furthermore the aggregate of responses relating to improving employment prospects and gaining a qualification amount to 'almost half the learners' in the study (p. 109). Nevertheless the report concludes that particular target groups 'lone parents, disadvantaged men, the homeless, younger people with disabilities, Travellers and ethnic minorities, and the unemployed are being less effectively targeted for community education' (p. 43). For those unemployed who are engaged in DES community education provision, what employability outcomes are evident?

This research does not reveal a cohort of learners who prior to taking up a community education course were unemployed and then gain employment after the course. Such a simplistic linear outcome is not traced here. What is significant however is the desire among learners for progression to an accredited course or qualification which will in time lead to a job. Again, the DES-funded community education which is non-accredited and non-formal is viewed as an important building block for progression to 'further education and training' according to 64% of providers and for 'labour market progression' according to 30% of providers (pp. 162-163). An analysis of the learner responses to the survey on what progression they wished for reveals that 'learning a new skill' (70%) and to 'do a non-certified course with this group or centre' (55%) feature highest. However, 23% wanted 'to get a job because I am unemployed', 16% wanted to 'get a better job' and 33% wanted to 'get advice on employment/education' (p. 164).

The second AONTAS research study (Bailey, Ward and Goodrick, 2011) examined the outcomes and impact of what is termed the 'social action model of community education' (p. 22). 22% of the sample of 285 learners surveyed were unemployed, with 16% who were long-term unemployed (p. 28). The top courses provided by the centres were social, personal and vocational in content reflecting learners' needs 'to improve self confidence and to gain qualifications and improve employment prospects' (p. 80). Furthermore 'bridging to the labour market' is important to learners who believe courses should lead to accreditation (p. 80). In response to questions regarding reasons for participation in community education, '70% of (220) learners wanted to improve their employment prospects' (p. 71).

A conclusion not explicitly stated but suggested by these studies is that even though community education does not specifically set out to place a participant in a job at the end of a course, it is clear that participants are choosing community education courses with these employability outcomes in mind.

### **National Economic and Social Forum NESF**

*Labour market vulnerability* is a theme which the National Economic and Social Forum addressed in a 2006 report. The report drew on findings of two case studies carried out under the same theme in an urban and rural setting, North Dublin and Donegal / Sligo (Duggan and Loftus, 2005).

From a research and policy perspective, the NESF report (2006) *creating a more inclusive labour market* is noteworthy because it was written at a time of unprecedented economic

growth and high employment during the boom, yet there were many individuals and groups excluded from the labour market. The labour market vulnerability experienced by these groups persisted despite jobs growth. The report points to Ireland's poor record on equality 'one of the highest levels of market income inequality' (p. ix) and '51 out of 56 countries in terms of equality of economic opportunity for women' (p. xiii) among the reasons why particular groups experience labour market vulnerability. The earlier research report (Duggan and Loftus, 2005) identify the groups affected; 'the long term unemployed, lone parents, ex-drug users, ex-offenders, members of the Traveller community, asylum seekers and refugees, people with disability, women returners' (pp. 14-15).

A key issue which the NESF report raised was the issue of 'discrimination and prejudice' on the part of employers (p. xi). This is a theme which is not adequately addressed in current labour market activation strategies. The INOU conference report (2012) referred to earlier described how 'many employers have not traditionally regarded the public employment service as their first choice as a source from which to recruit staff' (p. 29). The NESF report goes on to say that unemployment also contributed to 'low self-esteem' (p. xi) and job loss in the 'manufacturing' sector (p. x) created a cohort of people with 'low-skills' and/or 'obsolete skills' (xii) who were labour market vulnerable. The response recommended by the NESF included 'an expansion of part-time, flexible opportunities by training and education providers for both those at work and those seeking work with a focus on courses leading to qualifications' (p. xvii). Furthermore, education and training aimed at improving 'the employability of people' (p. xvii) needs to happen at times and locations which suit learners.

In the years following 2006, community education continued to work with the most vulnerable groups in the midst of an economic boom, and the statistics presented in this literature review bear this out with an average 50,000 participants annually. Flexible learning at times and places to suit the community group is a hallmark of community education in Ireland. Unfortunately, very little has changed in the intervening years for the cohort of people who had always experienced labour market vulnerability, and community education continues to reach out to these individuals and groups.

### **National Economic and Social Council NESF**

The role of the National Economic and Social Council is to provide advice to the Taoiseach on 'strategic issues for Ireland's economic and social development' (NESF, 2013). Over the past twenty years, the council has undertaken research and evaluation on key policies and programmes undertaken by the state and is therefore well placed to assess the impact of the economic boom and recession alike. One such study *Supports and services for unemployed jobseekers* (NESF, 2011) includes chapters on employability and activation respectively. The financial constraints impacting on public policy are stated at the outset:

*'the recession is bringing policy makers, operating within exceptionally tight fiscal constraints, to want a much improved evidence base for identifying what training or education delivers best and for whom, and to seek better outcomes from given levels of public spending on Further Education and Training (FET).* (NESF, 2011, p. 9)

This report also comments on the quality of 'labour market intelligence' in the sense that jobseekers are 'entitled to courses' where not only content, but also 'teaching methods' and 'pedagogies' are relevant to 'how the world of work is evolving' (p. 10). Surveys of skills needs in the economy therefore needs to be reliable, comprehensive and relevant. The NESF study argues that 'only a co-ordinated approach on the part of employers, educational and

training providers, labour market experts and policy-makers will deliver what unemployed people really need and want' (2011, p. 10). Such collaboration needs to happen on assessing jobs potential in alternative strategies such as climate change, preventive and primary health, responsible tourism and sustainable agriculture to name but a few. The national skills strategy may need to emerge as creative and innovative rather than passive and reactive to the market 'out there'. In that context, community education is at the fore in supporting sustainable communities with innovative responses to disadvantage and poverty. Some examples are included in the practice sections of this review.

## **Conclusion**

This part of the literature review has examined EU, UK and Irish research papers, academic journals and periodicals on further education and training with a view to its impact on employability and active citizenship. What emerges is a clear picture that FET in its various forms including non-formal and informal learning contributes in positive ways not only to social objectives but also to employability objectives. The research also indicates that considerable work continues in the sector in relation to measurement of these outcomes.

## **4. Practice**

### **Introduction**

At the outset, it must be acknowledged that there is a dearth of documented practice examples in the literature of adult and community education, particularly where the practice demonstrates a connectedness to employability or labour market outcomes.

As stated earlier, the Community Education Facilitators Association (2011) have called for the establishment of 'systems that will measure outcomes, track progression and set down qualitative indicators' along with 'an ongoing research budget' (p. 14). CEFA also cite European backing for such research in the *Action Plan on Adult Learning 2007-2010* (European Commission, 2007). The action plan 'outlined the need for a research infrastructure to support practice-to-policy feedback' (CEFA, 2011, p. 11).

This section presents a small sample of evidence drawn from researchers, community educators and academics of good practice examples of how community education has been supporting individuals to prepare for entry or re-entry into the labour market. This work is being done at a collective community level and also empowers individuals to realise their potential as active citizens. Much of this education work has used a non-formal and informal learning approach. As outlined in previous sections, non-formal and informal learning is gaining significant recognition across the EU as an important strategy for lifelong learning which contributes to employability. Examples are drawn from work in Ireland and the UK.

### **Employable**

#### **Women's community education, community employment and labour activation**

Patterson and Dowd (2010) document a case study of women's community education in the Irish context of the economic crisis and how the project contributes to labour activation whilst retaining the core philosophy and approach which is unique to women's community education. This community-based women's project supports women 'trapped in a cycle of welfare dependency and isolation' (p. 121). The centre runs a FAS funded community employment scheme specifically designed using a women's community education approach. Women have the opportunity to gain valuable workplace experience in the nursery / childcare

facility of the project as well as the reception areas. They also participate in learning designed to meet their needs. The educational approach is described as:

*a potent collective education process that supports the empowerment of women and seeks to address the socio-political aspects of women's experience through collective activism.* (Patterson & Dowd, 2010, p. 123)

The positive outcomes for participants are reflected in expressions such as having 'space to develop confidence and learn or re-learn skills', 'I didn't feel intimidated – the atmosphere made all the difference', and testimony to the value of the 'collective' approach, 'we helped each other, and encouraged each other' (p. 128). Clearly, there is value in women's community education designed and delivered by women and for women in the community. This contrasts with the experience of 20% of trainees who reported higher stress levels associated with assessments on accredited courses (p. 128). The success of the programme is reflected in the progression rate into external employment which is 70% (20 of the 34 women participants). This is significant for women who were previously long term unemployed. At the outset the authors refer to the bulk of education and training provision being provided directly by FAS and VECs (p. 121), however, their account demonstrates the value of statutory and community engagement at the community grassroots level. The article also points to the value of a third sector approach to employment generation which is neither exclusively public nor exclusively private.

### **Community education participant testimonies**

Every year as part of its Adult Learners' Festival, AONTAS, the Irish National Adult Learning Organization hosts a lobby for learning day. In 2013, this event focused on 'the role of community education in serving the needs of people most distant from education and training, and the labour market, and as a valid form of activation' (AONTAS, 2013, p. 2). The seminar involved a cross section of community education learners and providers. Key policy makers including the Minister for Training and Skills, Mr. Ciaran Canon, T.D. participated in the event. The lobby for learning day considered community education's contribution under a number of themes including; community education as an essential labour activation measure, a second chance at education and training, offering tailored supports, offering choice and leading to employment, as well as generating social purpose objectives such as better civic engagement and better health (AONTAS, 2013).

Some of the testimony of community education participants provides further evidence of the important role which community education plays in preparing people for the workplace. These testimonies quoted below reflect the importance of community education in confidence building, personal development and improved self-esteem as well as providing a stepping stone to the labour market and a future career or course of studies. These testimonies are presented under a number of headings below.

#### *Employment:*

*Learners talked about how community education has assisted them in reaching their goals of achieving qualifications which can lead to good quality employment both within and outside the sector (p. 4).*

*Without community education supports such as personal development and mentoring I wouldn't have gained the confidence to secure employment (p.8).*

*I was brought back into the work environment through community education, I now have a full time job and my life couldn't be better. (p. 8).*

*Confidence and self esteem:*

*My confidence was diminished to the stage where it was almost impossible to apply for positions as I would get another rejection. My mentor has supported me to keep going and not get discouraged. (p. 5)*

*After feeling isolated with low self-esteem community education offered me a new lease of life. (p. 5)*

*A stepping stone:*

*I needed the time to try out courses and test my own abilities before I could take on some thing more serious. (p.5)*

## **Active in the Labour Market**

### **Responding to participant learning needs**

Slevin's (2009) account of her community work in one of the most deprived areas of the state, along the border of east Donegal is a good example of how to balance the longer term social change objectives of community education, on the one hand, with the very practical labour market skills training, on the other. The area was particularly disadvantaged, being close to the border, 'the unemployment rate in St. Johnston was 19.2%, more than double the national average' (p. 49). Bear in mind, this was 2006 during the economic boom. Whilst the national picture of Ireland in 2006 portrayed a booming economy and low joblessness, there were many areas where deprivation and unemployment were particularly severe.

Slevin describes community education work with a group of unemployed men on the 'Accelerate Programme, a minibus driver training programme with a difference' (p. 55). The minibus driver training programme was not solely that, it also incorporated first aid, child protection, passenger assistance training as well. The Accelerate programme also included FETAC level 3 communications and basic computers and was run in the local Family Resource Centre with funding from FAS, the VEC and Department of Social and Family Affairs.

Community education starts where people are at, identifying their needs and working with learners from that base. Empowerment is a clear objective of community education and this usually starts with careful foundation work. There is often a practical dimension to the community education provided. The minibus driver training course described by Slevin is one way to engage men in what will lead to benefits in varied ways to support their re-entry into the labour market.

*Community educators and activists operating from a community development model often have to reconcile their critical perspectives with the needs of communities and recognise that personal and social change is slow and requires innovative approaches.*

(Slevin, 2009, p. 58)

Slevin's account affirms empowerment for social justice as the goal of community education. Crucially, this starts by responding to people's needs. The immediate need in a jobless community is to find work. Slevin's account shows that while striving for social justice, it is possible to respond in creative ways to support people in deprived areas to prepare for employment as well.

### **Community education and health interventions**

Co. Sligo VEC participated in another Grundvig collaboration *community education across borders* (Grundvig, 2010) which documents the programmes and methodologies in adult and community education in border areas and interfaces across the EU. Co. Sligo VEC based in one of the six southern border counties in Ireland participates in a number of EU cross-border programmes such as the EU Programme for Peace and Reconciliation. One example of the work with which Co. Sligo VEC is involved is the Sligo Traveller Women Health Care Programme. The aim of the course is ‘to prepare Traveller participants to avail of primary health care training and to become skilled primary health care/ community health workers within their own community in county Sligo’ (p. 16). In labour market terms, the outcome of the course therefore has a direct employment outcome. The social outcome includes better health gain for Traveller women and the Traveller community in general. The Traveller primary health care intervention has been developed in a number of health regions across Ireland.

### **Practice example: A clear line of sight to work**

Further to Williams’ article (2013) reviewed earlier on the Commission on Adult Vocational Teaching and Learning’s report ‘*It’s about work: Excellent adult vocational teaching and learning*’, a number of supplementary papers (CAVTL, 2013b) provide evidence of good practice in courses leading to employability outcomes. Two examples under the ‘clear line of sight to work’ criteria expressed by the commission are worth mentioning for their relevance to adult community education. First, a photography tutor explains:

*Some pursue photography as a career...but a lot of students would actually be doing the course for personal development and their own interests...maybe it’s not realistic to think about working as a photographer as a full time job, so you might end up doing wedding photography but you have another job that you do...part of the week.*

(CAVTL, 2013b, p. 9)

Secondly, a construction tutor emphasises that it is important to know many adult learners ‘may have had difficult employment experiences’ in the past:

*For a lot of my students it’s the first time that they’ve had...someone actually pat them on the back and say ‘good job’. It (the course) gives them self-esteem; it gives them a bit of routine; it gives them some achievement, it actually puts them back on the map, if you like, and accepted by people.* (CAVTL, 2013b, p. 9)

Again the role of the community education tutor who has empathy and understanding of where participants are coming from is a vital element of what makes community education work.

### **Non-formal and Informal Learners**

#### **Identifying and valuing non-formal and informal learning**

Smith (2013) reports on her involvement as a member of Knockanrawley Resource Centre in a Grundvig project aimed at producing ‘innovative training modules for practitioners’ in the whole area of non-formal and informal learning ‘in their communities’ (p. 6). Knockanrawley focused on peer mentoring and developed a dvd and workbook containing guidelines for practitioners to use with groups for the purpose of identifying and validating their non-formal and informal learning. 62 learners and 9 tutors in the Knockanrawley Resource Centre gave ‘very positive’ feedback about the learning tools which motivated them to ‘learn more in their lives and validate it’ (p. 7).



The development of practical tools to help people identify the outcomes of informal and non-formal learning is a good example of policy implementation in this area. The recognition of non-formal and informal learning, so much a feature of community education provision is taken seriously at EU level as we have seen. Systems for its recognition are encouraged. EU policy calls on member states to 'have in place, no later than 2018...arrangements for the validation of non-formal and informal learning' (Council, 2012, p. 3). In Ireland where there is at least an emerging validation system (Colardyn & Bjornavold, 2004, p. 72), this system equates to the British model of accreditation of prior learning, APL (p. 72). Coughlan (2010) reaffirms this in his EU inventory report on Ireland:

*The term 'recognition of non-formal and informal learning (RNFIL)' is not widely used in Ireland and is usually taken to be included in the wider term 'recognition of prior learning (RPL)'. (Coughlan, 2010, p. 1)*

Clearly EU policy is moving toward established systems to recognise informal and non-formal learning given its important contribution to social and economic development in the Europe. Ireland may need to take a leaf out of the EU book in this area.

### **Social and economic benefits of informal community education**

Tett (2010, pp. 60-65) provides a good practice example of community education as radical empowerment. A course on 'Health Issues in the Community' involving people throughout Scotland was developed to address structural inequalities in health:

*In Scotland life expectancy for those born in 2001 was predicted to be 74.6 years for men and 79.8 for women in the more affluent areas compared to 69.2 for men and 76.5 for women in the least affluent areas. (MacIntyre, 2007 cited in Tett, 2010, p. 60)*

The course which is locally based enabled people to come together to 'build a curriculum' (p. 61) around their health issues. By bringing people together in a collective community education process, 'private' health issues were unmasked to reveal a 'public' dimension (Jones, 1999b, cited in Tett, 2010, p. 62). The view that most health problems are contributed to by structural factors such as 'poverty, unemployment, pollution, poor housing and power imbalances' is supported by research (p. 61). Clearly better off people with higher incomes can access and afford health services more easily. Taking poor housing as an example, one group who participated in the course gained the confidence to successfully campaign collectively for 'better insulation, cladding, soundproofing and heating for their houses' (p. 62). Another group focused on healthy diet and affordability of healthy foods. The high costs of fresh fruit and vegetables in the town shopping centre were prohibitive for poor families, so they decided to buy directly from a local farmer and sell them in the community at a cheaper price. They also produced information leaflets on health eating (p. 63). These examples illustrate the radical approach to community education; curriculum design to meet the expressed needs of the community leading to awareness raising and collective action for social change.

Whilst Tett does not deal here with the economic dividend of this community education intervention, there are now tools discussed earlier which can be used to demonstrate this value, for example, the New Economics Foundation's *Social Return on Investment* model (Cabinet Office & Office of the Third Sector (UK), 2009). In monetary terms, the modest cost of the community education course could be measured against savings in expenditure on public health treatment. The surplus savings represent a dividend for the state.

The sample of practice examples drawn upon for this part of the literature review sought to provide evidence of the employability, labour market preparedness and active citizenship benefits gained from participation in community education. The sample covers a range of practice in Ireland, the UK and internationally. The sector would benefit from the publication of more practice case studies and a research hub would certainly contribute in this regard.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This literature review has sought to assemble a range of policy, research and practice papers from EU, UK and Irish sources, which address the question *in what ways does community education meet the labour activation and employability challenge of the current unemployment crisis in Ireland?* A number of conclusions emerge from the literature.

### Policy

At the level of policy, it is clear that there is strong recognition at EU level for the contribution which non-formal and informal learning makes to participants' employability and labour market readiness as well as their involvement as active citizens in shaping the social Europe. Irish policymakers are somewhat behind the curve compared to the EU when it comes to recognising and valuing informal and non-formal learning such as community education. This is reflected in the fact that 'Ireland lags considerably behind leading countries in LLL participation rates' (Cedefop, 2013c, p. 3). The statistics presented in this review support this argument. However, there are signs that Ireland is embracing the EU agenda of lifelong learning. The Expert Group on Future Skills Needs (2007, p. 99) recognised the need to engage higher numbers of adults in lifelong learning.

It is against the backdrop of an unemployment crisis in Ireland and labour market activation policies that the community education sector seeks to maintain the space ascribed to it in *Learning for Life* the White Paper on Adult Education (DES, 2000). The dual meaning of community education as both an extension of education into the community and individual and collective empowerment for social change, gives it focus and flexibility. In terms of policy, the Community Education Facilitators Association, the Department of Education and Skills, and AONTAS have stated policy positions on community education which reflect this meaning. Community education is described as 'a continuum of personal development, community development, social analysis and political participation' (CEFA, 2011, p. ii). It is focused on meeting the needs of marginalised groups and communities and therefore forms part of social justice work and aligns closely with community development. It is a participative and collective educative process.

In seeking to stay true to its mission defined in policy, community education as a sector must also pay heed to current reality. In Ireland that reality is recession and unemployment, though there are signs of recovery. In the midst of the current economic crisis, governments across Europe including Ireland could make the mistake of reducing education down to a purely economic purpose, jobs-driven focus. European lifelong learning policy and Irish lifelong learning policy would suggest such a choice would be a mistake. Community education is not anti-jobs. If anything community education is actually quite effective in supporting people to grow in confidence and self-esteem and gain skills which support their access to the labour market in the future.

## Research

In a time of budgetary constraints across the EU and in Ireland, it is usual to give a higher priority to frontline services over research. Yet in other sectors of the economy, research and development (R&D) is an economy in itself. This literature review has attempted to assemble research which points to the positive impacts which community education makes as the first building block for disadvantaged adult learners to engage with the labour market.

The research papers considered here from Cedefop at EU level, NIACE at UK level and AONTAS in the Irish context among others, cover important avenues of enquiry. These include; recognition of non-formal and informal learning, measuring the wider benefits of lifelong learning, research on employability and active citizenship. These research papers trace the positive impacts which non-formal and informal learning including community education hold for participants accessing the labour market.

Specific research still needs to be done. In particular there is a need to document good practice in the field of community education, particularly in relation to employability and labour market activation. Whether we accept or resist the box-ticking in education and training, the demand for evidence of the impacts of interventions such as community education is unlikely to dissipate. Some of the tools being developed by the sector, such as social return on investment (*sroi*), are illuminating the wider benefits of non-formal and informal learning. The community education sector requires dedicated resources to strengthen its research capacity in Ireland on a par with other sectors of the education system here.

## Practice

Policy and research affirms that not only formal education but also non-formal and informal learning taking place in local communities has an important place on the education landscape. The evidence from practice demonstrates this also. The connections between social exclusion and labour market vulnerability are clearly linked. The issues of low self-esteem and lack of self-confidence are clear barriers to people from disadvantaged groups and communities entering the labour market. On the other side, the INOU conference report (2012) noted that employers 'have not traditionally regarded the public employment service as their first choice as a source from which to recruit staff' (p. 29). The NESF study (2006) also referred to 'discrimination and prejudice' on the part of employers and this must be challenged as well. The unemployed will play their part by enrolling on courses. Employers need to play their part too by providing meaningful jobs. There are many incentives now for employers to create jobs, including JobsPlus (Department of Social Protection, 2013b).

Community education has a clear set of principles and practices which are effective in addressing the barriers experienced by participants. The first steps for disadvantaged learners in returning to learning and engaging with the world of work are often first taken in local community education centres. It is if anything, an important stepping stone in the continuum of education and training. The small sample of practice studies presented here demonstrate the effectiveness of community education in supporting people with re-entry to the labour force in very practical and meaningful ways. As stated above, there is a need for more research and recording of these important lessons. What is presented here is but a sample of the ordinary yet vibrant changes which community education facilitators, tutors, community workers, trainers and learners are collectively achieving in their work on the ground. These narrative accounts make concrete the lines of policy and research.

In conclusion this literature review has attempted to assemble in one place the current policy, research and practice snapshots of community education as it relates to the ongoing crisis of jobless communities in 2013 Ireland. Like other sectors, the community education sector is adapting to the challenge posed by current labour market activation policy and the employability agenda dominating education and training at this time. At the same time, the sector retains its commitment to a core ethos which seeks deeper structural change to a more sustainable economy and society informed by the values of community solidarity, equality and justice. Such a vision, if allowed to flourish, may create a world of work, end labour market exclusion and empower citizens.

## 6. Bibliography

- AONTAS, National Adult Learning Organisation (2013) *Community education: A strategy for success*. Retrieved September 28, 2013, from: <http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/lflfinalwebsite.pdf>.
- Active Citizenship Office (2008) *Active citizenship in Ireland: Progress report 2007-2008 and Annual plan 2008-2009*. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: <http://www.wheel.ie/sites/default/files/ActiveCitizenship%20Plans%20for%202008%20and%202009.pdf>
- Bailey, N., Breen, J., & Ward, M. (2011). *Community education: More than just a course, Exploring the outcomes and impact of Department of Education and Skills funded community education*. Dublin: AONTAS. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/community\\_education\\_more\\_than\\_just\\_a\\_course.pdf](http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/community_education_more_than_just_a_course.pdf)
- Bailey, N., Ward, M. & Goodrick, M. (2011). *Sowing the seeds of social change: The outcomes and impact of a social action model of community education, Research report AONTAS 2011* Retrieved July 20, 2013, from: [http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/sowing\\_the\\_seeds\\_of\\_social\\_change.pdf](http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/sowing_the_seeds_of_social_change.pdf)
- Ball, M. (2011). Learning, labour and union learning representatives: Promoting workplace learning. *Studies in the Education of Adults*, (43)1, 50-60.
- Ball, M. (2009). Learning, labour and employability. *Studies in the Education of Adults*, (41)1, 39-52.
- Bayr, M. & Schwartz, R. (2012). The impact measurement of adult education. *Adult Education and Development*, 78. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1322&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1322&clang=1)
- Belém Framework for Action, CONFINTEA VI Sixth International Conference on Adult Education (2010). Harnessing the power and potential of adult learning and education for a viable future. *Adult Education and Development*, 75. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1113&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1113&clang=1)
- Bertotti, M., Harden, A., Renton, A. & Sheridan, K. (2012). The contribution of a social capital enterprise to the building of social capital in a disadvantaged urban area of London. *Community Development Journal*, 47(2), 168-183.
- Bourdieu, P. (1996). *The state nobility*, Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Brady, B. (2006) Tiger, tiger, burning bright. *Adults Learning*, 18(2), 19-20.
- Cabinet Office & Office of the Third Sector (UK) (2009). *A guide to social return on investment*. Retrieved July 7, 2013, from: <http://www.neweconomics.org/publications/entry/a-guide-to-social-return-on-investment>
- Camors, J. (2011). Non-formal continuing education: The Uruguayan experience. *Adult Education and Development*, 77. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1281&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1281&clang=1)
- Carey, P. (2012). Inspiring learners. *Explore*, 21(May 12), p. 27.

- Central Statistics Office (2013) *Latest headline figures: Live Register 2013*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from:  
[http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/releasespublications/documents/latestheadlinefigures/lreg\\_jun2013.pdf](http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/releasespublications/documents/latestheadlinefigures/lreg_jun2013.pdf)
- Central Statistics Office (2010) *Quarterly national household survey : Lifelong learning quarter 3 2008*. Retrieved July 5, 2013, from:  
[http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/releasespublications/documents/labourmarket/2008/qnhs\\_lifelonglearningqtr32008.pdf](http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/releasespublications/documents/labourmarket/2008/qnhs_lifelonglearningqtr32008.pdf)
- Clark, W. (2012). It's about more than getting a job. *Adults Learning*, 23(4), 45-47.
- Colardyn, D. & Bjornavold, J. (2004) Validation of formal and, non-formal and informal learning: Policy and practices in EU member states. *European Journal of Education*, 39(1), 69-89.
- Commission on Adult Vocational Teaching and Learning (2013a). *It's about work: Excellent adult vocational teaching and learning*. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from:  
<http://repository.excellencegateway.org.uk/fedora/objects/eg:5937/datastreams/DOC/content>
- Commission on Adult Vocational Teaching and Learning (2013b). *It's about work: Excellent adult vocational teaching and learning. Evidence review – Supplementary paper 1*. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from:  
<http://repository.excellencegateway.org.uk/fedora/objects/eg:6706/datastreams/DOC/content>
- Community Education Facilitators Association (2011). *Community education, enhancing learning, fostering empowerment, contributing to civic society: Position paper*. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from:  
[http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/cefa\\_ce\\_position\\_paper.pdf](http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/cefa_ce_position_paper.pdf)
- Connolly, B. (2007). Beyond the third way: New challenges for adult and community education. In Connolly, B., Fleming, T., McCormack, D., & Ryan, A. (Eds.) (2007). *Radical learning for liberation 2*. (pp. 110-130). Dublin: MACE (Maynooth Adult and Community Education).
- Coughlan, D. (2010) *European inventory on validation of nonformal and informal learning 2010: Country report: Ireland*. Brussels, DG Education & Culture, CEDEFOP. Retrieved June 26, 2013, from: <http://libserver.cedefop.europa.eu/vetelib/2011/77464.pdf>
- Council of the European Union (2012) *Council recommendation on the validation of non-formal and informal learning*. Brussels: Official Journal of the European Union C 398 20 December 2012. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2012:398:0001:0005:EN:PDF>
- Council of the European Union (2011) *Council resolution on a renewed European agenda for adult learning*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2011:372:0001:0006:EN:PDF>
- Council of the European Union (2009) *Council conclusion on a strategic framework for European cooperation in education and training ('ET 2020')*. Brussels: Official Journal of the European Union C 119, 12 May 2009. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2009:119:0002:0010:EN:PDF>
- Council of the European Union (2005) *Presidency conclusions: European Council Brussels, 22-23 March 2005*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from:  
[http://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms\\_data/docs/pressdata/en/ec/84335.pdf](http://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/en/ec/84335.pdf)
- Council of the European Union (2004) *Common European principles for the identification and validation of non-formal and informal learning*. Brussels: General Secretariat of the Council 9600/04, 18 May 2004. Retrieved June 24, 2013 from:  
[http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/doc/informal/validation2004\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/doc/informal/validation2004_en.pdf)

- Council of the European Union (2000). *Presidency conclusions: Lisbon European Council, 23-24 March 2000*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from:  
[http://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms\\_data/docs/pressdata/en/ec/00100-r1.en0.htm](http://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/en/ec/00100-r1.en0.htm)
- Crickley, A. & McArdle, O. (2009). Community work, community development: Reflections 2009. *The Irish Journal of Community Work*, 1, 2009. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from:  
<http://www.irishcommunitywork.com/2010/issue-1/community-work-community-development-reflections-2009/>
- Crowley, N. (2013). Lost in austerity: Rethinking the community sector. *Community Development Journal*, 48(1), 151-157.
- Crowther, J. (2011). Too narrow a vision. *Adults Learning*, 23(2), 14-15.
- Crowther, J., Tett, L., & Edwards, V. (2007). Better together?. *Adults Learning*, 19(1), 24-26.
- Department for Business, Innovation & Skills (UK), (2011). *Measuring the economic impact of further education: BIS research paper number 38*. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from:  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/further-education-economic-value-of-post-19-qualifications>
- Department of Education & Science, (2000). *Learning for life: White paper on adult education*, Dublin: The Stationary Office, Government Publications
- Department of Education & Skills (2012). *Community education programme: Operational guidelines for providers*. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: <http://www.education.ie/en/Schools-Colleges/Services/Further-Education-and-Training/Adult-Literacy/Community-Education-Operational-Guidelines-to-VECs.pdf>
- Department of Education & Skills (2009). *Education statistics 2008-09*. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from: [http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Statistics/web\\_stats\\_08\\_09.pdf](http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Statistics/web_stats_08_09.pdf)
- Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government (2012) *Final report of the local government/local development alignment steering group*. Retrieved Aug 2, 2013, from:  
<http://www.environ.ie/en/Publications/LocalGovernment/Administration/FileDownload,31308,en.pdf>
- Department of Jobs, Enterprise and Innovation (2013) *Action plan for jobs 2013*. Retrieved July 22, 2013, from: <http://www.djei.ie/publications/2013APJ.pdf>
- Department of Jobs, Enterprise and Innovation (2012) *Action plan for jobs 2012*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: <http://www.djei.ie/publications/2012APJ.pdf>
- Department of Social Protection (2013a) *Pathways to work 2013: 50 point plan to tackle long-term unemployment*. Retrieved July 22, 2013, from:  
<http://www.welfare.ie/en/downloads/Pathways-to-Work-2013.pdf>
- Department of Social Protection (2013b) *JobsPlus*. Retrieved Oct 28, 2013 from:  
<http://www.welfare.ie/en/Pages/jobsplus.aspx>
- Department of Social Protection (2012) *Pathways to work: Government policy statement on labour market activation*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from:  
<http://www.welfare.ie/en/downloads/pathwaystowork.pdf>
- Department of Social, Community & Family Affairs (2000) White paper on a framework for supporting voluntary activity and for developing the relationship between the state and the community and voluntary sector. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from:  
<http://www.environ.ie/en/Community/CommunityVoluntarySupports/PublicationsDocuments/FileDownload,26791,en.doc>
- Department of the Taoiseach (2011) *Programme for government*. Retrieved July 4, 2013, from:  
[http://www.taoiseach.gov.ie/eng/Publications/Publications\\_Archive/Publications\\_2011/Programme\\_for\\_Government\\_2011.pdf](http://www.taoiseach.gov.ie/eng/Publications/Publications_Archive/Publications_2011/Programme_for_Government_2011.pdf)

- Directorate General for Informatics (EU) (2013). 'VAST - Reveal the value of your projects'. Retrieved Oct 30, 2013 from: [http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/informatics/doc/vast\\_guidelines\\_v3\\_11.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/informatics/doc/vast_guidelines_v3_11.pdf)
- Duckworth, V. & Tummons, J. (2010). *Contemporary issues in lifelong learning*. Berkshire, UK: McGraw Hill Professional Publishing.
- Duggan, C. & Loftus, C. (2005). *A study of labour market vulnerability and responses to it in Donegal / Sligo and North Dublin*. Dublin: Work Research Co-operative. Retrieved July 1, 2013, from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesf\\_archive/nesf\\_research\\_series/nesf\\_rs\\_01.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesf_archive/nesf_research_series/nesf_rs_01.pdf)
- Ebner, G. & Samlowski, M. (2012). The European dimension of adult education in practice: The European Association for Education of Adults (EAEA). *Adult Education and Development*, 79. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1370&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1370&clang=1)
- Emejulu, A. (2013). Searching for the state and the market in American community development: Reflections on editing Community development in the steel city. *Community Development Journal*, 48(1), 158-162.
- Europa (2013a) *The Lisbon Special European Council (March 2000): Towards a Europe of innovation and knowledge*. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://europa.eu/legislation\\_summaries/education\\_training\\_youth/general\\_framework/c10241\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/education_training_youth/general_framework/c10241_en.htm)
- Europa (2013b) *A new start for the Lisbon Strategy (2005)*. Retrieved 5 July, 2013 from: [http://europa.eu/legislation\\_summaries/employment\\_and\\_social\\_policy/community\\_employment\\_policies/c11325\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/employment_and_social_policy/community_employment_policies/c11325_en.htm)
- European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) (2013a) *Benefits of vocational education and training in Europe for people, organisations and countries*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4121\\_en.pdf](http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4121_en.pdf)
- European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) (2013b) *Empowering vulnerable adults to tackle labour market challenges*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4122\\_en.pdf](http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4122_en.pdf)
- European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) (2013c) *Spotlight on VET in Ireland*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/8044\\_en.pdf](http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/8044_en.pdf)
- European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) (2011) *Vocational education and training is good for you: The social benefits of VET for individuals*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/5517\\_en.pdf](http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/5517_en.pdf)
- European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training (Cedefop) (2009) *European guidelines for validating non-formal and informal learning*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved 5 July, 2013, from: [http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4054\\_en.pdf](http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/EN/Files/4054_en.pdf)
- European Commission (2013) *Validation of non-formal and informal learning (webpage)*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: [http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/informal\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/informal_en.htm)
- European Commission (2010) *Europe 2020: A strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth*. Retrieved June 25, 2013, from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2010:2020:FIN:EN:PDF>
- European Commission (2007) *Action plan on adult learning: It's always a good time to learn*. Retrieved Oct 25, 2013, from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2007:0558:FIN:EN:PDF>.

- European Commission (2000) *A memorandum on lifelong learning*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: [http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/doc/policy/memo\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/doc/policy/memo_en.pdf)
- European Commission (1998) *Education and active citizenship in the European Union*. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from: [http://ec.europa.eu/education/archive/citizen/citiz\\_en.html](http://ec.europa.eu/education/archive/citizen/citiz_en.html)
- Eurostat (2011) *Lifelong learning among 25-64 year old persons: Compiled from EU Labour Force Survey*. Retrieved July 5, 2013, from: <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=tsdsc440&plugin=1>
- Evoy, J. & McDonnell, A. (2011) *Building on the strengths: Community education in Waterford city a snapshot*. Waterford: City of Waterford VEC. Retrieved Aug 20, 2013 from: [http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/building\\_on\\_the\\_strengths\\_community\\_education\\_in\\_waterford\\_city.pdf](http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/building_on_the_strengths_community_education_in_waterford_city.pdf)
- Expert Group on Future Skills Needs (2012a) *Statement of Activity 2012*. Retrieved July 4, 2013, from: [http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/120413-EGFSN\\_Statement\\_of\\_activity\\_2012-Publication.pdf](http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/120413-EGFSN_Statement_of_activity_2012-Publication.pdf)
- Expert Group on Future Skills Needs (2012b) *Monitoring Ireland's skills supply: Trends in education and training outputs*. Retrieved July 4, 2013, from: <http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/EGFSN25072012-Monitoring-Irelands-Skills-Supply-Publication.pdf>
- Expert Group on Future Skills Needs (2007) *Tomorrow's skills: Towards a national skills strategy*. Dublin: Forfas. Retrieved Oct 28, 2013, from: [http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/egfsn070306b\\_national\\_skills\\_strategy.pdf](http://www.skillsireland.ie/media/egfsn070306b_national_skills_strategy.pdf)
- Freire, P. (1970) *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. London: Penguin.
- Fujiwara, D. (2012). *Valuing the impact of adult learning*. Retrieved July 20, 2013, from: [http://shop.niace.org.uk/media/catalog/product/v/a/valuing\\_the\\_impact\\_web\\_final.pdf](http://shop.niace.org.uk/media/catalog/product/v/a/valuing_the_impact_web_final.pdf)
- Galligan, C. (2008) *Community development and social change: Qualitative research exploring the current nature of community education in Donegal*. Donegal: Donegal Community Education Forum & Co. Donegal Vocational Education Committee.
- Gaynor, N. (2011). In-active citizenship and the depoliticization of community development in Ireland. *Community Development Journal*, 46(1), 27-41.
- Grubb, D., Singh, S. & Tergeist, P. (2009) *Activation policies in Ireland*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from: <http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/5ksq8s3tx4q8.pdf?expires=1373573582&id=id&acname=guest&checksum=383176C3FF3DDF5CFAA3D110B134ED88>
- Grundvig Partnerships (2012) *Good practice manual: Experiences and methodologies in the training of vulnerable individuals, groups and communities*. Grundvig Partnerships: E.U. Directorate General for Education and Culture, Lifelong Learning Programme 2012. Retrieved Aug 20, 2013, from: [http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/good\\_practice\\_guide\\_training\\_of\\_trainers\\_in\\_europe.pdf](http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/good_practice_guide_training_of_trainers_in_europe.pdf)
- Grundvig Funded Learning Partnership 2008/2010 (2010) *Community Education Across Borders: Methods for Transcultural Learning and Cross-border Co-operation in Adult Education*. E.U. Directorate General for Education and Culture, Lifelong Learning Programme Retrieved Aug 20, 2013, from: [http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/comm\\_ed\\_cross\\_border\\_eu\\_learning\\_partnership\\_2010.pdf](http://www.cefa.ie/uploads/1/5/8/8/15883224/comm_ed_cross_border_eu_learning_partnership_2010.pdf)
- Harvey, B. (2013) *Travelling with austerity: Impacts of cuts on Travellers, Traveller projects and services*. Dublin: Pavee Point Travellers Centre. Retrieved July 5, 2008, from: <http://paveepoint.ie/sitenua/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Pavee-Point-Austerity-PDF-1.pdf>



- Harvey, B. (2012) *Changes in employment and services in the voluntary and community sector in Ireland, 2008-2012*. Dublin: Irish Congress of Trade Unions Community Sector Committee.
- Irish National Organisation of the Unemployed (2013) *Statistics*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from: <http://www.inou.ie/>
- Jackson, A. & Whitwell, B. (2001) Opening pathways to inclusion: The importance of non-accredited learning in the lives of students in the local authority sector. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, 6(2), 129-139.
- Jarvis, D., Berkeley, N. & Broughton, K. (2012). Evidencing the impact of community engagement in neighbourhood regeneration: The case of Canley, Coventry. *Community Development Journal*, 47(2), 232-247.
- Kelleher, P. & Whelan, M. (1992). *Dublin communities in action: A study of six projects*. Dublin: Community Action Network & Combat Poverty Agency: Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.cpa.ie/publications/DublinCommunitiesInAction\\_1992.pdf](http://www.cpa.ie/publications/DublinCommunitiesInAction_1992.pdf)
- Kelly, E., McGuinness, S. & O'Connell, P. (2012) *Submission to the joint committee on jobs, social protection and education on unemployment and youth unemployment*. Dublin: Economic & Social Research Institute. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: <http://www.esri.ie/UserFiles/publications/SUB201202/SUB201202.pdf>
- Kis, V. (2010). *Learning for jobs: OECD Reviews of vocational education and training, Ireland*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from: <http://www.oecd.org/ireland/44592419.pdf>
- Limerick Community Education Network, Limerick City Adult Education Service, Limerick Regeneration Agencies (2011). *The social value of community-based adult education in Limerick city*. Retrieved, Aug 2, 2013, from: <http://www.paulpartnership.ie/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/Community-based-Adult-Ed-FULL-d3.pdf>
- Lovett, T. et al. (2003). Community Education and Community Action. In Jarvis, P. & Griffin, C. (Eds.). *Adult and Continuing Education: Major Themes in Education VII*. Routledge: UK.
- Lovett, T. (1997). Community education and community development: The Northern Ireland experience. *Studies in The Education Of Adults*, 29(1), 39-50.
- Lloyd, A. (2010). The will of the state and the resilience of the community sector in a time of crisis: obliteration, compliance or an opportunity for renewal?. *The Irish Journal of Community Work*, 2, 2010. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from: <http://www.irishcommunitywork.com/2010/issue-2/will-state-resilience-community-sector/>
- Martin, I. (2006, October). Where have all the flowers gone? (Cover story). *Adults Learning*. pp. 15-18.
- Matrix Knowledge Group (2009). *Lifelong learning and well-being: An analysis of the relationship between adult learning and subjective well-being*. Retrieved July 20, 2013, from: [http://shop.niace.org.uk/media/catalog/product/f/i/file\\_1\\_50.pdf](http://shop.niace.org.uk/media/catalog/product/f/i/file_1_50.pdf)
- McGuinness, S., O'Connell, P.J., Walsh, E. & Kelly, J.R. (2011) *Activation in Ireland: An evaluation of the national employment action plan*. Dublin: Economic & Social Research Institute. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.esri.ie/publications/search\\_for\\_a\\_publication/search\\_results/view/index.xml?id=3144](http://www.esri.ie/publications/search_for_a_publication/search_results/view/index.xml?id=3144)
- McMahon, W. (2009). *Higher learning, greater good: The private and social benefits of higher education*. Baltimore, MD, USA: John Hopkins University Press.
- McMahon, W. (1998). Conceptual framework for the analysis of the social benefits of lifelong learning. *Education Economics*, 6(3), 309-346.
- Motschilnig, R. (2012). Wider benefits of adult education: An inventory of existing studies and research. *Adult Education and Development*, 78. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1321&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1321&clang=1)

- Mowbray, M. (2011). What became of the local state? Neo-liberalism, community development and local government. *Community Development Journal*, 46(suppl 1), 32-53.
- Mündel, K., & Schugurensky, D. (2008). Community based learning and civic engagement: Informal learning among adult volunteers in community organizations. *New Directions For Adult & Continuing Education*, (118), 49-60. doi:10.1002/ace.295
- National Economic and Social Council (2011) *Supports and services for unemployed jobseekers*. Dublin: National Economic & Social Development Office. Retrieved June 24, 2013 from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesc\\_reports/en/NESC\\_123\\_2011\\_full.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesc_reports/en/NESC_123_2011_full.pdf)
- National Institute of Adult Continuing Education (2013). News & numbers from the adult learning sector. *Adults Learning*, 24(3), 6-7.
- National Economic & Social Council (2013) *The social dimensions of the crisis: The evidence of its implications*. Dublin: National Economic & Social Development Office. Retrieved July 7, 2013, from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesc\\_reports/en/NESC\\_134\\_Social\\_Dimensions\\_Exec\\_Summary.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesc_reports/en/NESC_134_Social_Dimensions_Exec_Summary.pdf)
- National Economic & Social Council (2011) *Supports and services for unemployed jobseekers*. Dublin: National Economic & Social Development Office. Retrieved July 7, 2013, from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesc\\_reports/en/NESC\\_123\\_2011\\_full.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesc_reports/en/NESC_123_2011_full.pdf)
- National Economic and Social Forum (NESF) (2006). *Creating a more inclusive labour market: Report 33*. Dublin: NESF / Government Publications Office. Retrieved July 1, 2013, from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesc\\_archive/nesc\\_reports/NESF\\_33.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesc_archive/nesc_reports/NESF_33.pdf)
- National Economic and Social Forum (NESF) (2003). *The policy implications of social capital: Forum report no. 28*. Dublin: NESF / Government Publications Office. Retrieved July 1, 2013, from: [http://files.nesc.ie/nesc\\_archive/nesc\\_reports/NESF\\_28.pdf](http://files.nesc.ie/nesc_archive/nesc_reports/NESF_28.pdf)
- Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (UK) Ofsted (2012). *Skills for employment*. Retrieved July 7, 2013, from: <http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/resources/skills-for-employment>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2013). *Education policy outlook: Ireland*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from: [http://www.oecd.org/edu/EDUCATION%20POLICY%20OUTLOOK%20IRELAND\\_EN.pdf](http://www.oecd.org/edu/EDUCATION%20POLICY%20OUTLOOK%20IRELAND_EN.pdf)
- Patterson, L. & Dowd, K. (2010). Using the women's community education approach to deliver community employment. *The Adult Learner*, 2010, 121-131. Retrieved 22, 2013, from: [http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/adult\\_learner\\_2010\\_website\\_version.pdf](http://www.aontas.com/download/pdf/adult_learner_2010_website_version.pdf)
- Pavee Point (2005). *A review of Travellers' health using primary care as a model of good practice*. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: <http://paveepoint.ie/pdf/PrimaryHealthCare05.pdf>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. New York: Simon & Schuster Paperbacks.
- Santeladze, L. (2010). Promotion of integration through community based non-formal education. *Adult Education and Development*, 74. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: [http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article\\_id=1084&clang=1](http://www.iiz-dvv.de/index.php?article_id=1084&clang=1)
- Secretariate of the Taskforce on Active Citizenship (2007) *Report of the Taskforce on Active Citizenship*. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from: <http://www.wheel.ie/sites/default/files/Report%20of%20the%20Taskforce%20on%20Active%20Citizenship.pdf>
- Sedgmore, L. (2011). The unfinished revolution. *Adults Learning*, 23(1), 30-31.
- Sedgmore, L., Barber, B., Bolsin, R., Brooks, C., Mackney, P., Ward, T., et al. (2010). Adult learning and the new austerity. *Adults Learning*, 22(3), 8-16.
- Slevin, A. (2009). Up here it's different: Community education in rural east Donegal. *The Adult Learner*, 2009, 47-59.

- Smith, R. (2013). Informal learning in the community project: A Grundvig project that stretched from Tipperary to Turin! *Explore*, 23(Feb 13), pp. 6-7.
- Spear, R. (2012). Proving that we make a difference. *Adults Learning*, 23(4), 4-5.
- Stanistreet, P. (2013). Untitled. *Adults Learning*, 24(3), 2
- Taskforce on Active Citizenship (2007) *The concept of active citizenship*. Retrieved from Aug 2, 2013, from: <http://www.aughty.org/pdf/activecitizen.pdf>
- Tett, L. (2010). *Community education, learning and development (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.)*. Edinburgh: Dunedin Academic Press.
- The Wheel (2013) *The Taskforce on Active Citizenship*  
<http://www.wheel.ie/content/taskforce-active-citizenship>
- Thomson, A. (2013). The case for public investment. *Adults Learning*, 24(3), 4-5.
- Thompson, J. (1996). 'Really useful knowledge': Linking theory and practice. In Connolly, B., Fleming, T., McCormack, D., & Ryan, A. (eds.) *Radical learning for liberation*, (pp. 15-26). Dublin: MACE (Maynooth Adult and Community Education).
- Tomlinson, S. (2005) *Education in a post welfare society*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Berkshire, UK: McGraw Hill Professional Publishing.
- Uden, T. (2009). Re-planning our contribution. *Adults Learning*, 20(6), 24-25.
- Van Oorschot, W. & Abrahamson, P. (2003). The Dutch and Danish miracles revisited: A critical discussion of activation policies in two small welfare states. *Social Policy & Administration*, 37(3), 288-304.
- Watters, K. (2008). Finding common ground. *Adults Learning*, 20(3), 19.
- Watters, K. (2005). It's official, networking is good for you. *Adults Learning*, 16(5), 18-19.
- Werquin, P. (2008) Recognition of non-formal and informal learning in OECD countries: A very good idea in jeopardy? *Lifelong Learning in Europe* 3(2008), 43-49.
- Westerman, J., Schifferes, J., & Maguire, R. (2013). Quantifying the unquantifiable. *Adults Learning*, 24(3), 37-38.
- Williams, J. (2013). An agenda for action on vocational learning. *Adults Learning*, 24(3), 12-13.