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SQUARE PLANAR MONOPOLE ANTENNA

M. J. Ammann

Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland

INTRODUCTION

A planar disc monopole antenna has been studied by Honda et al. in 1991 [1], who developed this antenna for the Japanese television band (90-770 MHz). In 1992 he reported one which had a return loss greater than 10 dB for all of S, C, X and Ku bands, i.e. a 1:18 bandwidth. A model for determining the input impedance of a circular disc monopole based on the Method of Moments has been proposed by Hammoud et al. in 1993 [2]. The circular disc monopole has also been studied by Agrawall et al. in 1998 [3], who proposed a simple formula for predicting the frequency corresponding to the lower edge of the bandwidth.

A planar monopole may be realised by replacing the wire element of a conventional monopole with a planar element. In this case, the planar element which is square, is located above a groundplane and fed using an SMA connector as illustrated in Figure 1. The square monopole has a simple geometry and a smaller bandwidth compared to the circular disc monopole. However, it is still a broadband antenna with a typical impedance bandwidth of 75% at S band.

IMPEDEANCE BANDWIDTH AND THE EFFECT OF FEEDGAP DISTANCE

Measurements of return loss have been made for various sizes of square monopole on a 25 cm square copper groundplane. A brass planar element of thickness 0.5 mm was used. The bandwidth is dependent on the feed gap, \( h \). The return loss for a 30 mm square monopole with feed gaps of 0.8, 1.6 and 2.5 mm is shown in Figure 2. From this it can be seen that a feed gap of 2.5 mm gives the optimum value of bandwidth for this element; increasing the gap further reduces the impedance bandwidth. The frequency corresponding to the lower edge of the bandwidth is fairly independent of the feed gap, \( h \), but the upper frequency is heavily dependent on it. The impedance locus for this element with a 2.5 mm feedgap is shown in Figure 3.

The bandwidth was measured for seven values of dimension, \( L \), of the square element and the maximum value was obtained by optimising the feedgap distance for each element. Square elements of size 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50 and 55 mm were used. The 10 dB return loss impedance bandwidth and the optimum feedgap distance is tabulated in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Square size, ( L ) (mm)</th>
<th>Frequency limits (GHz)</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
<th>Optimum feedgap (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.23 - 2.19</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.34 - 2.35</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.44 - 2.59</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.58 - 2.96</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.86 - 3.53</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.98 - 4.05</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.38 - 5.20</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CALCULATION OF THE LOWER EDGE FREQUENCY

For a cylindrical stub antenna on a large groundplane, the stub length for the first resonance [4] is given by

\[ L = 0.24 \lambda_0 \text{ } (1) \]

where \( \lambda_0 \) is the free-space wavelength and \( \lambda \) is the length-to-radius parameter for the stub monopole given by
where $L$ is the length and $r$ is the radius of the stub. For a conductor of non-circular cross-section, it is necessary only to take an equivalent radius, according to Hallen [5]. For a thin flat sheet of width, $L$, the equivalent radius is given by

$$r = \frac{L}{2\pi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and consequently,

$$A = 0.86$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

for the square element. The frequency corresponding to the lower edge of the bandwidth, $f_L$, for the square monopole above a large groundplane can therefore be approximated by

$$f_L(\text{GHz}) = \frac{61.9}{L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $L$ is the side length in mm. The measured and calculated lower edge frequencies are tabulated in Table 2. Results indicate the above formula to be accurate to within $\pm 8.5\%$ for frequencies in the range 1 GHz to 6 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side length (mm)</th>
<th>Measured value (MHz)</th>
<th>Calculated value (MHz)</th>
<th>Error ($\pm%$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>1768</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>2476</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of a change in width of the element can be used to tailor (increase or decrease) the bandwidth. Reducing the width of the element can significantly increase the impedance bandwidth (by up to 100\%), whereas increasing the width reduces the bandwidth.

RADIATION PATTERN

The E and H plane radiation patterns for the 30 mm element were measured at the centre frequency of 3.0 GHz and are illustrated in figures 6a and 6b. The coordinate system used is shown in Figure 5. The antenna exhibits a quasi-omnidirectional pattern in the H-plane with a maximum variation of $\pm 1.5$ dB, and a typical monopolar pattern in the E-plane. The pattern variation with frequency is negligible over the impedance bandwidth.

The gain was found to be 1.5 dBi in the plane of the groundplane but increased to a maximum of 4.5 dBi at an elevation of 40 degrees with respect to the groundplane, yielding a conical beam pattern. This is typical of monopoles, with the beam tilt due to the finite groundplane effect [6]. The cross polarization was 15 dB.

CONCLUSION

A broadband square monopole antenna has been investigated, showing a constant radiation pattern over the impedance bandwidth. A simple formula for the frequency corresponding to the lower edge of the impedance bandwidth, which has an accuracy of $\pm 8.5\%$ over the frequency range 1 GHz to 6 GHz, is proposed. The effect of the feedgap distance is examined.
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Figure 1. The square planar monopole above the groundplane

Figure 2. Swept return loss for the 30 mm element with feedgaps of 0.8 mm (dashed), 1.6 mm (dot-dashed) and 2.5 mm (solid).

Figure 3. Swept impedance locus for the 30 mm square monopole from 2 GHz to 6 GHz.

Figure 4. Swept return loss for the 25 mm element indicating an impedance bandwidth of 2.82 GHz.
Figure 5. Coordinate system used for radiation pattern measurements. The square element lies in the $yz$ plane and the groundplane lies in the $xz$ plane.

Figure 6a. Normalised H-plane radiation pattern. ($E_\theta$ in the plane $\theta = 90$)

Figure 6b. Normalised E-plane radiation pattern ($E_\phi$ in the plane $\phi = 90$)

Figure 6a. Normalised H-plane radiation pattern. ($E_\theta$ in the plane $\theta = 90$)