



1989-01-01

# Maximal order abelian subgroups of symmetric groups

J. M. Burns

Brendan Goldsmith

*Dublin Institute of Technology*, [brendan.goldsmith@dit.ie](mailto:brendan.goldsmith@dit.ie)

Follow this and additional works at: <http://arrow.dit.ie/scschmatart>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

## Recommended Citation

Burns, J. M. and Brendan Goldsmith: Maximal order abelian subgroups of symmetric groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 21 (1989), pp.70-72.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mathematics at ARROW@DIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@DIT. For more information, please contact [yvonne.desmond@dit.ie](mailto:yvonne.desmond@dit.ie), [arrow.admin@dit.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@dit.ie), [brian.widdis@dit.ie](mailto:brian.widdis@dit.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)



# MAXIMAL ORDER ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

J. M. BURNS AND B. GOLDSMITH

## 1. Introduction

Colleagues working in the area of statistical mechanics recently posed the following question: 'Are all Abelian subgroups of maximal order in the symmetric group  $S_n$  isomorphic?' Their problem arose from considerations of reducible representations constructed from tensor products of unitary representations arising in the study of the statistical mechanics of systems of  $n$  quantum spins. In particular they wanted to know what the situation was as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . A complete classification of the maximal order Abelian subgroups of  $S_n$  can be derived from recent general results of Kovacs and Praeger [2]; our objective here, however, is to give a straightforward proof of this classification using the concept of the trace of an Abelian group introduced recently by Hoffman [1]. Our notation will be standard; in particular a cyclic group of order  $n$  will be denoted by  $Z_n$  and the product of  $k$  copies of such a group will be denoted by  $Z_n^k$ . Our principal result is:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian subgroup of maximal order of the symmetric group  $S_N$ . Then*

- (i)  $G \cong Z_3^k$  if  $N = 3k$ ,
- (ii)  $G \cong Z_2 \times Z_3^k$  if  $N = 3k + 2$ ,
- (iii) either  $G \cong Z_4 \times Z_3^{k-1}$  or  $G \cong Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3^{k-1}$  if  $N = 3k + 1$ .

## 2. The trace of a finite abelian group

As is well known, any finite Abelian group  $G$  can be expressed (in multiplicative notation) as a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order,

$$G = Z_{m_1} \times Z_{m_2} \times \dots \times Z_{m_k}$$

and the unordered sequence  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$  completely determines (up to isomorphism)  $G$ . Then, as observed in Hoffman [1], any symmetric function of the  $m_i$  is an invariant of  $G$ . In particular the function  $T(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$  is an invariant, the *trace* of  $G$ . (Note that if  $G$  is trivial then we set  $T(G) = 0$ .) We shall use the following result from [1].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If an Abelian group  $G$  is imbedded in the symmetric group  $S_n$  then  $T(G) \leq n$ .*

---

Received 5 January 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 20B35.

*Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21 (1989) 70-72

Notice that the converse is trivially true. Thus it follows that the problem of determining the maximal order Abelian subgroups of a symmetric group  $S_N$  reduces to solving:

$$\text{Maximize the product } \prod m_i \text{ of the prime powers } m_i \text{ subject to the constraint } \sum m_i \leq N. \quad (*)$$

It is in this form that we tackle the problem.

### 3. The classification

Before presenting the combinatorial solution we note the following heuristical argument. It is clear (see Lemma 3 below) that the constraint  $\leq N$  in (\*) is rather spurious; maximality will require equality. A standard application of Lagrange multiplier techniques would indicate that all (or as many as possible) of the integers  $m_i$  should be chosen equal (to  $m$  say). Thus we want to maximize an expression of the form  $m^k$  subject to  $km = N$ . But this amounts to maximizing  $m^{N/m}$  and, regarding this as a real function, an elementary calculation gives this function as having a maximum at  $e$  and it is decreasing from  $e$  onwards. Moreover since  $2^{N/2} < 3^{N/3}$  we would expect the solution of the integer-valued problem to be of the form  $3^k$ . We now give a rigorous demonstration of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 3. *The solution to (\*) occurs when  $\sum m_i = N$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i < N$  let  $N - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = t$  where  $t \geq 1$ . Then

$$m_1 + t = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_s^{r_s} = p_1^{t_1} u$$

where the  $p_i$  are primes. Then the prime powers  $m_2, \dots, m_k, q_1, \dots, q_u$  (where each  $q_i = p_1^{t_1}$ ) have sum  $N$  but their product exceeds  $\prod_{i=1}^k m_i$  since

$$(p_1^{t_1})^u \geq u(p_1^{t_1}) = m_1 + t > m_1.$$

Suppose the prime powers  $2^{t_1}, 2^{t_2}, \dots, 2^{t_l}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  are a solution of:

$$\text{Maximize } \prod m_i \text{ subject to } \sum m_i = N. \quad (**)$$

Then we have the following.

LEMMA 4. *The terms  $\lambda_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$  are all powers of 3.*

*Proof.* Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\lambda_1 = p^t$  where  $p$  is a prime  $\geq 5$ . Let  $n = p^{t-1} \geq 1$  and note that  $n \geq t$ . Then we may write  $p = 3s + r$  where  $s \geq 1$  and  $r = 1, 2$ . If  $r = 2$  and we replace  $\lambda_1$  by  $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_{ns} = 3, m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_n = 2$  then the set of prime powers  $2^{t_1}, \dots, 2^{t_l}, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, m_1 = \dots = m_n, q_1 = \dots = q_{ns}$  has sum  $N$  but has product which exceeds  $2^{\sum t_i} \prod \lambda_i$  since  $3^{sn} 2^n = (3^s 2)^n > (3s + 2)^n \geq p^t$  since  $n \geq t$ —contradiction. However if  $r = 1$ , (note then that  $s \geq 2$ ) and we replace  $\lambda_1$  by  $q_1 = \dots = q_{ns} = 3$  then the terms  $2^{t_1}, \dots, 2^{t_l}, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, q_1, \dots, q_{ns}$  have sum  $\leq N$ . Thus, although this set may not be an optimal choice, its product exceeds the supposed maximal product since  $3^{ns} > (3s + 1)^n$  (because  $s \geq 2$ ) and  $(3s + 1)^n = p^n \geq p^t$ —contradiction. This contradiction to the maximality property in (\*\*) establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 5. (i)  $t_i \leq 2$  for each  $i$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^l t_i \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* (i) Assume, without loss of generality, that  $t_1 > 2$  and express  $2^{t_1} = 3s + r$  where  $r = 1, 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ . Then if  $r = 2$  replace the term  $2^{t_1}$  by  $q_1 = \dots = q_s = 3$  and  $m_1 = 2$ . These terms have sum  $2^t$  but their product is  $3^s \cdot 2$  which exceeds  $3s + 2$ , giving a contradiction. Finally if  $r = 1$  replace  $2^{t_1}$  by  $q_1 = \dots = q_s = 3$ . The resulting system, which is not optimal since it has sum  $N - 1$ , has a product which exceeds the supposed maximal product since  $3^s > 3s + 1$  (because  $s \geq 2$ ), again a contradiction. Thus we have established part (i) of the Lemma.

Assume in (ii) that  $\sum_{i=1}^l t_i > 2$  and express this sum as  $3s + r$ . We consider separately the three possible cases  $s = 0, 1, 2$ . Observe firstly however that  $\sum_{i=1}^l 2^{t_i} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^l t_i$  if each  $t_i \leq 2$ .

(a) If  $r = 0$  replace  $2^{t_1}, \dots, 2^{t_l}$  by  $q_1 = \dots = q_{2s} = 3$  and note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l 2^{t_i} = 6s = q_1 + \dots + q_{2s}.$$

However since  $3^{2s} > 2^{3s}$  this would contradict the maximality property in (\*\*).

(b) If  $r = 1$  replace  $2^{t_1}, \dots, 2^{t_l}$  by  $q_1 = \dots = q_{2s} = 3, m_1 = 2$ . Again this leaves the sum unchanged but the new product would exceed the supposed maximal product since  $3^{2s} \cdot 2 > 2^{3s+1}$ .

(c) This case is handled exactly as in (b) only using  $m_1 = 2^2$ .

LEMMA 6. *The maximum power of 3 occurring in any term  $\lambda_i$  is 1.*

*Proof.* Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\lambda_1 = 3^k$  where  $k > 1$ . Then replace the set  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  by  $\{m_0, m_1, m_2, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  where  $m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 3^{k-1}$ . The set  $\{m_0, m_1, m_2, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, 2^{t_1}, \dots, 2^{t_l}\}$  satisfies the additive condition in (\*\*) but  $m_0 m_1 m_2 = 3^{3k-3} > 3^k$  if  $k > 1$ . So we must conclude  $k = 1$ .

The classification now follows immediately from an examination of the congruence of  $N$  modulo 3 using the fact that  $3^{k-1} \cdot 4 > 3^k \cdot 1$ .

### References

1. M. HOFFMAN, 'An invariant of finite abelian groups', *Amer. Math. Monthly* 94 (1987) 664-666.
2. L. G. KOVACS and C. E. PRAEGER, 'Finite permutation groups with large abelian quotients', Research Report 13 (1987) Australian National University Mathematics Research Report Series.

Dublin Institute of Technology  
Kevin Street  
Dublin 8  
Ireland

Dublin Institute of Technology  
Kevin Street  
Dublin 8  
Ireland

and

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies  
Burlington Road  
Dublin 4  
Ireland